Chiral Criticality

Bengt Friman GSI

Based on work with Gabor Almasi & Thomas Jahn

Erice 2016

Conjectured phase diagram of QCD

O(4) cross over



Knowns and unknowns





• Signature of Z(2) CP?



of conserved mbers critical?



 χ_p^4/χ_p^2 STAR data

Stephanov, PRL '11 Skokov, QM 2012

Freeze-out line below CP



Lattice QCD

- Chiral symmetry broken in vacuum and restored at high temperatures.
- Quark condensate $\rightarrow 0$ at high T (order parameter)

• Chiral susceptibility peaks at $T \simeq T_{pc}$ (fluctuations!)





Columbia plot ($\mu_B = 0$)

- Dependence on quark masses
- Three massless flavors: 1st order chiral transition
- Quark masses $\rightarrow \infty$ 1st order deconfinement trans.
- Order of transition in 2-flavor chiral limit:
 - 2nd order \rightarrow O(4) scaling
 - 1st order \rightarrow Z(2) scaling



Lattice QCD @ small μ_B

- LQCD at $\mu_B \neq 0$ difficult due to sign problem
- \rightarrow Taylor expansion about $\mu_B = 0 \rightarrow \mu_B \neq 0 \ (\mu_B/T \lesssim 1)$
- Other schemes:



- Im chemical potential + analytic continuation
- Reweighting
- Complex Langevin Gert Aarts talk

Columbia plot @ non-zero μ_B

- Line of critical points \rightarrow surface of cp's
- Physical point crosses surface \rightarrow CP & 1st ord.

- No crossing \rightarrow chiral transition remains of cross over type.
- Not settled due to strong cut-off effects



Wilson RG flow

• Assume O(4) at $\mu_B \simeq 0$ + critical point at larger μ_B

• TCP corresponds to Gaussian FP

Crossover from O(4) to Gaussian
 to Z(2) fixed point with incr. µB?
 Interference between FP's?

Wilson-Fisher O(4)



Wilson RG flow

• Assume O(4) at $\mu_B \simeq 0$ + tricritical point at larger μ_B

• TCP corresponds to Gaussian FP

 Crossover from O(4) to Gaussian, to Z(2) fixed point with incr. μ_B?
 Interference between FP's?

Wilson-Fisher O(1)=Z(2)



Outline

- Critical fluctuations and scaling
- Aside on focusing (on the cross over side)
- Magnetic equation of state and scaling window
- Scaling window near TCP
- Conclusions

Critical fluctuations Mixture of methanol and cyclohexane Uniform mixture <-> Separated fluids



Light scatt. on critical fluctuations

Index of refraction $n_1 \neq n_2$



 $T = T_c$ Critical opalescence

 $T > T_c$ Uniform mixture

Criticality and scaling (heuristic)

- Close to a CP, $\xi (\rightarrow \infty)$ most important length scale; responsible for singular part of thermodynamics
- Partition function dimensionless & extensive

$$\log \mathcal{Z} = \left(\frac{L}{\xi}\right)^d \times g_s + \left(\frac{L}{a}\right)^d \times g_r$$
singular regular
$$g_s, g_r \text{ non-singular}$$
a microsc. length

 $f_s \sim \frac{\log \mathcal{Z}}{Id} \sim \xi^{-d}$

Free energy density:

$$f(T, \mu, m) = f_s + f_r$$

Widom scaling hypothesis

Reduced temperature

$$\bar{t} = \frac{1}{t_0} \frac{T - T_c}{T_c}$$

 $\bar{h} = \frac{1}{h_0} \frac{H}{H_0}$ • Symmetry breaking field

• Correlation length diverges @ $\bar{t} = \bar{h} = 0$

$$\xi \sim (\bar{t})^{-1/y_t} \qquad \xi \sim (\bar{h})^{-1/y_h}$$

Scale invariance

$$\xi \to \xi/\lambda \longrightarrow \overline{t} \to \lambda^{y_t} \overline{t}, \quad \overline{h} \to \lambda^{y_h} \overline{h} \text{ or } f_s \to \lambda^d f_s$$

 $f_s(\bar{t},\bar{h}) = \lambda^{-d} f_s(\lambda^{y_t}\bar{t},\lambda^{y_h}\bar{h})$ generalized homogeneous form

Critical exponents

- $f_s(\bar{t},\bar{h}) = \lambda^{-d} f_s(\lambda^{y_t}\bar{t},\lambda^{y_h}\bar{h})$ true for any λ
- Choose $\lambda^{y_h}\bar{h}=1$ $\{y_t, y_h\} \to \{\beta, \delta\}$

$$f_s(\bar{t},\bar{h}) = \bar{h}^{1+1/\delta} \tilde{f}_s(z) \qquad z = \bar{t}/\bar{h}^{1/\beta\delta}$$

• Order parameter scaling $\langle \phi \rangle = \partial f_s / \partial \bar{h} \ \langle \phi \rangle = \bar{h}^{1/\delta} f_G(z)$

$$\langle \phi \rangle (\bar{t} = 0) \sim \bar{h}^{1/\delta} \quad \langle \phi \rangle (\bar{h} = 0) \sim (-\bar{t})^{\beta}$$



Critical region

 ∞

- Scaling window: critical fluctuations dominate
- More derivatives —> stronger singularity

$$f(x) = x^{1/2}$$
 $f(0) = 0$

$$f'(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{-1/2} \qquad f'(0) =$$
$$f^{(n)}(x) \sim x^{1/2-n}$$

 Size of scaling window determ. by competition betw. sing. & reg. parts depends on observable



Aside on focusing

- Robust observable of criticality: Sing. part diverges!
- Singular free energy: $f_s(\bar{t}, \bar{h}) = \lambda^{-d} f_s(\lambda^{y_t} \bar{t}, \lambda^{y_h} \bar{h})$
- Choose $\lambda^{y_t} \overline{t} = 1$

 \mathcal{O} / \mathcal{I} N B

• Yields: $f_s = |\bar{t}|^{2-\alpha} \tilde{f}_s \left(\bar{h}/\bar{t}^{y_h/y_t}\right)$

$$S/N_B \sim -\partial f_s/\partial T \sim |\bar{t}|^{1-\alpha}$$

 $1 - \alpha > 0$ No divergence!

Nonaka & Asakawa, 2005



Strength of singularity tuned up

Aside on focusing

- Robust observable of criticality: Sing. part diverges!
- Singular free energy: $f_s(\bar{t}, \bar{h}) = \lambda^{-d} f_s(\lambda^{y_t} \bar{t}, \lambda^{y_h} \bar{h})$
- Choose $\lambda^{y_t} \overline{t} = 1$
- Yields:

$$f_s = |\bar{t}|^{2-\alpha} \tilde{f}_s \left(\bar{\bar{h}}/\bar{t}^{y_h/y_t}\right)$$

$$S/N_B \sim -\partial f_s/\partial T \sim |\bar{t}|^{1-\alpha}$$

 $1 - \alpha > 0$ No divergence!

NA-focusing @ very unlikely!

Nakano et al., 2010



PQM-FRG

Magnetic equation of state

• Dimensionless order parameter $(\phi = \sigma/\sigma_0)$ $\langle \phi \rangle/\bar{h}^{1/\delta} \equiv x = f_G(z) \qquad z = \bar{t}/\bar{h}^{1/\beta\delta}$



- Unique magnetic EOS for each universality class!
- Scaling violations —> deviations from universal EOS

Landau Theory

Landau effective free energy

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}a(T)\phi^2 + \frac{1}{4}b(T)\phi^4 + \frac{1}{6}c(T)\phi^6 - H\phi$$

• Temperature dependence $(a(T_c) = 0)$

$$a(T) = a_1 t + a_2 t^2 \qquad b(T) = b_0 + b_1 t \qquad c(T) = c_0$$

• mEOS $x = \phi/\bar{h}^{1/\delta} \qquad z = \bar{t}/\bar{h}^{1/\beta\delta}$
 $(x(x^2 + z) - 1) + \left(\frac{H}{b_0}\right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{c_0}{b_0}x^5 + \frac{b_1}{a_1}x^3z + \frac{a_2b_0}{a_1^2}xz^2\right)$
 $+ \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{H}{b_0}\right)^{4/3}\right) = 0$



$$\delta x = -\frac{1}{3}\bar{h}^{2/3}\,\sigma_0^2\,c_0/b_0$$

$$\bar{h}_{sw} = \left(\frac{-3\,\delta x\,b_0}{\sigma_0^2\,c_0}\right)^{3/2}$$



Scaling window

• Lattice QCD:

Ejiri *et al.* 2009





- Physical value $m_l/m_s = 1/27$
- Scaling window extends \sim to physical m_{π} $(\mu_B=0)$
- Staggered fermions: one light pion —> O(2)

Scaling window at non-zero μ_B ?

Tricritical scaling

 Critical points mark the end of a first-order transition

 At a tricritical point three lines of critical points meet

 Advantageous to discuss scaling in three dimensions



Tricritical scaling



• Weak direction:

• Strong direction:

 $x_2 \leftrightarrow T\cos\theta + \mu\sin\theta$

Hankey et al, 1973

• Independent direction:

 $x_3 \leftrightarrow -T\sin\theta + \mu\cos\theta$

At TCP all coord. systems coincide!

 $x_1 \leftrightarrow m, H$

Tricritical scaling



• Independent direction:

 $x_3 \leftrightarrow -T\sin\theta + \mu\cos\theta$

At TCP all coord. systems coincide!

Scaling window near TCP

- Scaling arguments:
 - Scaling windows near TCP $\rightarrow 0$
- Expect O(4) scaling window to decrease with μ_B
- If physical m_q within scaling window @ $\mu_B = 0$ leave SW at some $\mu_B \neq 0$



Chang *et al.*, 1973

Landau Theory revisited

Landau effective free energy

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}a(T,\mu)\phi^2 + \frac{1}{4}b(T,\mu)\phi^4 + \frac{1}{6}c(T,\mu)\phi^6 - H\phi$$



$$\bar{h}_{sw} = \left(\frac{-3\,\delta x\,b_0}{\sigma_0^2\,c_0}\right)^{3/2} \to 0 \quad \text{@ TCP}$$

If TCP expect scaling window to decrease with μ_B

Landau Theory revisited

Landau effective free energy

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}a(T,\mu)\phi^2 + \frac{1}{4}b(T,\mu)\phi^4 + \frac{1}{6}c(T,\mu)\phi^6 - H\phi$$

• TCP:

$$a = b = 0 \to (T_{TCP}, \mu_{TCP})$$

• Scaling window:

$$\bar{h}_{sw} = \left(\frac{-3\,\delta x\,b_0}{\sigma_0^2\,c_0}\right)^{3/2} \to 0 \quad \text{@ TCP}$$

If TCP expect scaling window to decrease with μ_B

Critical scaling in QM-FRG

- Quark-meson model (O(4) universality class)
- $\mathcal{L} = \bar{q} \left[i\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu} g(\sigma + i\gamma_{5}\vec{\tau}\vec{\pi}) \right] q + \frac{1}{2} \left[(\partial_{\mu}\sigma)^{2} + (\partial_{\mu}\vec{\pi})^{2} \right] U(\sigma,\vec{\pi})$ $U(\sigma,\vec{\pi}) = \frac{\lambda}{4} (\sigma^{2} + \vec{\pi}^{2} v^{2})^{2} H\sigma$
- Critical fluctuations accounted for using FRG

$$\partial_k \Gamma_k = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \partial_k R_k \left(\Gamma_k^{(2,0)} + R_k \right)^{-1} \right\}$$





Scaling violation in mEOS

• Magnetic EOS @ $\mu_B = 0$



• Scaling violation @ $\overline{t} = 0$ along phase boundary



Scaling window

• 1% deviation from scaling



• Scaling region in t, for h=0



Interpretation?

• QM-model in mean-field theory

$$\bar{h}_{sw} = \left(\frac{-3\,\delta x\,b_0}{\sigma_0^2\,c_0}\right)^{3/2} \quad \text{(leading order)}$$

- $b_0 \rightarrow 0$ @ TCP. What about c_0 ?
- High-temperature expansion of one-fermion-loop:





Scaling window MFT

• To leading order in scale-breaking field



- Maximum related to change in sign of scale breaking term
- μ_B dependence of one-fermion-loop

Summary and conclusions

- O(4) scaling window shows unexpected behavior in QM-FRG, maximal close to TCP
- Existence of TCP and scaling window non-universal; In MFT both traced back to one-fermion-loop; Enhanced scaling window survives critical fluct.
- Effect of confinement?
- Lattice QCD? (need to overcome sign problem)
- What happens along Z(2) line?
- Analogous effect in finite size scaling?

