# Impact of neutrino oscillations on $\nu$ -process in the core-collapse supernovae and $\nu$ r-process

Heamin Ko (Technical University of Darmstadt)

In collaboration with M.-K. Cheoun, D. Jang, M. Kusakabe, T. Hayakawa, H. Sasaki, T. Kajino, M. Ono, S. Chiba, T. Kawano, and G. J. Mathews G. Martínez-Pinedo and Z. Xiong

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT





# The $\nu$ -process

Core-collapse supernova





# The $\nu$ -process



International School of Nuclear Physics 45th Course, September 16th-22nd, 2024

<sup>92</sup>Nb ( $\tau_{1/2} \sim 34.7$  Myr); T. Hayakawa, et al. ApJL (2013) <sup>98</sup>Tc ( $\tau_{1/2} \sim 4.2$  Myr); T. Hayakawa, et al., PRL (2018)

<sup>138</sup>La ( $\tau_{1/2} \sim 102$  Gyr); *S. E. Woosley et al. APJ (1990) A. Heger et al. PLB (2005)* 



# Neutrino eigenstates

• Neutrino flavor basis

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} \nu_{\alpha} \right\rangle = \sum_{k} U_{\alpha k} \left| \begin{array}{c} \nu_{k} \right\rangle \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle$$

Flavor eigenstate

Mass eigenstate

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \\ \nu_\tau \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} \\ U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

where U is the PMNS (Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata) mixing matrix

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta_{23} & \sin \theta_{23} \\ 0 & -\sin \theta_{23} & \cos \theta_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{13} & 0 & \sin \theta_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_{13} & 0 & \cos \theta_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{12} & \sin \theta_{12} \\ -\sin \theta_{12} & \cos \theta_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{12} & \sin \theta_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

#### • Mass Ordering (Hierarchy)



rdering
$> m_{\nu_3}$
5
4 /15

# **Neutrino oscillation in vacuum**

#### • Neutrino hamiltonian in vacuum

$$E_i = \sqrt{p_\nu^2}$$

Neutrino equation of motion  $\nu_{\alpha} \rightarrow \nu_{\beta}$  in flavor basis

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\psi_{\nu_{\alpha}\to\nu_{\beta}} = H_{\text{Vacuum}}\psi_{\nu_{\alpha}\to\nu_{\beta}}$$

$$H_{\text{vacuum}} = U \text{diag}\left(0, \frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{2E_{\nu}}, \frac{|\Delta m_{31}^2|}{2E_{\nu}}\right) U^{\dagger}$$

Flavor change probability  $\nu_{\alpha} \rightarrow \nu_{\beta}$ 

$$P_{\beta\alpha}(r) = |\psi_{\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}}(r)|^{2}$$







# **Neutrino oscillation in star**



- Neutrino-neutrino (neutral current interaction)
- Neutrino-nucleus (charged and neutral current interaction)

$$H_{\text{vacumm}} + V_{e\nu_e} + V_{\nu\nu}$$

• Neutrino-electron (charged current interaction);  $V_{e\nu_e}(r) = \sqrt{2}G_F n_e(r) \operatorname{diag}(1,0,0)$ 



# **Neutrino oscillation in star**







# **Flavor change probability - Vacuum +** $\nu_e e$ + $\nu \nu$

#### • Single-angle approximation $((1 - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}) \approx (1 - c))$



Probability

International School of Nuclear Physics 45th Course, September 16th-22nd, 2024

$$\cos\theta_p\cos\theta_q) \approx 1 - \cos\theta_q$$

Neutrino energy distribution (Fermi-Dirac)

$$f(E,T) = \frac{1}{F_2(0)T_{\nu}^3} \sum_{\beta} \frac{E_{\nu}^2}{\exp(E_{\nu}/T_{\nu_{\beta}}) + 1} P_{\nu_{\beta} \to \nu_{\alpha}}(E_{\nu}, r)$$
dashed:  $T_{\nu_e} = 3.2 \text{ MeV}$   
dotted:  $T_{\nu_x} = 6 \text{ MeV}$   
solid: final  $\nu_e$  ( $r = 1000 \text{ km}$ )  
 $V_e$   
 $V_e$   





# The role of neutrino oscillations on the $\nu$ -process

#### • Neutrino reaction rates

<u>Neutrino-induced reactions</u> rate  $\lambda_{\nu_a}$ 

$$\lambda_{\nu_e}(r) = n_{\nu_e} \langle \sigma_{\nu_e A} c \rangle = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\phi_{\nu_e}}{dE_{\nu}} \sigma_{\nu_e A}(E_{\nu}) dE_{\nu}$$

Neutrino differential flux

$$\frac{d}{dE_{\nu}}\phi_{\nu_{e}}(t,r;E_{\nu},T_{\nu_{e}}) \propto \sum_{\beta=e,\mu,\tau} P_{\nu_{\beta}\to\nu_{e}}(r,E_{\nu})f_{FD}^{\beta}(E_{\nu},T_{\nu_{\beta}})$$
$$\downarrow$$
by  $\nu$ -oscillation





# **Result - Abundance at 50 s**

## • SN1987A

Initial progenitor mass : ~ 20  $M_{\odot}$ Metallicity:  $Z = Z_{\odot}/4$  in Large Magellanic cloud Explosive nucleosynthesis for He-core  $6M_{\odot}$ 





# Another environment to produce <sup>92</sup>Nb and also p-nuclei

• Several processes contribute to the nucleosynthesis beyond Iron: s-process, r-process and p-process (γ-process)



- Origin p-nuclei unclear



# $\nu r$ -process: another environment to produce <sup>92</sup>Nb and also p-nuclei

#### p-nuclei from neutron-rich outflows

- Novel nucleosynthesis process that operates under strong neutrino fluxes
- Sequence of neutron captures and charged-current neutrino-nucleus reactions
- Co-production of p-nuclei from A=88-138
- May require high magnetic fields as found in magnetars (see arXiv:2402.06003)
- Experimental constraints to neutrinonucleus cross sections are necessary



# $\nu r$ -process: another environment to produce <sup>92</sup>Nb and also p-nuclei

• The role of neutrino in nucleosynthesis Neutrino changes the thermal energy by heating and cooling

By the neutrino-nucleus interaction

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \dot{\varepsilon}_{\nu A} \rangle_{\text{total}} &= \sum_{i} \langle \dot{\varepsilon}_{\nu A_{i}} \rangle Y_{i} \\ &= n_{\nu} c \sum_{i} Y_{i} \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{FD}(E_{\nu}, T_{\nu}) \sigma_{\nu A_{i}}(E_{\nu}) E_{\nu} dE_{\nu}}{\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{FD}(E_{\nu}, T_{\nu}) dE_{\nu}} \end{aligned}$$

#### <u>Parameters</u>

- $n_{\nu}$ : neutrino number density
- $T_{\nu}$ : neutrino temperature
- $\sigma_{\nu A}$ : A. Severing et al. APJ. 865, 143 (2018).  $\nu_e$  and  $\bar{\nu}_e \rightarrow$  Charged current  $\nu_{e,\mu,\tau}$  and  $\bar{\nu}_{e,\mu,\tau} \rightarrow \text{Neutral current}$





# $\nu r$ -process: another environment to produce <sup>92</sup>Nb and also p-nuclei

## The p-nuclei production

- Temperature changes caused by neutrino heating are less effective.
- The heavy neutrinos interact with nucleus through the NC reactions and change the abundance.
- The dependence of neutrino parameters  $(n_{\nu_{x}}, T_{\nu_{x}})$  needs to be studied.







# Summary

- (<sup>92</sup>Nb, <sup>98</sup>Tc, and <sup>138</sup>La).
- Neutrinos interact with electron and neutrinos in the star. As a result, the each neutrino energy distribution are change.
- the charged current reaction.
- $\nu r$ -process can produce not only the <sup>92</sup>Nb, but also the p-nuclei.
- The  $\nu$ -nucleus interactions can also increase the temperature, but the effect of changing the abundances is small.
- Further sensitivity studies on heavy neutrinos are needed.

• The neutrino during the supernova explosion plays a key role to produce the elements

• The neutrino oscillation calculation has a dependency on <u>neutrino mass hierarchy</u>.

• The elements presented are produced by  $\nu$ -process and sensitive to the  $\nu_{e}$  flux due to

**Thank You** 

International School of Nuclear Physics 45th Course, September 16th-22nd, 2024





15/15

**BACK UP** 

#### • SN1987A

Initial progenitor mass : ~ 20  $M_{\odot}$ Metallicity:  $Z = Z_{\odot}/4$  in Large Magellanic cloud Explosive nucleosynthesis for He-core  $6M_{\odot}$ 

Network calculation

Nuclides: 3080 *Cyburt et al. ApJS, 189, 240 (2010)* # of thermonuclear reactions: 38198 JINA database # of neutrino-induced reactions: about 4300 Neutrino-nucleus cross sections (Theoretical calculation)

- <sup>4</sup>He and <sup>12</sup>C : *T. Yoshida et al. APJ* 686, 448 (2008)
- ${}^{13}C$  to  ${}^{80}Kr$ : *D. H. Hartmann and S. E. Woosley et al. (1995)*
- Nb, Tc, La and Ta: Cheoun et al. PRC 82, 035504 (2010), PRC 85, 065807 (2012)





#### • SN1987A

Initial progenitor mass : ~ 20  $M_{\odot}$ Metallicity:  $Z = Z_{\odot}/4$  in Large Magellanic cloud Explosive nucleosynthesis for He-core  $6M_{\odot}$ 

Network calculation

Nuclides: 3080 *Cyburt et al. ApJS, 189, 240 (2010)* # of thermonuclear reactions: 38198 JINA database # of neutrino-induced reactions: about 4300

<sup>92</sup>Nb (
$$\tau_{1/2} \sim 34.7$$
 Myr)  
<sup>98</sup>Tc ( $\tau_{1/2} \sim 4.2$  Myr)  
<sup>138</sup>La ( $\tau_{1/2} \sim 1.02 \times 10^{11}$ yr)  
<sup>180</sup>Ta<sup>m</sup> ( $\tau_{1/2} \sim 7.15 \times 10^{15}$  yr)



