Description of ³¹Ne in Halo EFT



International School of Nuclear Physics 40th Course

Ettore Majorana Center, Erice, Sicily September 16 – 24, 2018

Wael Elkamhawy

Institut für Kernphysik Technische Universität Darmstadt

in collaboration with H.-W. Hammer

September 20, 2018





Introduction and Motivation



- Only small number of neutron halo nuclei have been identified
 - Most of them are neutron-rich light isotopes of He through C
- Until now, the heaviest 1*n*-halo nuclei are ³⁷Mg and ³¹Ne



► 1*n*-removal reactions on C and Pb targets revealed: [Nakamura et al., 2014] ³¹Ne deformed nucleus with a significant *P*-wave halo component

 $\Rightarrow~^{31}\text{Ne}$ offers a prototype to study deformation-driven halos and understand emergent properties in heavier-near-drip-line nuclei



Introduction and Motivation



- One-neutron halo nuclei are exotic nuclear states
- Degrees of freedom: tightly bound core and a loosely bound valence neutron
- ► In general: valence neutron bound in a low-ℓ wave
- Quantum numbers determined: $J^{P} = \frac{3}{2}^{-}$ [Nakamura et al., 2014]



Effective Lagrangian



$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= \boldsymbol{c}^{\dagger} \left[i \partial_{0} + \frac{\overrightarrow{\nabla}^{2}}{2m_{c}} \right] \boldsymbol{c} + \boldsymbol{n}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \left[i \partial_{0} + \frac{\overrightarrow{\nabla}^{2}}{2m_{n}} \right] \boldsymbol{n}_{\alpha} + \pi_{\beta}^{\dagger} \left[\eta \left(i \partial_{0} + \frac{\overrightarrow{\nabla}^{2}}{2M_{nc}} \right) + \Delta \right] \pi_{\beta} \\ &- g \left[\left(\boldsymbol{c} \overleftarrow{\nabla}_{i} \boldsymbol{n}_{\alpha} \right) \pi_{\beta}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{C}_{(i\eta)(\frac{1}{2}\alpha)}^{\frac{3}{2}\beta} + \text{H.c.} \right], \end{split}$$

where $M_{nc} = m_n + m_c$ (total mass of neutron and core)

Feynman rules:





September 20, 2018 | Institut für Kernphysik, TU Darmstadt | Wael Elkamhawy | 4

Full Dimeron Propagator



Full dimeron propagator:

Dress bare propagator with a geometric series of dimeron self-energies



$$\Sigma = \frac{-\mu g^2 2\mu \left(p_0 - p^2 / (2M_{nc}) \right)}{6\pi} \left(\frac{3}{2} \Lambda^{\text{PDS}} - \sqrt{-2\mu \left(p_0 - p^2 / (2M_{nc}) \right) - i\epsilon} \right),$$

where $\mu \hat{=}$ reduced mass

Scattering Amplitude: Renormalization





Matching



Now compare renormalized amplitude to effective range expansion of amplitude:

$$\begin{split} T_{\alpha'\alpha}(\vec{p}',\vec{p}) &= \frac{6\pi}{\mu} \frac{\frac{2}{3}\vec{p}'\vec{p}\delta_{\alpha'\alpha} + \frac{i}{3} \left(\sum_{l} \sigma_{l} \left(\vec{p}' \times \vec{p}\right)_{l}\right)_{\alpha'\alpha}}{\left(\frac{-6\pi\Delta^{R}}{\mu\left(g^{R}\right)^{2}} - \frac{3\pi\eta}{\mu^{2}\left(g^{R}\right)^{2}}p^{2} - ip^{3}\right)} \\ &= \frac{6\pi}{\mu} \frac{\frac{2}{3}\vec{p}'\vec{p}\delta_{\alpha'\alpha} + \frac{i}{3}\left(\sum_{l} \sigma_{l} \left(\vec{p}' \times \vec{p}\right)_{l}\right)_{\alpha'\alpha}}{\left(-\frac{1}{a_{1}} + \frac{r_{1}}{2}p^{2} - ip^{3}\right)} \end{split} \\ \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} a_{1} &= \frac{\mu\left(g^{R}\right)^{2}}{6\pi\Delta^{R}} \\ r_{1} &= -\frac{6\pi\eta}{\mu^{2}\left(g^{R}\right)^{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Note:

 $a_1 \stackrel{\circ}{=}$ scattering volume

 $r_1 \stackrel{\circ}{=} P$ -wave effective momentum



Effective Range Parameters



Determine effective range parameters by using measured observables:

• Demand a pole in the amplitude at $E = -S_n = -\gamma^2/(2\mu)$ ($\gamma > 0 \doteq$ binding momentum)

$$\Rightarrow \left(-\frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{r_1}{2}p^2 - ip^3 \right) \Big|_{p=i\gamma} = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow a_1 = -\frac{2}{\gamma^2 (r_1 + 2\gamma)}$$

- Assuming only Δ/g^2 to be fine-tuned (shallow *P*-wave state) [Hammer and Phillips, 2011]
 - \Rightarrow *P*-wave effective momentum $r_1 \sim M_{hi}$ (breakdown scale of the theory)
 - \Rightarrow scattering volume a_1 is enhanced by $1/(M_{lo}^2 M_{hi})$



EM Interaction – Minimal Substitution



Photons are included via minimal substitution:

$$\partial_{\mu}
ightarrow {\it D}_{\mu}$$
 = ∂_{μ} + ieq̂A_{\mu} ~~(e>0)

- \hat{q} is the charge operator acting on a *c* or *n* field
 - ▶ *q̂n* = 0
 - $\hat{q}c = q_c c$ with $q_c = 10$ for Ne
- Gauge-invariant operators involving \vec{B} and \vec{E} might contribute to electromagnetic observables within our power counting scheme



Scalar Component of EM Current



$$i\mathcal{A} = \langle \pi_{\beta'}(\vec{p}') | J_0 | \pi_{\beta}(\vec{p}) \rangle =$$

$$= -iq_{\text{tot}}G_{\text{E0}}(q)\sqrt{4\pi}q^0 Y_{00}(\vec{e}_{\vec{q}})\tilde{T}^{00}_{\beta'\beta} - iQG_{\text{E2}}(q)\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}}q^2 \sum_{M} Y_{2M}(\vec{e}_{\vec{q}})\tilde{T}^{2M}_{\beta'\beta}$$

 $\lim_{q\to 0} G_{\mathsf{E0}}(q) \equiv \mathsf{1} \quad (\text{Charge conservation given by gauge-invariance})$ $\lim_{q o 0} G_{\mathsf{E2}}(q) \equiv 1 \; \; \Rightarrow Q$ (this limit defines Q)



BB

Electric Form Factors



Monopole and Quadrupole Form Factors

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\text{E0}}(q) &= \left[1 + \frac{\gamma}{|r_1|} - \frac{y^2 q^2 + 2\gamma^2}{yq|r_1|} \arctan\left(\frac{yq}{2\gamma}\right)\right] \\ G_{\text{E2}}(q) &= \frac{1}{Q} \frac{q_{\text{tot}}}{8|r_1|yq^3} \left[2\gamma yq + \left(y^2 q^2 - 4\gamma^2\right) \arctan\left(\frac{yq}{2\gamma}\right)\right] \\ \text{with } y &= \frac{m_n}{M_{nc}} = \frac{1}{31}, \quad \gamma \stackrel{\circ}{=} \text{ binding momentum} \\ \text{and estimate } P \text{-wave effective momentum: } r_1 \sim M_{\text{hi}} \end{aligned}$$



Electric Form Factors



Charge and quadrupole radii are defined by expanding the form factors in q^2 :

$$G_{\text{E0}}(q) \approx 1 - \frac{1}{6} < r_{\text{E0}}^2 > q^2 + \dots$$
$$G_{\text{E2}}(q) \approx 1 - \frac{1}{6} < r_{\text{E2}}^2 > q^2 + \dots$$

Now compare to the expansion of the calculated form factors:

Charge & Quadrupole Radii

$$\Rightarrow \langle r_{\text{E0}}^2 \rangle = \frac{5y^2}{2\gamma |r_1|} \qquad \Rightarrow \sqrt{\langle r_{\text{E0}}^2 \rangle} \in [0.35, 0.46] \,\text{fm}$$
$$\Rightarrow \langle r_{\text{E2}}^2 \rangle = \frac{3y^2}{5\gamma^2} \qquad \Rightarrow \sqrt{\langle r_{\text{E2}}^2 \rangle} = 0.30 \,\text{fm}$$



Correlations



 $Q \longleftrightarrow < r_{E0}^2 >:$



Correlations



 $< r_{\rm E2}^2 > \longleftrightarrow S_n$:



Vector Component of EM Current





Contributions to $\langle \pi_{\beta'}(\vec{p}') | J_k | \pi_{\beta}(\vec{p}) \rangle$ due to magnetic moment coupling:









 $\langle \pi_{eta'}(ec{
ho}') | \, J_k \, | \pi_eta(ec{
ho})
angle$

$$= \left(iq_{\text{tot}} G_{\text{E0}}(q) \sqrt{4\pi} q^0 Y_{00}(\vec{e}_{\vec{q}}) \tilde{T}^{00}_{\beta'\beta} + iQG_{\text{E2}}(q) \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}} q^2 \sum_{M} Y_{2M}(\vec{e}_{\vec{q}}) \tilde{T}^{2M}_{\beta'\beta} \right) \frac{(\vec{p}' + \vec{p})_k^*}{2M_{nc}} \\ + i\mu_M G_{\text{M1}}(q) \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}} q^1 \sum_{M} \sqrt{2} C^{1M+k}_{(1k)(1M)} Y^*_{1M+k}(\vec{e}_{\vec{q}}) \left[\tilde{T}^{1M}_{\beta'\beta} \right]^{\dagger} \\ + iO_M G_{\text{M3}}(q) \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{7}} q^3 \sum_{M} \sqrt{2} C^{3M+k}_{(1k)(3M)} Y^*_{3M+k}(\vec{e}_{\vec{q}}) \left[\tilde{T}^{3M}_{\beta'\beta} \right]^{\dagger}$$

Note:

For J = 1/2 the electric quadrupole and the magnetic octupole moment is not observable!



Magnetic Form Factors



Octupole Form Factor

$$\begin{aligned} G_{M3}(q) &= \frac{3\gamma}{2(1-y)^3 q^3} \left[2\gamma (1-y)q + \left((1-y)^2 q^2 - 4\gamma^2 \right) \arctan\left(\frac{(1-y)q}{2\gamma} \right) \right] \\ &= G_{E2}[y \to (1-y)] \end{aligned}$$

Octupole Moment and Radius

$$o_{\rm M} = \frac{(1-y)^2 \kappa_n \mu_N}{10\sqrt{6}\gamma |r_1|} \qquad \Rightarrow o_{\rm M} \in [-23, -14] \,\mu_N {\rm fm}^2$$
$$< r_{\rm M3}^2 > = \frac{3(1-y)^2}{10\mu} \frac{1}{S_n} \qquad \Rightarrow \sqrt{< r_{\rm M3}^2 >} = 8.95 \,{\rm fm}$$



September 20, 2018 | Institut für Kernphysik, TU Darmstadt | Wael Elkamhawy | 17

Correlations



 $< r_{M3}^2 > \overline{\longleftrightarrow S_n}$:



Nuclear Deformation



Consider a quadrupolar deformed nucleus with sharp edge at radius:

 $R_{\text{def}} = R_0 \left[1 + \beta_2 Y_{20}\right] / N$

where $R_0 \doteq$ equilibrium radius, $N \doteq$ volume normalization constant



 $\begin{aligned} Q(3/2) &= \frac{1}{5}Q_0 = \frac{1}{5}\sqrt{\frac{16\pi}{5}}\frac{3}{4\pi}Ze\ R_0^2\ \beta_2 \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{5\pi}}Ze\ \beta_2 < r_{\text{E0}}^2 > \end{aligned}$

Compare to EFT result

$$\beta_2 = 0.53$$



 \Rightarrow Quadrupole moment:

Summary and Outlook



Summary

- Effective Lagrangian
 - Scattering Amplitude
 - Renormalization
- Electric and Magnetic Properties
- Correlations
- Nuclear Deformation

Outlook

- Matter Radii
- Coulomb Breakup
- Improvement of Power Counting
 - \Rightarrow Incorporation of Additional Field(s)





Thank you for your attention!



September 20, 2018 | Institut für Kernphysik, TU Darmstadt | Wael Elkamhawy | 21

References





Hammer, H. W. and Phillips, D. R. (2011).

Electric properties of the Beryllium-11 system in Halo EFT. *Nucl. Phys.*, A865:17–42.

Nakamura, T. et al. (2014).

Deformation-Driven *P*-Wave Halos at the Drip Line: Ne³¹. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 112(14):142501.

Shamsuzzoha Basunia, M. (2010). Nuclear Data Sheets for A = 30. *Nucl. Data Sheets*, 111:2331–2424.

