

# Light baryon spectroscopy (@ELSA)

## U. Thoma, Bonn

# Contents:

- Introduction
- Experimental data
- Results on the spectrum
- Interpretations / open questions
- Future plans @ELSA
- Summary



# Why baryons?

A They played am important role in the development of our universe



 $\Leftrightarrow \text{ baryons} = \text{dominant part of visible}$  matter in the universe  $\Delta^{++} \rightarrow \text{color} \leftrightarrow \text{ non-abelian character of QCD}$ 

⇔ Can we claim that we have understood Quantum Chromodynamics without understanding its bound states? ⇔ NO!

⇔ One of the worst understood areas of the standard model = a challenge!

 $\Leftrightarrow$  How does QCD produce its massive bound states from almost

massless quarks?

Aim: Good understanding of the spectrum and the properties of baryon resonances  $\leftrightarrow$  bound states of strong QCD

- What are the relevant degrees of freedom ?
- Effective forces between them ?

e.g.:



Symmetric quark models:

ightarrow many more resonances expected than observed yet



non-strange N\*-resonances (PDG'2018)

U. Loering, B. Metsch, H. Petry et al. (2001) relativistic quark model

Constituent quarks, confinement potential + residual interaction



Aim: Good understanding of the spectrum and the properties of baryon resonances  $\leftrightarrow$  bound states of strong QCD

- What are the relevant degrees of freedom ?
- Effective forces between them ?
- Symmetric quark models:
  - $\rightarrow$  many more resonances expected than observed yet (certain configurations completely missing)
  - $\Leftrightarrow \textbf{Certain configurations not realised by QCD ? Why ?}$
  - ⇔ Experimental bias?



Aim: Good understanding of the spectrum and the properties of baryon resonances  $\leftrightarrow$  bound states of strong QCD

- What are the relevant degrees of freedom ?
- Effective forces between them ?
- Symmetric quark models:
  - $\rightarrow$  many more resonances expected than observed yet (certain configurations completely missing)
  - ⇔ Certain configurations not realised by QCD ? Why ?
  - ⇔ Experimental bias?



## Or does the quark model just use the wrong degrees of freedom?

#### ↔ Mesons-Baryon degrees of freedom?

... seems to work nicely for certain resonances ...

#### ↔ Functional methods (Dyson-Schwinger/Bethe-Salpeter equations) Nice results! ... spectrum so far only J=1/2, 3/2 (up to ~ 1900 MeV) (→ talk G. Eichmann)

**Excited baryons from Lattice QCD:** 



Exhibits the broad features expected from SU(6)  $\otimes$  O(3)-symmetry

 $\rightarrow$  Counting of levels consistent with non-rel. quark model  $\,\Leftrightarrow\,$  "missing resonances"

 $\rightarrow$  no parity doubling

Of course there are also approximations made by lattice QCD (e.g.  $m_{\pi}$ =396 MeV)

# $\Rightarrow$ Good understanding of the spectrum and properties of baryon resonances

Experimentally: Broad and strongly overlapping resonances

Important:

- $\rightarrow$  Investigation of different final states
- → Measurement of polarization observables (unambiguous PWA)



# Recently: a lot of progress from photoproduction experiments:



CBELSA/TAPS (ELSA),



CBALL (MAMI),

LEPS (Spring-8), BGOOD (ELSA), GRAAL (ESRF), ...

⇔ polarized beam, polarized target

# Double Polarization Experiments - Selected Results -

Circularly polarized photons, longitudinally polarized target

**CBELSA/TAPS** 

proton spin

 $\sigma_{1/2}$ 

-1/2

proton spin

 $\sigma_{3/2}$ 

>+1/2

 $\gamma p 
ightarrow p \pi^0$ :

PWAs: SAID (SN11, CM12), MAID BnGa (2011\_2)

 $\leftrightarrow$  describe the so far existing photoproduction data, but ...

large deviations observed

Differences even at low energies where everything was thought to be well understood ...

M. Gottschall et al. (CBELSA/TAPS) Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 012003 (2014), Eur. Phys. J. A57, 40 (2021)



circ. pol. photons, long. pol. target, CBELSA/TAPS high energy bins, blue: CLAS



#### $\Rightarrow$ data approaches the high mass region

— new BnGa-fit : Determination of precise  $p\eta$ -branching ratios for resonances

J.Müller et al. (CBELSA/TAPS), PLB 803, 135323 (2020)

lin. pol. photons, transv. pol. target, CBELSA/TAPS high energy bins, blue: MAMI



 $ec{\gamma}ec{p}
ightarrow p\eta~~$  - Results including new data on  $E,~G,~T,~P,~H,~\Sigma,~\sigma$ 

Data allowed a new determination of  $p\eta$ -branching ratios for many resonances, e.g.: J.Müller et al. (CBELSA/TAPS), PLB 803, 135323 (2020)

	$N(1535)1/2^-$	$N(1650)1/2^-$	$N(1710)1/2^+$	$N(1895)1/2^-$
BnGa	0.41±0.04	0.33±0.04	0.18±0.10	0.10±0.05
PDG'2012	0.42±0.10	0.05 - 0.15	0.10 - 0.30	no PDG estimate

⇔ Additional constraints from new (polarization) data fix PWA-solutions much better than before

Large and heavily discussed difference in the  $p\eta$ -branching ratio of N(1535)1/2<sup>-</sup> and N(1650)1/2<sup>-</sup> now significantly reduced

New (double) polarization data was also included in JüBo:

D. Rönchen et al., Eur. Phys. J. A58 (2022) 229

 $\eta N$  residue of N(1650)1/2<sup>-</sup> increased by almost a factor of 2!

Next step: Comparison of PWA-results of different groups including the new data ⇔ convergence towards consistent results? JüBo, BnGa, MAID, SAID ...

U. Thoma, Bonn: - Light baryon spectroscopy (@ELSA)

#### Multi-channel Bonn-Gatchina PWA:

- ⇒ Confirmation known resonances, better determination of their properties
- ⇒ New resonances observed

	RPP 2010	our analyses	<b>RPP'22</b> (2018-22)
N(1710)1/2+	***	****	****
N(1860)5/2+		*	**
N(1875)3/2-		***	***
N(1880)1/2+		***	***
N(1895)1/2-		****	****
N(1900)3/2+	**	****	****
N(2060)5/2-		***	***
N(2100)1/2+	*	***	***
N(2120)3/2-		***	***
∆ <b>(1600)3/2</b> +	***	***	****
∆ <b>(1900)1/2</b> <sup>−</sup>	*	***	***
∆ <b>(1940)3/2</b> <sup>−</sup>	*	**	**
∆ <b>(2200)7/2</b> <sup>−</sup>	*	***	***

from 2000-2010 <u>not one</u> new baryon resonance was considered by the PDG

↔ Results from photoproduction do now enter the PDG and determine the properties of baryon resonances!

( before: almost entirely  $\pi N$ -scattering and some  $\pi$ -photoproduction )

Photoproduction provides access to the "inelastic channels"

⇒ better determination of resonance properties

BnGa-PWA: A. V. Anisovich et al., EPJA 48 (2012) 15, PRL 119 (2017) 062004, PLB 772 (2017) 247, J. Müller et al., PLB 803 (2020) 135323 ...

Multi-channel Bonn-Gatchina PWA:

- ⇒ Confirmation known resonances, better determination of their properties
- $\Rightarrow$  New resonances observed

	RPP 2010	our analyses	<b>RPP'22</b> (2018-22)
N(1710)1/2+	***	****	*** <mark>*</mark>
N(1860)5/2+		*	**
N(1875)3/2-		***	***
N(1880)1/2+		***	***
N(1895)1/2-		****	****
N(1900)3/2+	**	****	*** <mark>*</mark>
N(2060)5/2-		***	***
N(2100)1/2+	*	***	***
N(2120)3/2-		***	***
∆ <b>(1600)3/2</b> +	***	***	*** <mark>*</mark>
∆ <b>(1900)1/2</b> <sup>−</sup>	*	***	***
∆ <b>(1940)3/2</b> <sup>−</sup>	*	**	**
∆ <b>(2200)7/2</b> <sup>−</sup>	*	***	***



BnGa-PWA: A. V. Anisovich et al., EPJA 48 (2012) 15, PRL 119 (2017) 062004, PLB 772 (2017) 247, J. Müller et al., PLB 803 (2020) 135323 ...

## N\*-, $\Delta^*$ - pole positions:



## ⇔ Parity doublets occur!

- not expected by present lattice QCD calculations or constituent quark-models
- ⇔ Strong QCD not yet understood !



 $\Delta$ (1910)1/2<sup>+</sup>  $\Delta$ (1920)3/2<sup>+</sup>  $\Delta$ (1905)5/2<sup>+</sup>  $\Delta$ (1950)7/2<sup>+</sup>  $\Delta$ (1900)1/2<sup>-</sup>  $\Delta$ (1940)3/2<sup>-</sup>  $\Delta$ (1930)5/2<sup>-</sup> ??? 7/2<sup>-</sup>

Search for the parity partner of the well known  $\Delta$ (1950)7/2<sup>+</sup> (4\*) =

 $\Rightarrow J^{\mathbf{P}} = 7/2^{-} \text{-state found at a significantly}$ higher mass: m = 2200 MeV (7/2<sup>-</sup>(2200) - (1\*)-resonance (PDG) confirmed )

⇔ No parity-partner found

 $\Rightarrow \text{Certain states have parity partners, others not} \\\Rightarrow \text{Not yet understood!}$ 



V. Anisovich et al. (BnGa-PWA), Phys.Lett. B766 (2017) 357

 $\Leftrightarrow \textbf{Certain resonances have parity partners others don't} \quad \Leftrightarrow \textbf{Why?}$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \textbf{Does the SU(6)xO(3) 20' plet exist? / or in generell the still \textit{missing states?}}$ 

- Needs to be explained by theory
  - ⇔ effective degrees of freedom / effective forces
  - ⇔ meson-baryon or 3q or .....
- Existing but experimentally not found yet?
  - ⇔ photoproduction of the neutron
  - ⇔ multi-meson photoproduction

# $\Rightarrow$ Clarify the systematics in the system!

 $(SU(6): u \uparrow \downarrow d \uparrow \downarrow s \uparrow \downarrow)$ 

- ⇔ photoproduction of strange baryons

 $\leftarrow$  next

 $\leftarrow$  interesting results - not discussed today



# Measurement of polarization observables important

#### but: Very scarce !

N\*-resonances may decouple from the proton and couple to the neutron

#### Nature of complex bound states in QCD = ?



Photoproduction off the neutron

- Photoproduction of the proton (Isospin dependence)
- ⇔ Interesting structures
- ⇔ New states?



#### Existing polarization data

# Photoproduction off the neutron at ELSA

Recent Crystal Barrel calorimeter upgrade:



APDs and Sampling ADC-readout  $\Leftrightarrow$  **Trigger/time** 

- ⇒ Flat trigger acceptance for all neutral final states
  - $\rightarrow \mbox{Photoproduction} \\ \mbox{off the neutron}$
- $\Rightarrow \textbf{Higher data taking rates} \\ (factor \sim 7)$ 
  - → e.g. multi-particle final states (higher stat.)

# Linearly polarized photon beam on transversaly polarized target $\Leftrightarrow$ T, P, H

Narrow resonance structure (pentaquark?) or interference effect?



Present BnGa-fits including the new data: Best fit to all  $\gamma n \rightarrow n\eta$  data: No narrow 1/2<sup>+</sup>-state needed! Linearly polarized photon beam on transversaly polarized target  $\Leftrightarrow$  T, P, H



#### I. Jaegle et al, EPJA 47 (2012) 89 (CBELSA/TAPS)

U. Thoma, Bonn: - Light baryon spectroscopy (@ELSA)

Strange baryons  $(\Lambda^*, \Sigma^*)$  .... future plans @ ELSA

#### Strange baryons: "... the field is starved for data " (PDG'2022)

Established resonances remained the same for the last  $\sim$ 40 years! Interesting exception: Two pole structure of the  $\Lambda(1405)$ 

- ⇔ Not even all states of the first excitation band known
  - spectrum and properties of  $\Lambda^*, \Sigma^* \leftrightarrow SU(6) \times O(3)$ ?
  - multi-quark states? molecules? 2-pole structures?

#### ⇔ New data urgently needed!



#### ⇔ new final states including strange baryons!

@ELSA, e.g.:

$$\begin{split} \gamma p &\rightarrow K^+ \Lambda^* \rightarrow K^+ \Sigma^0 \pi^0 \quad \text{isospin selective} \\ &\rightarrow K^+ \Lambda \gamma \pi^0 \\ &\rightarrow K^+ (p \pi^-) \gamma \pi^0 \end{split}$$
 
$$\begin{split} \gamma p &\rightarrow K^+ \Sigma^{*0} \rightarrow K^+ \Lambda \pi^0 \quad \text{isospin selective} \end{split}$$

 $ightarrow {
m K}^+({
m p}\pi^-)\pi^0$ 

How well can we measure strange baryons?



# Summary: $N^*$ and $\Delta^*$ resonances

- Based on the new photoproduction data, our knowledge of the spectrum and the properties of baryons is steadily increasing !

 $\leftrightarrow$  single and double polarisation experiments (many final states)

- $\Rightarrow$  Observation of new resonances
- ⇒ Confirmation of known states, determination of their properties
  - e.g.: puzzeling difference between  $p\eta\text{-}\mathsf{BR}$  of N(1535)1/2  $^-$  and N(1650)1/2  $^-$  now very much reduced
    - multi-meson-decays of baryon resonances
    - ⇒ much more interesting results to come and data to be analysed
- $\Rightarrow$  Many interesting results on the spectrum and the properties of baryon resonances



⇔ Quark models/first lattice calculations do not yet provide the expected systematics in the spectrum

Experiment: - no alternating pattern of positive and negative parity states - parity doublets observed (not for all states (?))

#### Ų

Bound states of QCD are not yet understood!  $\Leftrightarrow$  new insights: strange baryons

# .... future plans @ ELSA



#### Strange baryon spectroscopy $(\Lambda^*, \Sigma^*)$ :

More states expected than in the u, d-sector but much less states found so far!

⇔ Do they exist ?

- ⇔ Are they consistent with SU(6)xO(3)- symmetry?
  - ⇔ Nature of the observed states=?
    - e.g. A(1405), 2-pole structures / multiquark-states?



 $\label{eq:approx} \begin{array}{l} 4\pi \mbox{ measurement of photons and} \\ \mbox{detection of charged particles} \\ \sqrt{s_{max}} = 2.6 \mbox{ GeV} \end{array}$ 

+ polarisation measurements

#### Non-strange baryon spectroscopy:

Gain a complete picture of the lightquark  $N^*$ ,  $\Delta^*$ - baryon spectrum:

- Polarized photoproduction off the polarized proton <u>and</u> neutron!
- unambiguous PWA not possible without the measurement of polarization observables
- Multi-meson photoproduction



# Multi-Meson-Photoproduction: $\gamma p \rightarrow p \pi^0 \pi^0$ , $\gamma p \rightarrow p \pi^0 \eta$



- $\Delta(1910)1/2^+$ ,  $\Delta(1920)3/2^+$ ,  $\Delta(1905)5/2^+$ ,  $\Delta(1950)7/2^+$ in average: negligible decay fraction (5 ± 2%) into: N(1520)3/2<sup>- $\pi$ </sup>, N(1535)1/2<sup>- $\pi$ </sup>, ( $L \neq 0$ -resonances)
- N(1880)1/2<sup>+</sup>, N(1900)3/2<sup>+</sup>, N(2000)5/2<sup>+</sup>, N(1990)7/2<sup>+</sup> in average: 21% decays into:

 $N(1520)3/2^{-}\pi, N(1535)1/2^{-}\pi, N\sigma \ (L \neq 0\text{-resonances})$ 

V. Sokhoyan et al. (CBELSA/TAPS-collaboration), EPJA 51 (2015) 95 A. Thiel et al. (CBELSA/TAPS-collaboration), PRL 114 (2015) 091803, T.Seifen et al., arXiv:2207.01981 [nucl-ex] ... Why ?

## An interpretation using quarkmodel-wave-functions:

# Δ\*'s @1900 MeV:

symmetric wave function (56'plet)



N\*'s @1900 MeV:

wave function:  $M_S / M_A$ (70'plet)





⇒ would explain the observation!

# ... and it seems to hold more general ...



#### ⇔ supports a two-oscillator picture of resonances (3q)

... confirmation in further (polarisation) measurements

Interpretations of the 1/2<sup>-</sup> states: N(1535)1/2<sup>-</sup>, N(1650)1/2<sup>-</sup>

## Effective degrees of freedom: 3q vs. meson-baryon

 Coupled-channel unitarized chiral pert. theo .:

N(1535)1/2<sup>-</sup>, N(1650)1/2<sup>-</sup> dynamically generated but not  $\Delta(1620)1/2^{-1}$ 

parameters fixed in the strong sector:



parameter-free prediction  $\gamma p \rightarrow p \pi^0$ :



## SU(6)xO(3):

N(1535)1/2<sup>-</sup>, N(1650)1/2<sup>-</sup>,  $\Delta$ (1620)1/2<sup>-</sup> are part of the 70'plet



seems unnatural to steal two of those ...



- are dynamically generated poles and "3q"-poles different descriptions of the same object?
- $\leftrightarrow$  3g and molecular component?
- or orthogonal states?

⇔ No spectrum of molecular states  $(N^*/\Delta^*)$  predicted, yet

## Effective degrees of freedom in the baryon spectrum: 3q vs. meson-baryon

Non-strange baryon sector:

• Coupled-channel unitarized chiral pert. theo.:

N(1535)1/2<sup>-</sup>, N(1650)1/2<sup>-</sup> dynamically generated but not  $\Delta$ (1620)1/2<sup>-</sup>

## • SU(6)xO(3):

 $\begin{array}{ll} N(1535)1/2^-, & N(1650)1/2^-, \\ \Delta(1620)1/2^- & \\ \text{are part of the 70'plet} \end{array}$ 



## $\leftrightarrow$ 3q and molecular component ?

Strange baryon sector:

- Coupled-channel unitarized chiral pert. theo.
- $\Leftrightarrow$  2-pole structure of  $\Lambda(1405)$

 $\begin{array}{c} \Lambda(1325) \leftrightarrow `1' \text{ (dom.)} \\ \Lambda(1405) \leftrightarrow `8' \text{ (dom.)} \end{array}$ 

• SU(6)xO(3):





 $\leftrightarrow \Lambda(1325) \text{ cannot be accomodated } ...$ 

Here we are in contradiction with an simple qqq-model picture

e.g. Mai, Bruns, Meißner, PRD 86 (2012) 094033