

Erice 2011

Hadronic contribution to the muon  $g - 2$  from a Dyson-Schwinger perspective


Tobias Göcke


Together with C. S. Fischer (JLU) and R. Williams (Complutense, Madrid)

[Fischer, TG, Williams, arXiv:1009.5297]

[TG, Fischer, Williams, arXiv:1012.3886]

[TG, Fischer, Williams, arXiv:1107.2588]





# 1. Introduction



$a_\mu$  is...

- Precisely determined by experiment and accurately predicted by Standard Model
- Precision test for the Standard Model
- More sensitive to contributions from **high scales** than  $a_e$  since :  $m_\mu \gg m_e$
- Sensitive to **QCD** and potential '**new physics**' contributions
- **Deviation between experiment and theory?**

So one has to ...

- get the SM predictions under control
- use non-perturbative methods for QCD-contributions.

# 1. Introduction




## Magnetic moment $\vec{\mu}$ , $g$ -factor

$$\vec{\mu} = g \frac{e}{2m} \vec{S}$$

- Dirac equation:  $g = 2$
- Schwinger: anomalous part  $a_\mu = \frac{g_\mu - 2}{2} \approx \alpha/2\pi \approx 0.00116$

## Relativistic QFT

-   $= (-ie) \bar{u}(p') \left[ F_1(q^2) \gamma_\alpha + i F_2(q^2) \frac{\sigma_{\alpha\beta} q^\beta}{2m_\mu} \right] u(p)$
- $a_\mu := F_2(0) = \frac{g_\mu - 2}{2}$

# 1. Introduction



## Precision tests of the standard model

contribution	$a_\mu [10^{-11}]$
Experiment	116 592 089(63)
SM	116 591 828(49)
hadronic LO	6 949(43)
hadronic LbL	105(26)
exp. - th.	261(80)

[B. L. Roberts, arXiv:1001.2898 (2010)]

[Hagiwara, Liao, Martin, Nomura, Teubner, arXiv:1105.3149 (2011)]

## New Physics?

- $3.3\sigma$  effect
- Lattice? First results but needs time

# 1. Introduction



## Precision tests of the standard model

contribution	$a_\mu [10^{-11}]$
Experiment	116 592 089(63)
SM	116 591 828(49)
hadronic LO	6 949(43)
hadronic LbL	105(26)
exp. - th.	261(80)

[B. L. Roberts, arXiv:1001.2898 (2010)]

[Hagiwara, Liao, Martin, Nomura, Teubner, arXiv:1105.3149 (2011)]

## New Physics?


- $3.3\sigma$  effect
- Lattice? First results but needs time

# 1. Introduction




## Two Hadronic Contributions

### hadronic vacuum polarization



- one-scale problem
- known from dispersion relation
- leading contribution

### hadr. light by light scattering




- two-scale problem
- only accessible through theory
- systematic uncertainty?

## How to deal with these objects?

- → calculate both from the same approach
- use the 'little brother' as test case





## 2. A Functional Approach - Overview



### Diagrammatic representation








- Maris-Tandy model of quark-gluon interaction:

[Maris and Tandy, arXiv:nucl-th/9905056]

- both functions in one approach
- one description for high and low energy  $\rightarrow$  important for two-scale problem


### building blocks





### 3. Results - Hadronic Vacuum Polarization




-   $= \Pi_{\mu\nu}(q) = (\delta_{\mu\nu} q^2 - q_\mu q_\nu) \Pi(q^2)$
- Adler function:  $D(q) = -d\Pi/d \ln q^2$
- two parameter sets,  $u$  and  $d$  quark masses fixed to the  
I: pion mass      II: rho mass

- use  $u, d, s, c, b$  quarks (isospin-limit:  $m_u = m_d$ )
- all parameters fixed by meson phenomenology
  - ▶ model interaction  $\rightarrow \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$
  - ▶  $m_{u/d} \rightarrow m_\pi$  or  $m_\rho$
  - ▶  $m_s \rightarrow m_K$  or  $m_\phi$
  - ▶  $m_{c/b} \rightarrow c\bar{c}$  and  $b\bar{b}$  vector states

### 3. Results - Hadronic Vacuum Polarization




-   $= \Pi_{\mu\nu}(q) = (\delta_{\mu\nu} q^2 - q_\mu q_\nu) \Pi(q^2)$
- Adler function:  $D(q) = -d\Pi/d \ln q^2$
- two parameter sets,  $u$  and  $d$  quark masses fixed to the  
I: pion mass      II: rho mass

- use  $u, d, s, c, b$  quarks (isospin-limit:  $m_u = m_d$ )
- all parameters fixed by meson phenomenology
  - ▶ model interaction  $\rightarrow \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$
  - ▶  $m_{u/d} \rightarrow m_\pi$  or  $m_\rho$
  - ▶  $m_s \rightarrow m_K$  or  $m_\phi$
  - ▶  $m_{c/b} \rightarrow c\bar{c}$  and  $b\bar{b}$  vector states

### 3. Results - Hadronic Vacuum Polarization




-   $= \Pi_{\mu\nu}(q) = (\delta_{\mu\nu} q^2 - q_\mu q_\nu) \Pi(q^2)$
- Adler function:  $D(q) = -d\Pi/d \ln q^2$
- two parameter sets,  $u$  and  $d$  quark masses fixed to the  
I: pion mass      II: rho mass

- use  $u, d, s, c, b$  quarks (isospin-limit:  $m_u = m_d$ )
- all parameters fixed by meson phenomenology
  - ▶ model interaction  $\rightarrow \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$
  - ▶  $m_{u/d} \rightarrow m_\pi$  or  $m_\rho$
  - ▶  $m_s \rightarrow m_K$  or  $m_\phi$
  - ▶  $m_{c/b} \rightarrow c\bar{c}$  and  $b\bar{b}$  vector states

### 3. Results - Hadronic Vacuum Polarization




-   $= \Pi_{\mu\nu}(q) = (\delta_{\mu\nu} q^2 - q_\mu q_\nu) \Pi(q^2)$
- Adler function:  $D(q) = -d\Pi/d \ln q^2$
- two parameter sets,  $u$  and  $d$  quark masses fixed to the  
I: pion mass      II: rho mass

- use  $u, d, s, c, b$  quarks (isospin-limit:  $m_u = m_d$ )
- all parameters fixed by meson phenomenology
  - ▶ model interaction  $\rightarrow \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$
  - ▶  $m_{u/d} \rightarrow m_\pi$  or  $m_\rho$
  - ▶  $m_s \rightarrow m_K$  or  $m_\phi$
  - ▶  $m_{c/b} \rightarrow c\bar{c}$  and  $b\bar{b}$  vector states

### 3. Results - Hadronic Vacuum Polarisation



#### Adler function



[Eidelman, Jegerlehner, Kataev, Veretin, hep-ph/9812521]

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP,DSE}} = (6760 - 7440) \times 10^{-11} \quad | \quad a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP,disp.rel.}} = 6903.0(52.6) \times 10^{-11}$$


[TG, Fischer, Williams, arXiv:1107.2588 (2011)]      [Jegerlehner and Nyffeler, arXiv:0902.3360 (2009)]



### 3. Results - Hadronic Vacuum Polarisation



$a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP}} \times 10^{11}$ ,  $s$ -mass invariant





[1]: [Feng, Jansen, Petschlies and Renner; arXiv:1103.4818 (2011)]

[2]: [Boyle, Del Debbio, Kerrane and Zanotti; arXiv:1107.1497 (2011)]

### 3. Results - Hadronic Vacuum Polarisation





Adler function: contribution of transverse vertex  $\rightarrow$  VMD



### 3. Results - rôle of the vertex



piion form factor  $F_\pi$ , influence of the  $\rho$  in the full vertex




[Maris and Tandy, nucl-th/9910033v1 (2000)]

### 3. Results - LbL - $\pi$ , $\eta$ , $\eta'$ Pole



pseudoscalar (PS) exchange



- $\pi$  only

$$a_{\mu}^{\pi\text{-pole}} = 58(7) \times 10^{-11}$$

- $\pi$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\eta'$

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{PS-pole}} = 80.7(12) \times 10^{-11}$$


[Fischer, TG, Williams, arXiv:1009.5297]  
[TG, Fischer, Williams, arXiv:1012.3886]

good agreement with existing approaches

### 3. Results - LbL - Quark Loop



#### quark loop




- bare vertex
$$a_{\mu}^{LBL,QL} = 61(2) \times 10^{-11}$$
- vertex consistent with current conservation
$$a_{\mu}^{LBL,QL} = 176(4) \times 10^{-11}$$
- 'full' vertex from BSE including the  $\rho$ -pole (unpublished):
$$a_{\mu}^{LBL,QL} = 106(5) \times 10^{-11}$$

[Fischer, TG, Williams, arXiv:1009.5297]

[TG, Fischer, Williams, arXiv:1012.3886]

- enhancement compared to existing approaches
  - ▶ enhanced vertices due to current conservation (WTI)



## 5. Summary and Outlook



### summary

#### DSE calculation of $g - 2$

- HVP

- ▶  $a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP,DSE}} = (6760 - 7440) \times 10^{-11}$
- ▶  $a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP,disp.rel.}} = 6949(43) \times 10^{-11}$
- ▶ Adler function can be described reasonably

- LbL

- ▶  $a_{\mu}^{\text{LbL,DSE}} = 187(47) \times 10^{-11}$
- ▶  $a_{\mu}^{\text{LbL,EFT}} = 105(26) \times 10^{-11}$

- preliminary estimate (only LbL from DSE):

- ▶  $a_{\mu}^{\text{DSE}} = 116\,591\,861(71) \times 10^{-11}$
- ▶ DSE:  $a_{\mu}^{\text{exp}} - a_{\mu}^{\text{theo}} = 228(95) \times 10^{-11} \rightarrow 2.4\sigma$
- ▶ [HLMNT]:  $a_{\mu}^{\text{exp}} - a_{\mu}^{\text{theo}} = 261(80) \times 10^{-11} \rightarrow 3.3\sigma$

[Hagiwara, Liao, Martin, Nomura, Teubner, arXiv:1105.3149 (2011)]

[Prades, de Rafael, Vainshtein, arXiv:0901.0306]

## 5. Summary and Outlook



### outlook

- overcome resonance expansion ( $\pi^0, \eta, \eta'$ ) pole dominance
- Thank You for the attention!

### supported by

- DFG under grant No. Fi 970/8-1
- Helmholtz Young Investigator Grant No. VH-NG-332
- Helmholtz International Center for FAIR within the LOEWE program of the State of Hesse
- Science Fund FWF under Project No. P20592-N16
- Ministerio de Educación (Spain): Programa Nacional de Movilidad de Recursos Humanos del, Plan Nacional de I-D+i 2008-2011



## 5. Summary and Outlook



### outlook

- overcome resonance expansion ( $\pi^0, \eta, \eta'$ ) pole dominance
- **Thank You for the attention!**

### supported by

- DFG under grant No. Fi 970/8-1
- Helmholtz Young Investigator Grant No. VH-NG-332
- Helmholtz International Center for FAIR within the LOEWE program of the State of Hesse
- Science Fund FWF under Project No. P20592-N16
- Ministerio de Educación (Spain): Programa Nacional de Movilidad de Recursos Humanos del, Plan Nacional de I-D+i 2008-2011

## 5. Summary and Outlook



### outlook

- overcome resonance expansion ( $\pi^0, \eta, \eta'$ ) pole dominance
- Thank You for the attention!

### supported by

- DFG under grant No. Fi 970/8-1
- Helmholtz Young Investigator Grant No. VH-NG-332
- Helmholtz International Center for FAIR within the LOEWE program of the State of Hesse
- Science Fund FWF under Project No. P20592-N16
- Ministerio de Educación (Spain): Programa Nacional de Movilidad de Recursos Humanos del, Plan Nacional de I-D+i 2008-2011