Shear Viscosities of Strongly Coupled Anisotropic Plasma

Dominik Steineder

Institute for Theoretical Physics Vienna University of Technology

September 17, 2012



INSTITUTE for THEORETICAL PHYSICS Vienna University of Technology



Dominik Steineder

Probing the Extremes of Matter with Heavy lons

September 17, 2012 1 / 18

What you can expect from the next 25 minutes:

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ► ● □ ■ ● ● ● ●

What you can expect from the next 25 minutes:

- Motivation
 - Shear viscosity and heavy ion collisions
 - Shear viscosity and holography

What you can expect from the next 25 minutes:

- Motivation
 - Shear viscosity and heavy ion collisions
 - Shear viscosity and holography
- Model of anisotropic plasma

< □ > < □ > < 亘 > < 亘 > < 亘 ≤ の < ○

What you can expect from the next 25 minutes:

- Motivation
 - Shear viscosity and heavy ion collisions
 - Shear viscosity and holography
- Model of anisotropic plasma
- Calculation of the shear viscosities at strong coupling

What you can expect from the next 25 minutes:

- Motivation
 - Shear viscosity and heavy ion collisions
 - Shear viscosity and holography
- Model of anisotropic plasma
- Calculation of the shear viscosities at strong coupling
- Conclusion



1 = 990

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



$$v_n = \frac{\int \frac{dN}{d^3p} e^{in(\phi - \phi_R)} d^3p}{\int \frac{dN}{d^3p} d^3p}$$

elliptic flow \rightarrow *n* = 2 ϕ_R ... orientation of reaction plane

・ロト ・回 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨ

= 200



[Luzum, Romatschke '08]

-

(日)



CGC

[Luzum, Romatschke '08]



"RHIC serves the perfect fluid" (2005)

・ロット (雪) (き) (き)

large $v_2 \Rightarrow$ small $\eta/s!$

ELE DQC

 η/s is a measure for the interaction strength!

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ► ● □ ■ ● ● ● ●

η/s is a measure for the interaction strength!

Perturbative QCD

 \bullet well justified for highest energy densities \rightarrow small couplings (asymptotic freedom)

η/s is a measure for the interaction strength!

Perturbative QCD

- \bullet well justified for highest energy densities \rightarrow small couplings (asymptotic freedom)
- leading result for shear viscosity

$$\frac{\eta}{s} = \frac{\#}{g^4 \log(\#/g)}$$

η/s is a measure for the interaction strength!

Perturbative QCD

- $\bullet\,$ well justified for highest energy densities $\rightarrow\,$ small couplings (asymptotic freedom)
- leading result for shear viscosity

$$rac{\eta}{s} = rac{\#}{g^4 \log(\#/g)}$$

• $\eta/s \sim 5$ for gauge coupling $g \sim 1 \Rightarrow$ magnitudes too large! [Huot, Jeon, Moore '06]

η/s is a measure for the interaction strength!

Perturbative QCD

- $\bullet\,$ well justified for highest energy densities $\rightarrow\,$ small couplings (asymptotic freedom)
- leading result for shear viscosity

$$rac{\eta}{s} = rac{\#}{g^4 \log(\#/g)}$$

• $\eta/s \sim 5$ for gauge coupling $g \sim 1 \Rightarrow$ magnitudes too large! [Huot, Jeon, Moore '06]

 $\eta/s \lesssim O(1) \Rightarrow$ Strong coupling effect!

Dominik Steineder

The challenge of strong coupling

Lattice QCD

- powerful non-perturbative tool
- not suited for real time phenomena (transport coefficients) (see however [Meyer '09])

The challenge of strong coupling

Lattice QCD

- powerful non-perturbative tool
- not suited for real time phenomena (transport coefficients) (see however [Meyer '09])

Gauge/gravity duality

- string theory inspired method to study large N gauge theories at strong coupling
- not (yet ?) established for QCD
 - \Rightarrow Need to study "wrong" theory!





.

absorbtion of transverse gravitons $\psi = h_i^j (\sim T_i^j)$







$$\eta_{jl}^{ik} = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \lim \frac{i}{\omega} \int d^4x \ e^{i\omega t} \theta(t) \langle [T_j^i(t,0), T_l^k(0,0)] \rangle$$
$$= \frac{\sigma_{abs}(0)}{16\pi G}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



 $\eta_{jl}^{ik} = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \lim \frac{i}{\omega} \int d^4x \ e^{i\omega t} \theta(t) \langle [T_j^i(t,0), T_l^k(0,0)] \rangle$ $=rac{\sigma_{abs}(0)}{16\pi G}$

absorbtion of transverse gravitons $\psi = h_i^j (\sim T_i^j)$

Einstein eqs. to linear order in ψ

 $\Box \psi = 0$ massless scalar

$$\Rightarrow \eta_{yy}^{xx} = \eta = \frac{A}{16\pi G} = \frac{s}{4\pi}$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・



 $\eta_{jl}^{ik} = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \lim \frac{i}{\omega} \int d^4x \ e^{j\omega t} \theta(t) \langle [T_j^i(t,0), T_l^k(0,0)] \rangle$ $= \frac{\sigma_{abs}(0)}{16\pi G}$

absorbtion of transverse gravitons $\psi = h_i^j (\sim T_i^j)$

Einstein eqs. to linear order in ψ

 $\Box \psi = 0$ massless scalar

$$\Rightarrow \eta_{yy}^{xx} = \eta = \frac{A}{16\pi G} = \frac{s}{4\pi}$$

Only assumptions: 2 derivative gravity and isotropy [Kovtun, Son, Starinets '03; Buchel, Liu '03; Kovtun, Son, Starinets '04]

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三日 のへの

conjectured lower (quantum) bound for any fluid in nature

[Kovtun, Son, Starinets '04]



conjectured lower (quantum) bound for any fluid in nature [Kovtun, Son, Starinets '04]

How to violate it?

conjectured lower (quantum) bound for any fluid in nature [Kovtun, Son, Starinets '04]

How to violate it?

• higher derivative gravity?

conjectured lower (quantum) bound for any fluid in nature [Kovtun, Son, Starinets '04]

How to violate it?

- higher derivative gravity?
 - finite coupling corrections increase η/s [Buchel, Liu '05]



conjectured lower (quantum) bound for any fluid in nature [Kovtun, Son, Starinets '04]

How to violate it?

- higher derivative gravity?
 - finite coupling corrections increase η/s [Buchel, Liu '05]
 - but also higher derivative gravity theories that violate the bound were found [Kats, Petrov '07; Buchel, Myers, Sinha '08]

conjectured lower (quantum) bound for any fluid in nature [Kovtun, Son, Starinets '04]

How to violate it?

- higher derivative gravity?
 - finite coupling corrections increase η/s [Buchel, Liu '05]
 - but also higher derivative gravity theories that violate the bound were found [Kats, Petrov '07; Buchel, Myers, Sinha '08]
- spatial anisotropy?

conjectured lower (quantum) bound for any fluid in nature [Kovtun, Son, Starinets '04]

How to violate it?

- higher derivative gravity?
 - finite coupling corrections increase η/s [Buchel, Liu '05]
 - but also higher derivative gravity theories that violate the bound were found [Kats, Petrov '07; Buchel, Myers, Sinha '08]
- spatial anisotropy?
 - non-commutative $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM plasma satisfies the bound

[Landsteiner, Mas '07]

conjectured lower (quantum) bound for any fluid in nature [Kovtun, Son, Starinets '04]

How to violate it?

- higher derivative gravity?
 - finite coupling corrections increase η/s [Buchel, Liu '05]
 - but also higher derivative gravity theories that violate the bound were found [Kats, Petrov '07; Buchel, Myers, Sinha '08]
- spatial anisotropy?
 - non-commutative $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM plasma satisfies the bound $_{[Landsteiner,\;Mas\;'07]}$
 - anisotropic p-wave superfluids have a non-universal shear viscosity component above the bound [Erdmenger, Kerner, Zeller '10]

conjectured lower (quantum) bound for any fluid in nature [Kovtun, Son, Starinets '04]

How to violate it?

- higher derivative gravity?
 - finite coupling corrections increase η/s [Buchel, Liu '05]
 - but also higher derivative gravity theories that violate the bound were found [Kats, Petrov '07; Buchel, Myers, Sinha '08]
- spatial anisotropy?
 - non-commutative $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM plasma satisfies the bound $$_{\rm [Landsteiner,\,Mas\,\,'07]}$$
 - anisotropic p-wave superfluids have a non-universal shear viscosity component above the bound [Erdmenger, Kerner, Zeller '10]
 - anisotropic axion-dilaton gravity violates the bound [Rebhan, DS '11]

conjectured lower (quantum) bound for any fluid in nature [Kovtun, Son, Starinets '04]

How to violate it?

- higher derivative gravity?
 - finite coupling corrections increase η/s [Buchel, Liu '05]
 - but also higher derivative gravity theories that violate the bound were found [Kats, Petrov '07; Buchel, Myers, Sinha '08]
- spatial anisotropy?
 - non-commutative $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM plasma satisfies the bound $$_{\rm [Landsteiner,\,Mas\,\,'07]}$$
 - anisotropic p-wave superfluids have a non-universal shear viscosity component above the bound [Erdmenger, Kerner, Zeller '10]
 - anisotropic axion-dilaton gravity violates the bound [Rebhan, DS '11]
 - anisotropic top-down model with 5+1d field theory can violate the bound [Polchinski, Silverstein '12]

conjectured lower (quantum) bound for any fluid in nature [Kovtun, Son, Starinets '04]

How to violate it?

- higher derivative gravity?
 - finite coupling corrections increase η/s [Buchel, Liu '05]
 - but also higher derivative gravity theories that violate the bound were found [Kats, Petrov '07; Buchel, Myers, Sinha '08]
- spatial anisotropy?
 - non-commutative $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM plasma satisfies the bound $$_{\rm [Landsteiner,\,Mas\,'07]}$$
 - anisotropic p-wave superfluids have a non-universal shear viscosity component above the bound [Erdmenger, Kerner, Zeller '10]
 - anisotropic axion-dilaton gravity violates the bound [Rebhan, DS '11]
 - anisotropic top-down model with 5+1d field theory can violate the bound [Polchinski, Silverstein '12]

conjectured lower (quantum) bound for any fluid in nature [Kovtun, Son, Starinets '04]

How to violate it?

- higher derivative gravity?
 - finite coupling corrections increase η/s [Buchel, Liu '05]
 - but also higher derivative gravity theories that violate the bound were found [Kats, Petrov '07; Buchel, Myers, Sinha '08]
- spatial anisotropy?
 - non-commutative $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM plasma satisfies the bound $$_{\rm [Landsteiner,\,Mas\,'07]}$$
 - anisotropic p-wave superfluids have a non-universal shear viscosity component above the bound [Erdmenger, Kerner, Zeller '10]
 - anisotropic axion-dilaton gravity violates the bound [Rebhan, DS '11]
 - anisotropic top-down model with 5+1d field theory can violate the bound [Polchinski, Silverstein '12]

spatial anisotropies important in early stages of heavy ion collisions

・ロット (雪) (日) (日)

Anisotropic axion-dilaton gravity [Mateos, Trancanelli '11]

Boundary

 $S=S_{\mathcal{N}=4}$

Anisotropic axion-dilaton gravity [Mateos, Trancanelli '11]

Boundary

 $S = S_{\mathcal{N}=4}$

Bulk



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Anisotropic axion-dilaton gravity [Mateos, Trancanelli '11]

Boundary

Bulk

$$S = S_{\mathcal{N}=4} + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int \theta(z) \operatorname{Tr} F \wedge F$$

with $\theta(z) = 2\pi v/a_0 = 2\pi \rho_{\text{DT}} z$

$$S_{bulk} = rac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \sqrt{-g} \Big(\mathcal{R} + 12 - rac{\left(\partial\phi\right)^2}{2} - rac{e^{2\phi}\left(\partial\chi\right)^2}{2} \Big)$$

with $\chi = az$



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □
Anisotropic axion-dilaton gravity [Mateos, Trancanelli '11]

Boundary

Bulk

$$S = S_{\mathcal{N}=4} + rac{1}{8\pi^2}\int heta(z) \mathrm{Tr} \ F \wedge F$$

with $heta(z) = 2\pi\chi/g_s = 2\pi n_{\mathrm{D7}} \ z$

$$S_{bulk} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \sqrt{-g} \left(\mathcal{R} + 12 - \frac{\left(\partial\phi\right)^2}{2} - \frac{e^{2\phi}\left(\partial\chi\right)^2}{2} \right)$$
with $\chi = az$

$$\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle = \text{diag}(\epsilon, P_{\perp}, P_{\perp}, P_{z})$$

with conformal anomaly $\langle T^{\mu}_{\mu}
angle \propto a^4$



Dominik Steineder

-

Anisotropic axion-dilaton gravity [Mateos, Trancanelli '11]

Boundary

Bulk

$$S = S_{\mathcal{N}=4} + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int \theta(z) \operatorname{Tr} F \wedge F$$

with $\theta(z) = 2\pi \chi/q_{\text{S}} = 2\pi n_{\text{D7}} z$

$$S_{bulk} = rac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \sqrt{-g} \Big(\mathcal{R} + 12 - rac{\left(\partial\phi\right)^2}{2} - rac{e^{2\phi}\left(\partial\chi\right)^2}{2} \Big)$$

with $\chi = az$

$$ds^2 = rac{e^{-rac{\phi}{2}}}{u^2} \Big(-\mathcal{FB}dt^2 + rac{du^2}{\mathcal{F}} + dx^2 + dy^2 + \mathcal{H}dz^2 \Big)$$



 $\langle T^{\mu
u}
angle = \text{diag}(\epsilon, P_{\perp}, P_{\perp}, P_{z})$ with conformal anomaly $\langle T^{\mu}_{\mu}
angle \propto a^{4}$



Dominik Steineder

Probing the Extremes of Matter with Heavy lons

September 17, 2012 9 / 18

Anisotropic axion-dilaton gravity [Mateos, Trancanelli '11]

Boundary

Bulk

$$S = S_{\mathcal{N}=4} + rac{1}{8\pi^2}\int heta(z) \mathrm{Tr} \ F \wedge F$$

with $heta(z) = 2\pi\chi/g_s = 2\pi n_{\mathrm{D7}} \ z$

$$S_{bulk} = rac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \sqrt{-g} \Big(\mathcal{R} + 12 - rac{\left(\partial\phi\right)^2}{2} - rac{e^{2\phi}\left(\partial\chi\right)^2}{2} \Big)$$

with $\chi = az$

$$\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle = \text{diag}(\epsilon, P_{\perp}, P_{\perp}, P_{z})$$

with conformal anomaly $\langle T^{\mu}_{\mu}
angle \propto a^4$

$$ds^{2} = \frac{e^{-\frac{\phi}{2}}}{u^{2}} \left(-\mathcal{FB}dt^{2} + \frac{du^{2}}{\mathcal{F}} + dx^{2} + dy^{2} + \mathcal{H}dz^{2} \right)$$

$$s = \frac{(\epsilon + P_{\perp})}{T} \qquad \qquad s = \frac{A_h}{4GV_3}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Thermodynamics at infinite coupling

[Mateos, Trancanelli '11; Gynther, Rebhan, DS '12]

Note: anisotropic system is in thermodynamic equilibrium

thermodynamically unstable (wrt. redistribution of D7 branes):

$$\left(\frac{\partial P_z}{\partial L_z}\right)_{T,N} > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left(\frac{\partial P_z}{\partial (1/a)}\right)_{T,N} > 0$$



Thermodynamics at infinite coupling

[Mateos, Trancanelli '11; Gynther, Rebhan, DS '12]

Note: anisotropic system is in thermodynamic equilibrium

thermodynamically unstable (wrt. redistribution of D7 branes):

$$\left(\frac{\partial P_z}{\partial L_z}\right)_{T,N} > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left(\frac{\partial P_z}{\partial (1/a)}\right)_{T,N} > 0$$



Thermodynamics at infinite coupling

[Mateos, Trancanelli '11; Gynther, Rebhan, DS '12]

Note: anisotropic system is in thermodynamic equilibrium

thermodynamically unstable (wrt. redistribution of D7 branes):

$$\left(\frac{\partial P_z}{\partial L_z}\right)_{T,N} > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left(\frac{\partial P_z}{\partial (1/a)}\right)_{T,N} > 0$$



(thermodynamics at zero coupling \rightarrow [Gynther, Rebhan, DS '12])

Dominik Steineder

Probing the Extremes of Matter with Heavy Ions

3 scalars: $\psi_{\perp} = h_y^x$, $\psi_L = h_z^y$ and $\psi_{\tilde{L}} = h_y^z$ (massive!)

3 scalars: $\psi_{\perp} = h_y^x$, $\psi_L = h_z^y$ and $\psi_{\tilde{L}} = h_y^z$ (massive!)

effective action

$$S_{eff}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \frac{d^4 K}{(2\pi)^4} du \Big(\psi_n^\prime \mathcal{C}_n^1(K, u) \psi_n^\prime + \psi_n \mathcal{C}_n^0(K, u) \psi_n \Big)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

3 scalars: $\psi_{\perp} = h_y^x$, $\psi_L = h_z^y$ and $\psi_{\tilde{L}} = h_y^z$ (massive!)

effective action

$$S_{eff}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \frac{d^4 K}{(2\pi)^4} du \Big(\psi_n^\prime \mathcal{C}_n^1(K, u) \psi_n^\prime + \psi_n \mathcal{C}_n^0(K, u) \psi_n \Big)$$

retarded correlator

$$G_n^R(K) = -\lim_{u \to 0} \frac{\Pi_n(K, u)}{\psi_n(K, u)} \quad \text{with } \Pi_n = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(2)}}{\partial (\partial_u \psi_n)} \propto \partial_u \psi_n$$

retarded correlator ↔ infalling boundary conditions at horizon

Membrane paradigm [lqbal, Liu '08]

generic transport coefficient of boundary theory

Membrane paradigm [Iqbal, Liu '08]

generic transport coefficient of boundary theory

⇒ geometric quantities evaluated at horizon

313 DQC

Membrane paradigm [lqbal, Liu '08]

at the horizon

$$\psi_a(t, u, \mathbf{x}) = \psi_a(v, \mathbf{x})$$
 where $dv = dt - \sqrt{\frac{g_{uu}}{-g_{tt}}} du$

三日 のへの

Membrane paradigm [lqbal, Liu '08]

at the horizon

$$\psi_a(t, u, \mathbf{x}) = \psi_a(v, \mathbf{x})$$
 where $dv = dt - \sqrt{\frac{g_{uu}}{-g_{tt}}} du$

shear viscosity

$$\eta_n = \frac{\prod_n (K, u_h)}{i\omega\psi_n (K, u_h)} \qquad \text{with } \prod_n (u_h, q) \propto i\omega\psi_n$$

ELE DQC

In the anisotropic plasma we find

• purely transverse $\psi_{\perp} = h_y^x$

$$\eta_{yy}^{xx} = \eta_{\perp} = \frac{s}{4\pi}$$

In the anisotropic plasma we find

• purely transverse $\psi_{\perp} = h_y^x$

$$\eta_{yy}^{xx} = \eta_{\perp} = \frac{s}{4\pi}$$

• longitudinal $\psi_L = h_z^x$

$$\eta_{z z z}^{x x} = \eta_L = \eta_\perp \frac{g_{xx}(u_h)}{g_{zz}(u_h)} = \frac{s}{4\pi \mathcal{H}(u_h)}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 目 > < 目 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 < 0

In the anisotropic plasma we find

• purely transverse $\psi_{\perp} = h_y^x$

$$\eta_{yyy}^{xx} = \eta_{\perp} = \frac{s}{4\pi}$$

• longitudinal $\psi_L = h_z^x$

$$\eta_{zz}^{xx} = \eta_L = \eta_\perp \frac{g_{xx}(u_h)}{g_{zz}(u_h)} = \frac{s}{4\pi \mathcal{H}(u_h)}$$



In the anisotropic plasma we find

• purely transverse $\psi_{\perp} = h_y^x$

$$\eta_{yyy}^{xx} = \eta_{\perp} = \frac{s}{4\pi}$$

• longitudinal $\psi_L = h_z^x$

$$\eta_{zz}^{xx} = \eta_L = \eta_\perp \frac{g_{xx}(u_h)}{g_{zz}(u_h)} = \frac{s}{4\pi \mathcal{H}(u_h)}$$



Violation of the viscosity bound!

In the anisotropic plasma we find

• purely transverse $\psi_{\perp} = h_y^x$

$$\eta_{yyy}^{xx} = \eta_{\perp} = \frac{s}{4\pi}$$

• longitudinal $\psi_L = h_z^x$

$$\eta_{ZZ}^{XX} = \eta_L = \eta_\perp \frac{g_{XX}(u_h)}{g_{ZZ}(u_h)} = \frac{s}{4\pi \mathcal{H}(u_h)}$$



Violation of the viscosity bound!

(D) (A) (A) (A)

• 3rd shear viscosity $\psi_{\tilde{L}} = h_x^z$

$$\eta_{x x}^{z z} = \eta_{\perp} \frac{g_{zz}(u_h)}{g_{xx}(u_h)} = \frac{\mathcal{SH}(u_h)}{4\pi} > \frac{\mathcal{S}}{4\pi}$$

= nan

In the anisotropic plasma we find

• purely transverse $\psi_{\perp} = h_{y}^{x}$

$$\eta_{yyy}^{xx} = \eta_{\perp} = \frac{s}{4\pi}$$

• longitudinal $\psi_L = h_z^x$

$$\eta_{ZZ}^{XX} = \eta_L = \eta_\perp \frac{g_{XX}(u_h)}{g_{ZZ}(u_h)} = \frac{s}{4\pi \mathcal{H}(u_h)}$$



Violation of the viscosity bound!

• 3rd shear viscosity $\psi_{\tilde{L}} = h_x^z$

$$\eta_{x_x}^{z_z} = \eta_\perp \frac{g_{zz}(u_h)}{g_{xx}(u_h)} = \frac{\mathcal{SH}(u_h)}{4\pi} > \frac{\mathcal{S}}{4\pi}$$

3 is 1 too much in boundary theory \Rightarrow symmetry

Dominik Steineder

Probing the Extremes of Matter with Heavy lons

September 17, 2012 13 / 18

JI NON

We find that

$$\partial_u(\eta_{\perp}) = \partial_u(\eta_L) = \mathbf{0}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

We find that

$$\partial_u(\eta_\perp) = \partial_u(\eta_L) = \mathbf{0}$$

but

 $\partial_u \bigl(\eta_{\tilde{L}} \bigr) \propto \textit{a}^2$



() < </p>

We find that

$$\partial_u(\eta_{\perp}) = \partial_u(\eta_L) = \mathbf{0}$$

but

$$\partial_u(\eta_{\tilde{L}}) \propto a^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \eta_{\tilde{L}} = \eta_{\tilde{L}}(u)$$

 \rightarrow only 2 shear viscosities in boundary theory



Image: A matched black

We find that

$$\partial_u(\eta_{\perp}) = \partial_u(\eta_L) = \mathbf{0}$$

but

$$\partial_u(\eta_{\tilde{L}}) \propto a^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \eta_{\tilde{L}} = \eta_{\tilde{L}}(u)$$

 \rightarrow only 2 shear viscosities in boundary theory



Furthermore

$$\eta_{\tilde{L}}(u) = \eta_{z z}^{X x}(u) = \left(\frac{g_{zz}(u)}{g_{xx}(u)}\right)^2 \eta_{z x}^{z z} = \left(\frac{g_{zz}(u)}{g_{xx}(u)}\right)^2 \eta_L$$

Results

• model of anisotropic plasma

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Results

- model of anisotropic plasma
 - that is in thermal equilibrium (anisotropy is not dynamical)

Results

- model of anisotropic plasma
 - that is in thermal equilibrium (anisotropy is not dynamical)
 - that has a rich phase diagram at infinite and zero coupling

Results

- model of anisotropic plasma
 - that is in thermal equilibrium (anisotropy is not dynamical)
 - that has a rich phase diagram at infinite and zero coupling
 - that is very different from hard anisotropic loop effective theory

Results

- model of anisotropic plasma
 - that is in thermal equilibrium (anisotropy is not dynamical)
 - that has a rich phase diagram at infinite and zero coupling
 - that is very different from hard anisotropic loop effective theory
- different shear viscosities

Results

- model of anisotropic plasma
 - that is in thermal equilibrium (anisotropy is not dynamical)
 - that has a rich phase diagram at infinite and zero coupling
 - that is very different from hard anisotropic loop effective theory
- different shear viscosities
 - longitudinal shear viscosity breaks the holographic bound

Results

- model of anisotropic plasma
 - that is in thermal equilibrium (anisotropy is not dynamical)
 - that has a rich phase diagram at infinite and zero coupling
 - that is very different from hard anisotropic loop effective theory
- different shear viscosities
 - longitudinal shear viscosity breaks the holographic bound

Outlook

 phenomenological implications of the longitudinal shear viscosity



Results

- model of anisotropic plasma
 - that is in thermal equilibrium (anisotropy is not dynamical)
 - that has a rich phase diagram at infinite and zero coupling
 - that is very different from hard anisotropic loop effective theory
- different shear viscosities
 - longitudinal shear viscosity breaks the holographic bound

Outlook

- phenomenological implications of the longitudinal shear viscosity
 - impact on elliptic flow seems to be very small



Results

- model of anisotropic plasma
 - that is in thermal equilibrium (anisotropy is not dynamical)
 - that has a rich phase diagram at infinite and zero coupling
 - that is very different from hard anisotropic loop effective theory
- different shear viscosities
 - longitudinal shear viscosity breaks the holographic bound

Outlook

- phenomenological implications of the longitudinal shear viscosity
 - impact on elliptic flow seems to be very small
- studying small but finite coupling
 - compare transport coefficients to strong coupling results



Results

- model of anisotropic plasma
 - that is in thermal equilibrium (anisotropy is not dynamical)
 - that has a rich phase diagram at infinite and zero coupling
 - that is very different from hard anisotropic loop effective theory
- different shear viscosities
 - longitudinal shear viscosity breaks the holographic bound

Outlook

- phenomenological implications of the longitudinal shear viscosity
 - impact on elliptic flow seems to be very small
- studying small but finite coupling
 - compare transport coefficients to strong coupling results





1 = 1 = 1 A C

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

The issue of instabilities [Mateos, Trancanelli '11; Rebhan, DS '11]



() < </p>

The issue of instabilities [Mateos, Trancanelli '11; Rebhan, DS '11]



large a/T,

() < </p>
The issue of instabilities [Mateos, Trancanelli '11; Rebhan, DS '11]



large a/T, small a/T

() < </p>

The issue of instabilities [Mateos, Trancanelli '11; Rebhan, DS '11]



large a/T, small a/T

\Rightarrow numerically $a/T \gtrsim 1.3$

Dominik Steineder

Probing the Extremes of Matter with Heavy lons

September 17, 2012 17 / 18

A D D A A A D D A A B

The issue of instabilities [Mateos, Trancanelli '11; Rebhan, DS '11]



large a/T, small a/T

\Rightarrow numerically $a/T \gtrsim 1.3$

Dominik Steineder

Probing the Extremes of Matter with Heavy lons

September 17, 2012 17 / 18

= nan

Thermodynamics at zero coupling [Gynther, Rebhan, DS '12]

theory of free photons coupled to anisotropic CS charge

Thermodynamics at zero coupling [Gynther, Rebhan, DS '12]

theory of free photons coupled to anisotropic CS charge \rightarrow no tachyonic modes for spacelike gradients of θ

Thermodynamics at zero coupling [Gynther, Rebhan, DS '12]

theory of free photons coupled to anisotropic CS charge \rightarrow no tachyonic modes for spacelike gradients of θ

