

Fluctuations of $\langle p_T \rangle$ from initial size fluctuations ¹



Mikołaj Chojnacki

Institute of Nuclear Physics
Polish Academy of Sciences
Kraków, Poland


Hirscheegg 2010:
Strongly Interacting Matter under Extreme Conditions
17-23 January 2010

¹based on: Wojciech Broniowski, MCh, Łukasz Obara; Phys. Rev. C80 (2009) 051902

Motivation

Size fluctuations of the initial conditions

- Events with the same number of wounded nucleons N_w may have different shape and size.




Two examples² of non-central $^{197}\text{Au} + ^{197}\text{Au}$ collision with $N_w = 198$.

²GLISSANDO WB, M. Rybczyński, P. Bożek, Comput. Phys. Commun. **180** (2009) 69

Motivation

Size fluctuations of the initial conditions

- Events with the same number of wounded nucleons N_w may have different shape and size.



Two examples² of non-central $^{197}\text{Au} + ^{197}\text{Au}$ collision with $N_w = 198$.

smaller size \rightarrow larger gradients \rightarrow larger hydrodynamic flow \rightarrow
 \rightarrow larger p_T (and vice versa)

²GLISSANDO WB, M. Rybczyński, P. Bożek, Comput. Phys. Commun. **180** (2009) 69

Event-by-event fluctuations

average size fluctuations

- average of the transverse size in a given event

$$\langle r \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N_w} \sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2}$$

- e-by-e average of transverse size

$$\langle\langle r \rangle\rangle = \frac{1}{N_{events}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{events}} \langle r \rangle_k$$

Event-by-event fluctuations

average size fluctuations

- average of the transverse size in a given event

$$\langle r \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N_w} \sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2}$$


- e-by-e average of transverse size

$$\langle\langle r \rangle\rangle = \frac{1}{N_{events}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{events}} \langle r \rangle_k$$

- convenient measure — scaled standard deviation for set N_w

$$\sigma_{scaled} = \frac{\sigma(\langle r \rangle)}{\langle\langle r \rangle\rangle}$$

In the **wounded nucleon model** the σ_{scaled} is insensitive to σ_{NN} .



Event-by-event fluctuations


average size fluctuations

- average of the transverse size in a given event

$$\langle r \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N_w} \sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2}$$

- e-by-e average of transverse size

$$\langle\langle r \rangle\rangle = \frac{1}{N_{events}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{events}} \langle r \rangle_k$$



- convenient measure — scaled standard deviation for set N_w

$$\sigma_{scaled} = \frac{\sigma(\langle r \rangle)}{\langle\langle r \rangle\rangle}$$

In the **mixed model** ($\frac{\alpha}{2} N_w + (1 - \alpha) N_{bin}$) a moderate change with σ_{NW} is caused by the different admixture of the binary collisions profile which is much more sensitive to fluctuations.

e-by-e hydrodynamics

fluctuating initial conditions

- Instead of 100 000 events, **two** are enough!

e-by-e hydrodynamics



fluctuating initial conditions

- Instead of 100 000 events, **two** are enough!
- Size of the initial condition for hydrodynamics (energy density profile) is scaled up and down according to the scaled variance.
- No e-by-e energy fluctuations (could be included).

e-by-e hydrodynamics

fluctuating initial conditions

- Instead of 100 000 events, **two** are enough!
- Size of the initial condition for hydrodynamics (energy density profile) is scaled up and down according to the scaled variance.
- No e-by-e energy fluctuations (could be included).



initial central temperature is changed from 455 MeV to 466 MeV (squeezed) or 445 MeV (stretched) profile \rightarrow total energy is the same.

Results

distributions of $\langle r \rangle$ and $\langle p_T \rangle$

- The distribution of the $\langle r \rangle$ is approximately Gaussian

$$f(\langle r \rangle) \sim \exp\left(-\frac{(\langle r \rangle - \langle\langle r \rangle\rangle)^2}{2\sigma^2(\langle r \rangle)}\right)$$

Imagine we ran simulations with fixed $\langle r \rangle$ (no size fluctuations). Then particles would have some average momentum \bar{p}_T

- Since hydrodynamic evolution is deterministic, \bar{p}_T is a (very complicated) function of $\langle r \rangle$.
- Now let us include fluctuations of $\langle r \rangle$. We can use Taylor expansion

$$\bar{p}_T - \langle\langle p_T \rangle\rangle = \left. \frac{d\bar{p}_T}{d\langle r \rangle} \right|_{\langle r \rangle = \langle\langle r \rangle\rangle} (\langle r \rangle - \langle\langle r \rangle\rangle) + \dots$$

- The statistical distribution of $\langle\bar{p}_T\rangle$ is

$$f(\bar{p}_T) \sim \exp\left(-\frac{(\bar{p}_T - \langle\langle p_T \rangle\rangle)^2}{2\sigma^2(\langle r \rangle) \left(\frac{d\bar{p}_T}{d\langle r \rangle}\right)^2}\right)$$

Results

scaled variance of $\langle p_T \rangle$

- The full statistical distribution $f(\langle p_T \rangle)$ in a given centrality class is a folding of the statistical distribution of $\langle p_T \rangle$ at a fixed initial size, centered around a certain \bar{p}_T , with the distribution of \bar{p}_T centered around $\langle\langle p_T \rangle\rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\langle p_T \rangle) &\sim \int d^2 \bar{p}_T \exp\left(-\frac{(\langle p_T \rangle - \bar{p}_T)^2}{2\sigma_{stat}^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{(\bar{p}_T - \langle\langle p_T \rangle\rangle)^2}{2\sigma_{dyn}^2}\right) \\
 &\sim \exp\left(-\frac{(\langle p_T \rangle - \langle\langle p_T \rangle\rangle)^2}{2(\sigma_{stat}^2 + \sigma_{dyn}^2)}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_{dyn}(\langle p_T \rangle) = \sigma(\langle r \rangle) \left. \frac{d\bar{p}_T}{d\langle r \rangle} \right|_{\langle r \rangle = \langle\langle r \rangle\rangle}$ is extracted by the experimentalists.


- Scaled dynamical variance

$$\frac{\sigma_{dyn}}{\langle\langle p_T \rangle\rangle} = \frac{\sigma(\langle r \rangle)}{\langle\langle r \rangle\rangle} \frac{\langle\langle r \rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle p_T \rangle\rangle} \left. \frac{d\bar{p}_T}{d\langle r \rangle} \right|_{\langle r \rangle = \langle\langle r \rangle\rangle}$$


Results

comparison with STAR data

STAR vs hydro



viscosity vs perfect fluid



- scaled variation for 2+1 boost invariant hydro with bulk&shear viscosity, Glauber mixed IC (red dotted circles) by Piotr Bozek
- perfect hydro 2+1 B-I and 3+1, Glauber mixed IC (blue symbols)
- overall amazing agreement when viscosity is introduced!
- perfect hydro mixed model overshoots data by 20%
- approximate scaling $\sigma_{dyn}/\langle\langle p_T \rangle\rangle \sim 1/\sqrt{N_W}$ holds

Results

connection to the EoS³

- scaled variance of $\langle p_T \rangle$ is connected to thermodynamics

$$\frac{\sigma_{dyn}}{\langle\langle p_T \rangle\rangle} = \frac{P \sigma(\langle s \rangle)}{\varepsilon \langle\langle s \rangle\rangle} = 2 \frac{P \sigma(\langle r \rangle)}{\varepsilon \langle\langle r \rangle\rangle}$$

where s is the entropy density, ε energy density, and P the pressure

³Jean-Yves Ollitrault, Phys. Lett. **B 273** (1991)


Results

connection to the EoS³

- scaled variance of $\langle p_T \rangle$ is connected to thermodynamics

$$\frac{\sigma_{dyn}}{\langle\langle p_T \rangle\rangle} = \frac{P \sigma(\langle s \rangle)}{\varepsilon \langle\langle s \rangle\rangle} = 2 \frac{P}{\varepsilon} \frac{\sigma(\langle r \rangle)}{\langle\langle r \rangle\rangle}$$

where s is the entropy density, ε energy density, and P the pressure



- We can study this way the average properties of the equation-of-state i.e. its stiffness

³Jean-Yves Ollitrault, Phys. Lett. **B 273** (1991)



Conclusions

- a few percent fluctuations at the initial size of the collision explains the bulk of the experimental $\langle p_T \rangle$ fluctuations
- viscosity lowers the fluctuations by about 20%, which helps to go exactly through the data (perfect hydro gives a bit too much)
- proper scaling with the number of wounded nucleons
 $\sigma_{dyn}/\langle\langle p_T \rangle\rangle \sim 1/\sqrt{N_W}$ – proper dependence on centrality
- a weak dependence on energy
- our $\langle p_T \rangle$ fluctuations should be considered as the main geometric background for studying further effects like: (mini) jets, clusters, temperature fluctuations, etc.
- average information on P/ε according to Ollitrault's formula

backup slides


Viscous 2+1 B-I hydrodynamics

by Piotr Bożek



Viscous 2+1 B-I hydrodynamics


by Piotr Bożek



- shear viscosity in QGP & HG $\eta/s = 0.1$
- bulk viscosity only in HG with $\zeta/s = 0.04 - 0.03$

Results

comparison with PHENIX data




- 2+1D B-I perfect fluid hydrodynamics with wounded nucleon IC (blue crosses) and with the mixed model IC (red crosses.)

GLISSANDO

GLauber Initial-State Simulation AND mOre

The algorithm:

- nucleon positions generated according to the Woods-Saxon distribution,
- a short-range repulsion is simulated by keeping the distance ($d \geq 0.4$ fm) between the nucleons,



Overlapping nucleons in the transverse plane

GLISSANDO


Nuclear density profiles

Nucleons interact if the distance $d = \sqrt{\sigma_{NN}/\pi}$.


Three models for constructing the nuclear density profile are consider:

- Wounded Nucleons [Bialas, Bleszynski, Czyz, 1976],
- Binary Collisions,
- mixture of the two above, where α is the fraction of the binary collisions taken.

The inelastic cross-section σ_{NN} varies from 32 mb (SPS), 42 mb (RHIC) to 63 mb at the LHC.



Wounded Nucleons



Binary Collisions

Hydrodynamics with statistical hadronization

Initial condition

initial transverse energy density profile — Gaussian fit to GLISSANDO

$$\varepsilon(x, y) = \varepsilon_0(T_i) \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2a^2} - \frac{y^2}{2b^2}\right)$$


- parameters **a**, **b** and T_i depend on centrality,
- eccentricity fluctuations are included,
- **a** and **b** are fitted to reproduce the GLISSANDO's $\langle x \rangle$ and $\langle y \rangle$,
- T_i is fitted to reproduce the correct particle multiplicity

<i>c</i>	[%]	0-5	5-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40-50	50-60	60-70
a	[fm]	2.70	2.54	2.38	2.00	1.77	1.58	1.40	1.22
b	[fm]	2.93	2.85	2.74	2.59	2.45	2.31	2.16	2.02
T_i	[MeV]	500	491	476	455	429	398	354	279

Results

average transverse momentum

- event-averaged transversed momentum $\langle\langle p_T \rangle\rangle$



- solid line: averaged over whole p_T range,
dashed line: STAR cuts $0.2 \text{ GeV} < p_T < 2 \text{ GeV}$
- experimental points from STAR Collaboration Phys. Rev. **C** 79, 034909 (2009)
extrapolated to full p_T range