Entropy Production in high-energy Heavy Ion Collisions

Andreas Schäfer (Regensburg), in collaboration with Berndt Müller (Duke) and many more

- The problem
- 1. approach: Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy, Husimi transformation and Wehrl entropy
- 2. approach: AdS/CFT
- Conclusions

The aim is to provide input for hydrodynamics, plus to address a fundametal theory problem

Thermalization requires massive entropy production at early stages (< 1 fm/c)

But QCD is (basically) time-reversal invariant. Entropy is typically produced by measurements \Rightarrow Coarse-graining.

How can entropy be produced at all before any measurement takes place ? How can it be produced so fast ?

Coarse graining in non-linear mechanics



- Under time evolution the phase space volume is conserved
- The finite resolution of any measurement implies an increase in phase space volume

A related issue: The information problem of black-hole physics



If the S-Matrix is unitary $S_{inital} = S_{final}$. But the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is $S_{BH} = k_B A/(4G)$. Entropy production corresponds to information loss. Within AdS/CFT entropy generaton in the boundary theory (QFT) and information loss in 5-dim are equivalent.

Question: Does thermalization of gauge theories depend crucially on the initial state ?

If Yes: We would have a problem because fluctuations are large according to theory \Rightarrow Berndt Müller's talk



energy density at 0.2 fm/c

If No: Generic calculations should give sensible results \Rightarrow classical field theory and/or AdS/CFT could catch the essential physics

Different stages of entropy production in a HIC



Non-linear Dynamics and Quantum Decoherence

The Lyapunov exponents of a classical theory are determine numerically. The Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy is defined as

$$h_{KS} = \sum_{i,\lambda_i>0} \lambda_i$$

The "Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy" is no entropy, but an entropy growth rate.

a generic picture



Example: Standard map

M. Baranger, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 13(2002)471



The Husimi function

$$H_{\Delta}(p,x;t) = \int \frac{dp' \, dx'}{\pi \hbar} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\hbar \Delta}(p-p')^2 - \frac{\Delta}{\hbar}(x-x')^2\right) W(p',x';t)$$

The Wehrl entropy

$$\mathcal{S}_{H,\Delta}(t) = -\int rac{dp\,dx}{2\pi\hbar} \mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(p,x;t) \ln \mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(p,x;t); \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} rac{d\mathcal{S}_{H,\Delta}}{dt} = h_{\mathcal{KS}}$$

Crucial assumption: Classical and quantum system have similar h_{KS}

Classical YM theory

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,a,i} E_i^a(x)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x,a,i,j} F_{ij}^a(x)^2$$
$$F_{ij}^a(x) = \partial_i A_j^a(x) - \partial_j A_i^a(x) + \sum_{b,c} f^{abc} A_i^b(x) A_j^c(x)$$

$$\delta \dot{X}(t) = \mathcal{H} \delta X(t)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{A}_i^a(x) &= E_i^a(x) \\ \dot{E}_i^a(x) &= \sum_j \partial_j F_{ji}^a(x) + \sum_{b,c,j} f^{abc} A_j^b(x) F_{ji}^c(x) \end{aligned}$$

Different distance measures give the same result.





After many detailed studies we concluded

 $au_{
m eq}~pprox~2~{
m fm/c}$

with substantial theoretical uncertainties

and that a value below 1 fm/c is very unlikely

Presently we (i.e. our Japanese collegues) study the dependence on initial conditions

Maldacena Conjecture:

Solving Einstein's equations in five dimensions with negative constant curvature

is dual to

Solving SU(N) supersymmetric ($\mathcal{N}=4$), conformal gauge theory at strong coupling for N $\rightarrow \infty$ How can this work ?

- QCD is nearly conformal at large temperature $T > \Lambda_{QCD}$
- Fermionic degrees of freedom are less important at high temperature
- Thermodynamic quantities show only a weak *N* dependence

Two possible approaches:

- Try to find the dual of QCD ⇒ IHQCD Gürsoy, Kiritsis et al. Fix parameters by comparison with lattice
- Analyse quantities which are insensitive to details

We do both

We analyse different SU(N) groups and 1+3 as well as 1+2 dimensions ($AdS_4 \times S^6$; solid state physics, quantized Hall effect, superconductivity, etc.)

We have a large lattice group (SFB/TR-55, STRONGnet, S. Kovalevskaja group (P. Buividovich, ...)





Thermodynamic quantities for 1+3 dimensions Pressure



M. Panero, 0907.3719

Thermodynamic quantities for 1+2 dimensions Entropy density



M. Caselle, Castagnini, Feo, Gliozzi, Gürsoy, Panero, AS, 1102.0723

Earlier work: Probe black brane formation with a string or membrane.



with de Boer, Craps, Keski-Vakkuri, Bernamonti, Staessens, Balasubramanian, Shigemori, Copland results from 1012.4753 and 1103.2683 The change in geodetic length is sensitive to equal time correlators of high dimension gluonic operators which are in turn sensitive to thermalization.



We solved analytically and numerically different cases: $AdS_3 \sim CFT(1+1)$, $AdS_4 \sim CFT(1+2)$, $AdS_5 \sim CFT(1+3)$ and analyzed how the length of the geodesic/the area of the surface approaches its thermal value, as a function of ℓ and t_0 .





 $\delta \tilde{\mathcal{L}} - \delta \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{thermal}$ ($\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \equiv \mathcal{L}/\ell$) for d = 2, 3, 4 (left,right, middle) and $\ell = 1, 2, 3, 4$ (top to bottom curve).

Observations

Thermalization is approached as fast as compatible with causality.
 For heavy ion collisions this implies

 $au \sim 1/(2 Q_s) \sim 0.1 \, \textit{fm/c}$

- Short distances thermalize first, top-down rather than bottom-up thermalization Unavoidable in the AdS dual theory. A fundamental difference between strong and weak coupling ???
- Confirmed by completely different holographic investigations

i.e. S. Caron-Huot, P.M. Chesler D. Teaney 1102.1073

Extensively studies in AdS/CFT in view of solid state applications

Easy to implement on the lattice: Modify gauge links according to

$$u_y(n) = e^{ia^2qBn_x}$$
 ect.

Of interest in view of the strong magnetic fields produced in HICs (CME etc.)

QCD phase transition in a background *B* field Only simulation with small quark masses (G. Endrodi (Regensburg); collaboration with Budapest-Wuppertal)



renormalized up quark condensate, its susceptibility and the strange susceptibility

 $\langle \bar{\psi}_{f} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi_{f} \rangle = q_{f} F_{\mu\nu} \cdot \tau_{f}$



The quarks in the finite T vacuum are diamagnetic (as expected) tbp

$\Delta_{E} = (\langle E_{\perp}^{2}(QCD) \rangle_{B} - \langle E_{\perp}^{2}(QCD) \rangle_{0}) - (\langle E_{\parallel}^{2}(QCD) \rangle_{B} - \langle E_{\parallel}^{2}(QCD) \rangle_{0})$



The gluon field strength components in a magnetic field at T = 0 tbp

This has many interesting aspects

Bosons are paramagnetic, fermions are diamagnetic (was related to the different signs in the QCD β function). Effective models with only bosonic degrees of freedom tend to fail.

We see a drop of T_c as function of B. It was suggested that the physics might be: Large B leads to 1+1 dimensional dynamics \Rightarrow Mermin-Wagner-theorem \Rightarrow no chiral symmetry breaking

Conclusions

- Understanding entropy production during thermalization in HICs is a problem of fundamental importance.
- Thermalization via non-linear dynamics and coarse graining with \hbar needs $\tau \approx 2 \text{fm/c}$.
- Thermalization for strong coupling as described by AdS/CFT is top-down and very fast $\tau \approx 0.1 \text{fm/c}$.
- AdS/CFT and LQCD could form a powerful team
- Projects
 - Classical YM dynamics: Influence of initial conditions
 - Equilibration time for fluctuations from AdS/CFT
 - QCD in a constant B field ⇒ non-leading AdS corrections
 - A new way to determine transport coefficients from lattice QCD:

Fit AdS parameters to $\langle T_{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{LATTICE}} \Rightarrow \eta/s > 1/(4\pi)$

Decoherence:

B. Müller and AS, Phys. Rev. C 73 (2006) 054905 [hep-ph/0512100].

R. J. Fries, B. Müller and AS, Phys. Rev. C 79 (2009) 034904 [0807.1093].

Classical YM:

T. Kunihiro, B. Müller, A. Ohnishi and AS, Prog. Theor. Phys. 121 (2009) 555 [arXiv:0809.4831].

T. Kunihiro, B. Müller, A. Ohnishi, AS, T. T. Takahashi and A. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 114015 [1008.1156]. AdS/CFT:

V. Balasubramanian, A. Bernamonti, J. de Boer, N. Copland, B. Craps, E. Keski-Vakkuri, B. Müller, AS, M. Shigemori, W. Staessens, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 026010 [1103.2683]; Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 191601 [1012.4753] B. Müller and AS, [1111.3347].

Review:

B. Müller and AS, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 20 (2011) 2235 [1110.2378].

B-field:

P.V. Buividovich (now Regensburg), M. Polikarpov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 132001 [1003.2180].

G.S. Bali, F. Bruckmann, G. Endrodi, Z. Fodor, S.D. Katz, S. Krieg, AS, K.K. Szabo, JHEP **1202** (2012) 044 [1111.4956]