From Di-Nuclei to Di-Stars

H. Lenske Institut für Theoretische Physik Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen

Fusion Scenario of Heavy Nuclei



- Strong interaction event at femtoscale ~10⁻¹⁵m
- Time scale ~10⁻¹⁸s
- Collective degrees and microscopic shell dynamics
- Short range attraction and long range Coulomb repulsion
- Small cross sections $\sigma_f \approx nb...fb \rightarrow low$ fusion probability $P_f \approx 10^{-3} ... 10^{-12}$

Di-Star Merger Scenario vs. Nuclear Fusion



Dancing stars turn on the red light

Two stars become one, and trigger a rare type of nova.

Ken Croswell

For the first time, astronomers have watched the spiralling dance performed by two stars merging into a single star. The observations, taken between 2001 and 2008, suggest a solution to the vexed problem of how rare 'red novae' form.



(Nature, Dec. 2010)

V1309 Sco - Longterm observation by the Optical Gravitational Lensing Experiment (OGLE)

V1309 Scorpii (V1309 Sco)



OGLE Data: A&A 528, A114 (2011)

...first star providing conclusive evidence that contact binary systems end in a stellar merger

- merger into a single star in 2008
- observed as a luminous red nova
- Distance to solar system: ≈10.000 ly (close to the galactic bulge)
- similarities lead to identify *a posteriori* two other stars (V838 Monocerotis and V4332 Sagittarii) as merged contact binaries

In the year 2022...

...you might (not) see a Luminous Red Nova (LRN) :

KIC 9832227 Di-star, orbiting (P~11h) - with decreasing period?



Constellation Cygnus (lat. Swan) at northern hemisphere, KIC 9832227 distance to solar system: 1843 ly

Agenda

- Newtonian Description of Di-Stars
- Classification of Di-Stars
- DI-Star Dynamics and Mass Asymmetry
- Contact Di-Stars and Mergers
- Mergers of Binary Galaxies
- Summary, Conclusions, and Outlook

...together with V.V. Sargsyan, G.G. Adamian, N.V. Antonenko Int.J.Mod.Phys. E:271850063 (2018), Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 27:1850093 (2018) Int.J.Mod.Phys. E28: 1950031 (2019), Acta Phys.Polon. B50 (2019) 507 Com.Theor.Phys. (in print)

Roche Potential and Classification of Di-Stars

Roche Potential and Roche Lobe



Roche Lobe of a Massive Body ≅ Sphere of Gravitational Influence

- A three-dimensional representation of the Roche potential in a binary star with a mass ratio of 1:2.
- The volume of space inside of which a test particle feels a stronger pull from star 1 than star 2 defines the Roche Lobe for star 1.

Classification of binary systems.



- **Detached Binary**: Both stars are smaller than their respective Roche lobes; no direct influence on each other's evolution (a).
- Semidetached Binary: one star fills its Roche Lobe, mass is transferred from that star through the Lagrange point L1 to the companion star (b).
- **Contact Binary**: share a common atmosphere and exchange mass (c).

Our closest-by di-star neighbour: detached binary Sirius A and B Distance to solar system: 8.6 ly



Sirius in visible light by HST

Sirius in X-ray view by CHANDRA

(Reflections due to recording devices)

H. Lenske, Erice 2019

Mass Exchange Mechanism in a Semi-Detached Binary

From Accretion to Nova Outburst

... Run-off and "Death Spiral" after mass loss --> P ~ M

- either through inner LP L1 (primary star accretes and explodes)
- or through outer LP L2 (ejection into space)

[Williams et al. 2008, ApJ, 685, 451]

Di-Star Dynamics

Hamiltonian Mechanics of an Isolated Di-Star System Mass Asymmetry as a Collective Variable

$$H(\vec{r}_{1}, \vec{p}_{1}, \vec{r}_{2}, \vec{p}_{2}, M_{1}, M_{2})_{|M=M_{1}+M_{2}} = H_{cm}(\vec{P}_{cm}, M) + H_{12}(\vec{R}, \vec{P}, \eta)$$
$$\eta = \frac{M_{1} - M_{2}}{M}; \ \mu(\eta) = \frac{M_{1}M_{2}}{M} = \frac{1}{4}M\left(1 - \eta^{2}\right)$$

...plus dependencies on stellar shapes, sizes, spins, and temperatures.

Total Energy of a Binary System in the Barycentric Frame

(V.V. Sargsyan et al., Int.J.Mod.Phys. E271850063 (2018))

$$E = \frac{P_R^2}{2\mu} + U_1 + U_2 + V$$

Mechanical Equilibrium ↔ Virial Theorem

$$U_{i}^{g} + 2U_{i}^{k} = 0. \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad U_{i}^{g} + U_{i}^{k} = -\omega_{i} \frac{GM_{i}^{2}}{2R_{i}} \qquad R_{i} = g_{i}M_{i}^{m_{i}}$$

$$\int_{\frac{7}{9}} \frac{1}{9} \frac{$$

EoS of main sequence stars ~ polytropes of order $3/2 \le n \le 3 \Rightarrow 6/7 \le \omega \le 2$ Compact objects e.g. neutron star $\Rightarrow \omega \sim 0.6$

-1.0 -0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 η

Di-Star Interaction Energies

Mass Asymmetry in Di-Stars

Constraints on Stability by Mass Asymmetry

$$\eta = \frac{M_1 - M_2}{M_1 + M_2} \rightarrow M_{1,2} = M \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \eta)$$

$U = U_1 + U_2 + V$ in Compact Form

$$U = -\frac{G}{2} \left[\alpha \{ (1+\eta)^{4/3} + (1-\eta)^{4/3} \} + \beta (1-\eta^2)^3 \right] + 2\gamma (kT)^4 [1+\eta^2]$$

Conserved Total Energy

$$E = \frac{P_R^2}{2\mu} + U$$

...treating η as dynamical collective degree of freddom:

$$dE(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, \eta) = \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{R}} d\mathbf{R} + \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{P}} d\mathbf{P} + \frac{\partial E}{\partial \eta} d\eta.$$

...and for $\partial E/\partial t=0$:

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = 0 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \frac{\partial E}{\partial \eta} \frac{d\eta}{dt} = 0 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \frac{\partial E}{\partial \eta} = 0$$

...where the last relation denotes the general solution.

NOTE: $P_R=0$ if the system moves on a fixed orbit $\rightarrow E=U$!

Di-Star Potential Energy – Fixed Point Analysis

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{G}{2} \left[\frac{4}{3} \alpha \{ (1+\eta)^{1/3} - (1-\eta)^{1/3} \} - 6\beta \eta (1-\eta^2)^2 \right] + 4\gamma (kT)^4 \eta = 0$$

...seen to be solved trivially for $\eta = \eta_m = 0$:

Contact Di-Stars and Mergers

When do Di-stars merge?

...happens for values of the "EoS" paramter $\alpha > \alpha_{cr}$ when U(η =0) develops a maximum, i.e. $\eta_b \rightarrow 0$:

$$\alpha_{\rm cr} = \frac{9}{4} \left[3\beta + \frac{4}{G} \gamma (kT)^4 \right] = \frac{9}{4} \left[\frac{3GM^5}{64L^2} + \frac{4}{G} \gamma (kT)^4 \right]$$

Stability region:

Instability region – $\eta > 2^{-1/2}$:

$$0 < \eta_b < 2^{-1/2}$$

$$M_1/M_2 > (1+2^{1/2})^2 \approx 5.83$$

→ An initially asymmetric binary system $(|\eta| = |\eta_i| < \eta_b)$ is driven to mass symmetry $(\eta = 0)$, implying a flow of mass towards equilibrium

The contact binary KIC 9832227

- LRN predicted by Molnar et al. (AAS Meeting Jan. 2017/ApJ 840:1 (2017))
- If so → First time chance to observe the progenitor of a LRN,
 → confirming the hypothesis of Soker and Tylenda (2003)
- One post-merger observation: V1309 Scorpii in 2008 (OGLE)
- Rare event: estimated 1-10 LRN/a out of the 200 billion stars in the Milky Way
- Metzger et al. (2017): Merger is unlikely

Our Prediction for KIC 9832227

- KIC 9832227 merger is excluded: η_i<2^{-1/2} = 0.717...
- System driven towards mass symmetry
- Mass exchange oscillations around η=0
- Energy release during symmetrization: $\Delta E \cong \Delta U \sim 10^{41} J = 10^{48} erg$
- Merger ruled out by new data (Socia et al., Ap.J. 864 (2018) L32)
- Kovacs et al., arXiv:1909.00255: it's a triple system!

Binary Galaxies

Binary Galaxy Mergers

Merger in Progress: Binary Mice Galaxies (NGC 4676 A&B)

Mass-Radius Relation for (isolated) Di-Galaxies:

$$R_{Glx} = gM_{Glx}^{n} \quad ; \quad g = \frac{\left(R_{1}^{1/n} + R_{2}^{1/n}\right)^{n}}{\left(M_{1} + M_{2}\right)^{n}} \quad ; \quad n \in \left[\frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{3}\right]$$

→ Int.J.Mod.Phys. E28: 1950031 (2019)

Total Potential Energy of a Binary Galaxy

$$U = -\alpha [(1+\eta)^{2-n} + (1-\eta)^{2-n}] - \beta [1-\eta^2]^3,$$

$$\alpha = \frac{Gg}{2} \left(\frac{M}{2}\right)^{2-n} \qquad \beta = \frac{GM^2}{128} \frac{(R_{1i}^{1/n} + R_{2i}^{1/n})^4}{R_{mi}R_{1i}^{2/n}R_{2i}^{2/n}}.$$

Di-galaxy	Ty	$rac{M}{M_{\odot}}$	X	$2R_{1i}$	$.2R_{2i}$	$ \eta_i $	η_b
			(kpc)	(kpc)	(kpc)		
501	E-E	1.05×10^{13}	38.3	36.0	35.7	0.01	0.25

Galactical Collision (Visualization by ESO)

Summary and Conclusions

- Newtonian dynamics of di-stars.
- Mass asymmetry η treated as adiabatic variable.
- Di-stars evolve towards mass symmetry for $|\eta| < 2^{-1/2}$.
- Mergers of binary galaxies: shape determines fate
- What's next?: Dissipation, neutron stars...

V.V. Sargsyan (AvH fellow@GI), H. L., G.G. Adamian, N.V. Antonenko

Int.J.Mod.Phys. E:271850063 (2018) Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 27:1850093 (2018) Int.J.Mod.Phys. E28: 1950031 (2019) Acta Phys.Polon. B50 (2019) 507 Com.Theor.Phys. (in print)