



Contents



- Introduction
- CPG detectors
- The Gran Sasso set-up
- Plan for a large scale experiment
- Options (pixel, LSc)
- Summary



COBRA - The idea



Use large amount of CdZnTe Semiconductor Detectors



K. Zuber, Phys. Lett. B 519,1 (2001)



COBRA

More welcome!!!



Technical University Dresden Technical University Dortmund University of Hamburg University of Erlangen-Nürnberg Freiburger Materialforschung (FMF) Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso Czech Technical University Prague Washington University at St. Louis University of Jyvaskyla University of de la Plata University of Bratislava JINR Dubna







	nat. ab. (%)	Q (keV)Decay mode	
Zn70	0.62	1001	B-B-
Cd114	28.7	534	B-B-
Cd116	7.5	2813	B-B-
Te128	31.7	868	B-B-
Te130	33.8	2527	B-B-
Zn64	48.6	1096	β+/EC
Cd106	1.21	2771	B+B+
Cd108	0.9	231	EC/EC
Te120	0.1	1722	β+/EC



Advantages



- Source = detector
- Semiconductor (Good energy resolution, clean)
- Room temperature
- Modular design (Coincidences)
- Industrial development of CdTe detectors
- ¹¹⁶Cd above 2.614 MeV
- Tracking ("Solid state TPC")





With the known oscillation results everything is fixed



General dependence

Current data

K. Zuber





This is the 50 meV option, just add 0's to moles and kgs if you want smaller neutrino masses

 $T_{1/2} = In2 \cdot a \cdot N_A \cdot M \cdot t / N_{\beta\beta} (\tau_{\gg\tau})$ (Background free)

For half-life measurements of 10²⁶⁻²⁷ yrs

1 event/yr you need 10²⁶⁻²⁷ source atoms

This is about 1000 moles of isotope, implying about 100 kg

Now you only can loose: nat. abundance, efficiency, background, ...

K. Zuber



Final number depends on used nuclear matrix element





$0\nu\beta\beta$: Peak at Q-value of nuclear transition

Sum energy spectrum of both electrons Measured quantity: Half-life



$$1 / T_{1/2} = PS * NME^{2} * (/ m_{e})^{2}$$

Experimental sensitivity depends on
$$T_{1/2}^{-1} \propto a\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{Mt}{\Delta EB}}$$
(BG limited)
$$T_{1/2}^{-1} \propto a\varepsilon Mt$$
(BG free)

If background limited
$$m_v \propto 4 \sqrt{\frac{\Delta EB}{Mt}}$$



Properties as radiation detector

- Intrinsic II-VI-semiconductor (no doping necessary)
- Wide bandgap $E_q = 1,56 \text{ eV} \rightarrow \text{highly resistive } (R_s = 10^{11} \Omega \text{cm})$
- High mean atomic number and density ($Z \approx 50$, $\rho = 5.8$ g/cm³)
- Electron Mobility:
 - $(\mu \tau)_e = 1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^2/\text{V}$
- Hole Mobility:
 - $(\mu \tau)_h = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2/\text{V}$
- Ionic binding -> susceptible to lattice distortions
- High density of charge traps



CZT-ingots manufactured by eV Microproducts





Energy measurement only



Major exp. step: Installation of 64 1cm³ CZT detectors at LNGS



CPG detectors



CPG = coplanar grid detectors

Mobility and trapping of charge carriers quite different

Frisch grid principle of wire chambers applied to CZT

(P. Luke, IEEE Trans. NS 42, 207 (1995))



Position in detector





CPG principle and Ramo weighting potential



(also energy and depth can be corrected for trapping effects)

M.Fritts et al, NIM A, Volume 708, 21 April 2013, Pages 1-6, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2013.01.004



Weighting function



correction of charge trapping by empirical determination of the weighting factor *wf*



K. Zuber, Erice 2013



Energy resolution





Lateral wall events





Underground - LNGS





Detector tomography



First step: Flushing calibration



Second step: Scanning

Collimator-channel

- 6cm length
- 500µm diameter
- Opening angle <1°
- Special resolution:
 <1mm
- 100MBq ¹³⁷Cs





Detector tomography

Pulse shapes recorded, efficiency determination





Impressions – Contacting at LNGS





Installation



First layer installed in November 2011, second layer in March 2012, 3rd layer in June 2013, last one until end of the year, electronics already in place



Event distribution in detectors

82.3 kg days



improved event reconstruction:

M. Fritts, J. Durst, T. Göpfert, T.Wester and K. Zuber, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 708 (2013), pp. 1-6)





Preliminary

Cathode events and lateral wall events dominate







Background reduction through identification of surface events



After all cuts







48 independent measurements of the half-live!



Other things - coincidences



Searches for excited states, beta+ modes, furthermore useful tool for background reduction...



Outlook - Single site vs multiple site



Not used yet



Large scale experiment

Strongly modular design:

- -Basic building block : module 3x3 array of 2x2x1.5 cm³ detector
- -1 ladder cointains 8 modules, 1 layer contains 8 ladders, build several layers (towers)



Monte Carlo simulations have shown that never more than 10 detectors will fire





Large scale experiment

ASICs and FPGA readout

A total of about 10000 detectors is needed









Supernova detection

NC interactions:

$$\nu_{l} + {}^{116}\text{Cd} \rightarrow {}^{116}\text{Cd}^{*} + \nu_{l}^{\prime},$$

$$\bar{\nu}_{l} + {}^{116}\text{Cd} \rightarrow {}^{116}\text{Cd}^{*} + \bar{\nu}_{l}^{\prime},$$

$$\nu_{l} + {}^{116}\text{Cd} \rightarrow {}^{116}\text{In} + l^{-},$$

$$\bar{\nu}_{l} + {}^{116}\text{Cd} \rightarrow {}^{116}\text{Ag} + l^{+}$$

CC interactions:









Idea: Massive background reduction by particle identification





Setup at Gran Sasso Lab



256x256 pixels, 55µm



According to simulations particle identification due to pixels should reduce background by 3 orders of magnitude



2 pixel system at LNGS





CZT in LSci











- COBRA is still a rather new approach to double beta using room temperature semiconductors aiming to search for DBD of Cd-116
- After moving to former HdM cabin, currently a major upgrade is ongoing to 64 detectors installation finished by end of the year, exploration of larger detectors (20x20x15 instead of 10x10x10) has started
- Default for a large scale setup of about 10000 detectors are 2x2x1.5 cm³ detectors, more detailed design/simulation ongoing
- Pixel option very attracting and unique (semiconductor tracker, solid state TPC), but much more complex.





