New Charmonium(-like) States Results from Belle and BaBar

Jens Sören Lange Justus-Liebig-Universität Gießen

HIRSCHEGG 2011 International Workshop XXXIX on Gross Properties of Nuclei and Nuclear Excitations 01/16-01/22, 2011 Kleinwalsertal, Austria

Outline

- Static Charmonium Potential
 - some trivial things
 - some not so trivial things
- X(3872)
 - radiative decays
 - strong decays
- Y(3940)
- Y(4260)
- Z(4430)+
- Belle-II



- 1. Comparison Belle Experiment BaBar Experiment
- 2. Implications of Potential Model

Charmonium



Static Quark-Antiquark Potential for Charmonium

V(r) [GeV]

0

-3

0

0.5

r [fm]

Coulomb-Potential
 + Confinement-Term

 $V(r) = -\frac{4}{3}\frac{\alpha_s}{r} + kr$ spin-spin $+\frac{32\pi\alpha_s}{9m_c^2}\delta_r \vec{S_c} \vec{S_c}$ spin-orbit $+\frac{1}{m_c^2}(\frac{2\alpha_s}{r^3} - \frac{k}{2r})\vec{L}\vec{S}$

tensor

$$+\frac{32\pi\alpha_s}{9m_c^2}\delta_r S_c S_{\overline{c}}$$

$$+\frac{1}{m_c^2}(\frac{2\alpha_s}{r^3} - \frac{k}{2r})\vec{L}\vec{S}$$

$$+\frac{1}{m_c^2}\frac{4\alpha_s}{r^3}(\frac{3\vec{S_c}\vec{r}\cdot\vec{S_c}\vec{r}}{r^2} - \vec{S_c}\vec{S_c}\vec{r})$$

■ solve Schrödinger equation (m_c heavy \rightarrow non-relativistic) \rightarrow states

$$\Psi(r,\theta,\phi) = R_{nl}(r)Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi)$$
$$\left[-\frac{1}{m_q}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{l(l+1)}{m_q r^2} + V(r)\right)\right]R_{nl}(r) = E_{nl}R_{nl}(r)$$

k=0.5 GeV/fm

k=1.5 GeV/fm

 $\frac{4}{3}\frac{\alpha_s}{r}$

V(r)

10



Mass of a Charmonium State (Potential Model)

$$M(n^{2S+1}l_j) = E_{nl} + 2m_q + \frac{2\alpha_s}{3m_q^2} \int d^3r \Psi^*(\vec{r}) \left(\frac{1}{r}\vec{\nabla}^2 + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}\right) \Psi(\vec{r}) \\ + \frac{4\pi\alpha_s}{3m_q^2}|\Psi(0)|^2 + \frac{32\pi\alpha_s}{9m_q^2} \left(\frac{1}{2}S(S+1) - \frac{3}{4}\right)|\Psi(0)|^2 \\ + \alpha_s \frac{j(j+1) - l(l+1) - S(S+1)}{m_q^2} \left\langle\frac{1}{r^3}\right\rangle + \alpha_s \frac{S_{12}}{3m_q^2} \left\langle\frac{1}{r^3}\right\rangle \\ \text{spin-orbit} \\ \text{tensor}$$

Master thesis M. Ullrich, Gießen, 2010 Master thesis M. Werner, Gießen, 2010

Charmonium Excited States $n \le 3, L \le 4$





Barnes, Godfrey, Swanson Phys. Rev. D72(2005)054026

 $\alpha_{\rm S} = 0.55$ k = 0.723 GeV/fm m_c = 1.4794 GeV Eiglsperger arXiv:0707.1269[hep-ph] Weise, HIRSCHEGG 2007

 $\alpha_{\rm S} = 0.29$ k = 1.306 GeV/fm m_c = 1.2185 GeV

Note: string tension from Lattice QCD k \simeq 1 GeV/fm

- Strong coupling is assumed <u>constant</u> for $0 \le r \le \infty$
- Mass solutions depend on α_s with $\Delta m \le 100$ MeV for $\Delta \alpha_s \le 0.1$





Short-range Forces: Spin-Spin Term

- consequence of one-gluon exchange
- spin-spin term is put into the potential, i.e. not treated as a mass shift
- radial only
- "contact term", Gaussian
- fit to experimental data gives $\sigma \simeq 1$ GeV

3

 η'_c



Long-range forces: Testing Confinement

- Testing e.g. mass splitting of P-wave states
 <r>~0.7 fm
 - Coulomb term transforms as Lorentz vector (photon = vector)
 - Linear term transforms as Lorentz scalar
 - Scalar implies: >=2 gluons needed



$$R = \frac{m({}^{3}P_{2}) - m({}^{3}P_{1})}{m({}^{3}P_{1}) - m({}^{3}P_{0})}$$

 $R_{exp}{=}0.48\pm0.01$

 $R_{vector} \ge 0.8$

 \rightarrow Confinement term is needed. \rightarrow Confinement is scalar.

$$V(r) = -\frac{4}{3}\frac{\alpha_s}{r} + kr$$

non-diagonal elements vanishes for S=0

vanishes for L=0

Tensor Term

has diagonal and

treated as perturbation

same order of magnitude and same range as LS term

$$+\alpha_s \frac{j(j+1) - l(l+1) - S(S+1)}{m_q^2} \left\langle \frac{1}{r^3} \right\rangle + \alpha_s \frac{S_{12}}{3m_q^2} \left\langle \frac{1}{r^3} \right\rangle$$

10

30

zero

Among all the states used as input for potential model fit, only χ_{c1} are shifted by tensor term.



1 m

30

3 D

30

1

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} j & l-1 & l & l+1 \\ \hline S_{12} & -\frac{2l+2}{2l-1} & 2 & -\frac{2l}{2l+3} \\ \end{array}$$

B Factories





\geq 1000 /fb

On-resonance samples: Y(4S): 711 /fb Y(5S): 121 /fb Y(3S): 3.0 /fb Y(2S): 24 /fb Y(1S): 5.7 /fb Off-resonance: 87 /fb

~553 /fb On-resonance samples: Y(4S): 433 /fb Y(3S): 30 /fb Y(2S): 14 /fb Off-resonance: 54 /fb

Production of Charmonium







Initial State Radiation e^{-} J^{PC}=1- - $\overline{c}c$ e^+

Decays of Charmonium States



Annihilation





A molecular state?

X(3872)

 observed in more than one decay channel

X(3872)	\rightarrow	$J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
X(3872)	\rightarrow	$J/\psi\gamma$
X(3872)	\rightarrow	$J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
X(3872)	\rightarrow	$D^0 \overline{D}^0 \pi^0$
X(3872)	\rightarrow	$D^0 \overline{D}^0 \gamma$
X(3872)	\rightarrow	$\psi^{\prime}\gamma$

- narrow width Γ<2.3 MeV (90% CL)

- Mass 3871.46±0.19 MeV very close to threshold

 $M_X - (m_{D^*} + m_{\bar{D}^0}) =$ -0.32±0.35 MeV Belle, Phys. Rev. Lett.91(2003)262001CDF-II, Phys. Rev. Lett.93(2004)072001D0, Phys. Rev. Lett.93(2004)162002BaBar, Phys. Rev. D71(2005)071103



Sören Lange | New states from Belle and BaBar Hirschegg`11 | January 18, 2011

S-wave molecular state?

Strong Decay X(3872) $\rightarrow \overline{D}D^*$

- Decay into $\overline{D}D^*$ is dominant BR is factor 9.4^{+3.6}-4.3 higher than for J/ $\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
- BaBar, Phys. Rev. D77(2008)011102(R) m=3875.1^{+0.7}_{-0.5} ±0.5 MeV
 - binned maximum likelihood fit
 - 1-dim fit, M(D*D)
 - signal pdf from MC
 - exponential function background
- Belle, Phys. Rev. D81(2010)031103 m=3872.9^{+0.6} -0.4 +0.4 -0.5 MeV
 - <u>un</u>binned maximum likelihood fit
 - 2-dim fit
 - beam constraint mass Gaussian signal Argus function for background
 - M(D*D) Breit-Wigner signal square root for background



Radiative Decay X(3872) \rightarrow **J**/ ψ γ , ψ γ

Rare Decay BR is factor ~6 smaller than BR($X \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$) Combined branching fraction BR(B decay) × BR(X decay) $\simeq 10^{-6}$

- Evidence for X(3872) \rightarrow J/ $\psi \gamma$ by Belle 256/fb 13.6±4.4 events arXiv:hep-ex/0505037
- Confirmed by BaBar 424/fb 23.0±6.4 events Phys. Rev. D 74(2006)071101
- Proof for positive C parity
- BaBar found evidence for X(3872)→ψ´γ 424/fb 25.4±7.4 events Phys. Rev. Lett. 102(2009)132001

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(X(3872) \to \psi'\gamma)}{\mathcal{B}(X(3872) \to J/\psi\gamma)} = 3.4 \pm 1.4$$



(large)

]P+

Radiative Decay X(3872) \rightarrow **J**/ ψ γ , ψ γ

- X(3872) $\rightarrow J/\psi \gamma$, E_{γ}=775 MeV VMD contributes (ρ , ω)
- $X(3872) \rightarrow \psi' \gamma$, $E_{\gamma}=186$ MeV can only proceed through light quark annihilation \rightarrow expected small
 - \rightarrow BaBar measurement surprising
- New measurement by Belle Preliminary, QWG10, 711/fb







Swanson, Phys. Rept. 429(2006)243

New Measurement of X(3872) Radiative Decays X(3872) $\rightarrow \psi \gamma$

 ψ 'K and ψ 'K* background is different for II and J/ $\psi \pi \pi$ \rightarrow simultaneous fit, 2nd order Chebyshev polynom Combinatorial Background



 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{B^+} \to \mathsf{K^+} \; \mathsf{X(3872)} \\ \mathsf{5.0^{+11.9}}_{-11.0} \; \text{events} \\ (0.4\sigma) \\ \mathsf{BR{<}3.4 \; x \; 10^{-6} \; (90\% \; \text{CL})} \end{array}$

B⁰ → K⁰ X(3872)
1.5^{+4.8}_{-3.9}
(0.2
$$\sigma$$
)
BR<6.6 x 10⁻⁶ (90% CL)

No signal observed

No indication, that $X \rightarrow (n=2)$ charmonium is stronger than $X \rightarrow (n=1)$ charmonium

Isospin Violation

- X(3872) \rightarrow J/ $\psi \pi^+ \pi^$ observation: $\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass peaks at ρ^0
- X(3872) \rightarrow J/ $\psi \rho (I=1)$ violates isospin
- Reason?
 - u-d mass difference (in strong interactions)
 - u-d charge difference (in EM interactions)
- X(3872) can only decay into D
 ⁰*D⁰, [cu] not in D⁺*D⁻, [cd] (threshold is 8 MeV higher)
- \rightarrow this decay is EM, not strong



Isospin violating Charmonium Transistions

Only one decay for charmonium measured in PDG.

ψ	Decays into $J/\psi(1S)$ and anything		
$J/\psi(1S)$ anything	$(59.5\ \pm 0.8\)\ \%$		_
$J/\psi(1S)$ neutrals	$(24.5 \pm 0.4)\%$		—
$J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	$(33.6 \pm 0.4)\%$		477
$J/\psi(1S)\pi^0\pi^0$	$(17.73\pm0.34)\%$		481
$J/\psi(1S)\eta$	(3.28±0.07) %		199
$J/\psi(1S)\pi^0$	$(1.30\pm0.10) imes10^{-3}$	S=1.4	528

Another one $\psi' \rightarrow h_c \pi^0$ see Bes3 results, talk by R. Mitchell

 $B \rightarrow$ X(3872) K π

small K*(892) signal



 $BR(B^{0} \to X[K^{+}\pi^{-}]_{non-res} \times BR(X \to J/\psi\pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = (8.1 \pm 2.0^{+1.1}_{-1.4}) \times 10^{-6}$ $BR(B^{0} \to XK^{*0} \times BR(X \to J/\psi\pi^{+}\pi^{-}) < 3.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ at } 90\% \text{ C.L.}$ $BR(B^{+} \to XK^{+}) \times BR(X \to J/\psi\pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = (8.10 \pm 0.92 \pm 0.66) \times 10^{-6}$

BR(B \rightarrow K X) \simeq BR(B \rightarrow K π X) non-resonant K π as strong as resonant K (although phasespace smaller) 3.4×10^{-6} at 90% C.L. (8.10 ± 0.92 ± 0.66) × 10⁻⁶ Belle, arXiv:0809.1224 (8.4 ± 1.5 ± 0.7) × 10⁻⁶ BaBar, Phys. Rev. D77(2008)111101

$B \rightarrow K\pi X(3872)$ is very different from other $B \rightarrow K\pi Charmonium$





Y(3940)

- only decay seen so far: J/ψω
- quite narrow radially excited P wave state?
 n≥2 has nodes in wave function → width narrower
- Belle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94(2005)182002 275 × 10⁶ B meson pairs mass 3943±11(stat.)±13(syst.) MeV width 87±22(stat.)±26(syst.) MeV
 - BG ~ q*(m) momentum of particles in J/ψω restframe
 - mass resolution fixed $\Delta m(J/\psi\omega) \simeq 6$ MeV factor >10 narrower than Breit-Wigner
- BaBar, Phys. Rev. D82(2010)011101 467 × 10⁶ B meson pairs mass 3919.1^{+3.8}-3.4 (stat.)±2.0 (syst.) MeV width 31⁺¹⁰-8(stat.)±5(syst.) MeV
 - BG Gaussian
 - mass dependant resolution



X(3872) \rightarrow 3 π and Y(3940) \rightarrow 3 π

Isospin violating decays.

Observation of X(3872) \rightarrow J/ ψ $\omega(\rightarrow$ π^{+} π^{-} π^{0})



re-analysis of Phys. Rev. Lett.101(2008)082001 with new ω mass cut BaBar, Phys. Rev. D82(2010)011101, 433/fb

Belle MC efficiency corrected

BaBar, Phys. Rev. D82(2010)011101, 433/fb

	Belle	BaBar	BaBar	
	hep-ex/0505037	Phys. Rev. Lett.101(2008)082001	QWG10	
Cut on ΔE	$\pm 35 \text{ MeV}$	$\pm 20 \text{ MeV} (B^+)$	$\pm 20 \text{ MeV} (B^+)$	
$=\sqrt{(E_B^{cms})^2 - (p_B^{cms})^2}$	(charged only)	$\pm 15 \text{ MeV} (B^0)$	$\pm 15 \text{ MeV} (B^0)$	
Cut on $m(3\pi)$	$\geq 0.7500 \text{ GeV}$	$0.7695 - 0.7965 \text{ GeV} (B^+)$	$0.7400-0.7965 \text{ GeV} (B^+)$	
	(charged only)	$0.7605-0.8055 \text{ GeV} (B^0)$	$0.7400-0.8055 \text{ GeV} (B^0)$	
$PDC2008 m(\omega) - 0.78265 \pm 0.00012 MeV$				

PDG2008 $m(\omega) = 0.78265 \pm 0.00012$ MeV



$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(X(3872) \to J/\psi\omega)}{\mathcal{B}(X(3872) \to J/\psi\pi\pi)} = 0.7 \pm 0.3$$

Large isospin violation confirmed

Testing the Quantum Numbers of the X(3872)

 $X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi 2\pi$ S-wave preferred



Implication for X(3872) possible Charmonium Assignment

- Case $2\pi \rightarrow P=+$ 1++ $\chi_{c1}' {}^{3}P_{1}$ predicted mass 3953 MeV n=2
- Case $3\pi \rightarrow P=-$ 2-+ $\eta_{c2} {}^{1}D_{2}$ $\leq 100 \text{ MeV lower than } \chi_{c1}$ predicted mass 3837 MeV n=1(would be a L=2 meson)

Mass predictions by Barnes, Godfrey, Swanson Phys. Rev. D72(2005)054026



A student exercise:

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{B} \rightarrow \mathsf{K} \ \mathsf{X}(3872) \\ 0- \rightarrow 0- 1+ \\ \mathsf{parity} \ (-1) \rightarrow \mathsf{parity} \ (-1) \ \mathsf{x} \ (+1) \ \mathsf{x} \ (-1)^{\mathsf{L}} \end{array}$

A student exercise:

$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{B} \rightarrow \mathsf{K} \ \mathsf{X}(3872) \\ 0- \rightarrow 0- 1+ \\ \mathsf{parity} \ (-1) \rightarrow \mathsf{parity} \ (-1) \ \mathsf{x} \ (+1) \ \mathsf{x} \ (-1)^{\mathsf{L}} \end{array}$

We need L=1 to create J=1, but this violates parity.


J=0 or J=1 preferred Parity + or parity – allowed J^P=1⁺ no problem (e.g. B⁺ \rightarrow K⁺ χ_{c1} seen with BR 4.6±0.4 x 10⁻⁴)

but J=2 very hard to be generated

X(3872): Notes

- If X(3872) is ¹D₂ then it is S=0transition to $J/\psi = \text{spin-flip}!$ M1 transition. Must be suppressed. Example: E1 $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1} BR=9.2\pm0.4$ % M1 $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$ BR=0.34±0.05 % (although phasespace larger)
 - ${}^{3}P_{1} \qquad {}^{1}D_{2} \\ -2 \qquad zero$ LS term +2/3 +2/3 Tensor term

$$+\alpha_s \frac{j(j+1) - l(l+1) - S(S+1)}{m_q^2} \left\langle \frac{1}{r^3} \right\rangle + \alpha_s \frac{S_{12}}{3m_q^2} \left\langle \frac{1}{r^3} \right\rangle$$

 $^{1}D_{2}$ mass prediction ~50 MeV too low Barnes, Godfrey, Swanson, Phys. Rev. D72(2005)054026



30

25



$J/\psi\omega$ in $\gamma\gamma$ collisions

$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow J/\psi \omega$

694/fb includes Y(3S) and Y(5S) data

- Belle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104(2010)092001
- Final state 2 vector mesons with I=0



$\gamma\,\gamma\to {J\!/}\!\psi\;\omega$

- $\gamma \gamma$ collision signal region (P_T < 0.1 GeV)
- Clear enhancement seen just above $J/\psi \omega$ threshold
- 7.7σ (stat.)
 49±14(stat.)±4(syst.) events
- M=3915±3(stat.)±2(syst.) MeV
- Γ=17±10(stat.)±3(syst.) MeV
- C=even, but J^P not yet determined (need much more statistics)
- Is this the Y(3940)? (in a 2nd production mode)



If this state is the Y(3940), it implies:

in $\gamma\gamma$ collisions J^{PC}=1⁺⁺ or 2⁻⁺ can not be produced (only 0⁺⁺, 0⁻⁺, 2⁺⁺)

X(3872) and Y(3940) would have different J^{PC} \rightarrow mixing forbidden (in B decays)



Y(4260) J^P=1⁻, but coupling to e⁺e⁻ small. (a hybrid state?)

Note: recent notation by PDG as X(4260)

Y(4260): Reminder

- initial state radiation events e⁺e⁻→γ J/ψπ⁺π⁻ (undetected γ parallel to beam axis)
- mass >4 GeV (far above DD(*) threshold)
- width < 100 MeV (quite narrow)
- significance > 10σ
- quantum numbers must be (based upon production mechanism)

 $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$





Y(4260) Parameters

	BaBar $[1]$	CLEO-III $[2]$	Belle [3]	Belle [4]	BaBar $[5]$
	$211 { m ~fb^{-1}}$	$13.3 { m ~fb}^{-1}$	$553 { m fb^{-1}}$	$548 \ {\rm fb}^{-1}$	$454 { m ~fb^{-1}}$
Ν	125 ± 23	$14.1_{-4.2}^{+5.2}$	165 ± 24	$324{\pm}21$	$344{\pm}39$
Significance	$\simeq 8\sigma$	$\simeq 4.9\sigma$	$\geq 7\sigma$	$\geq 15\sigma$	—
m / MeV	$4259 \pm 8^{+2}_{-6}$	$4283^{+17}_{-16}\pm4$	$4295 \pm 10^{+10}_{-3}$	$4247 \pm 12^{+17}_{-32}$	$4252 \pm 6^{+2}_{-3}$
Γ / MeV	$88 \pm 23^{+6}_{-4}$	70_{-25}^{+40}	$133 \pm 26^{+13}_{-6}$	$108 \pm 19 \pm 10$	$105 \pm 18^{+4}_{-6}$

- [1] Phys. Rev. Lett. 95(2005)142001
- [2] Phys. Rev. Lett. 96(2006)162003
- [3] arXiv:hep-ex/0612006
- [4] Phys. Rev. Lett. 99(2007)182004
- [5] arXiv:0808.1543[hep-ex]

e⁺e⁻ → γ_{ISR} J/ψ (ψ') π⁺π⁻ : Y(4008,4260,4350,4660)



What is the tail \leq 4.7 GeV?

- Threshold m(D)+m(D**) = 4326 MeV Lineshape distorted? No.
- Non-corrected radiative effects? No. Radiative lower mass tail in $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+ e^$ might generate higher mass tail in $m(J/\psi$ -with-wrong-mass $\pi^+\pi^-$).
- Fit funtion: Breit Wigner x Phasespace x Efficiency Efficiency $a(m-m_0)+b$ with $a=7.4\pm 1.3$ GeV⁻¹, $b=9.31\pm 0.07$ (Belle) changes factor ~2 over peak



Belle, hep-ex/0612006

Y States



All same quantum number 1 - - but apparently

- no mixing with other ψ states
- no mixing among them Y(4260) seems not decay to $\psi' \pi^+ \pi^-$ Y(4350) seems not decay to J/ $\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$

No more [J/ $\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$] state up to 7 GeV

Note: radiative transistions between the states forbidden by parity

Y(4260): Comparison Belle and BaBar

- BaBar collisions head-on, dipole magnet close to IR
- Belle: steering angle
- slightly higher background at BaBar (also seen as MRad SVD radiation dose)
- backward acceptance for $\theta \simeq 180^{\circ}$ limited





Y(4260): Notes

- Decay to DD, DD*, D*D* not seen see Talk G. Pakhlova, PsiPhi09 but e.g. BR(ψ´´→ DD) > 90%
- Small coupling to e+e-(although $J^{P}=1^{-}$) BR($J/\psi \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$) x $\Gamma(e^{+} e^{-}) =$ (7.5 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.8) eV BaBar, arXiv:0808.1543
- Small coupling to $\overline{p}p$ BR(Y(4260) $\rightarrow \overline{p}p$)/ BR(Y(4260) $\rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$)<0.13 BaBar, Phys. Rev. D73(2006)091103 > implications for Panda

What is blocking these decays?



X(4630) highest charmonium(-like) state observed so far only new state with decay to <u>baryons</u> seen

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^- \gamma_{ISR}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K_{s}{}^{0} \;, \; p K^{-} \pi^{+} \;, \; \Lambda \pi^{+} \\ \Lambda_{c}{}^{-} \; \text{is tagged by anti-proton,} \\ \text{(partial reconstruction,} \\ \text{recoil mass)} \end{array}$

- 5³S₁ or 4³D₁ ?
 Segovia, Yasser, Entem, Fernandez
- 6³S₁ ?
 - Li, Chao
- 2-baryon threshold effect? as seen in B decays, J/ψ decays

Phys. Rev. Lett. 101(2008)172001, 670/fb



Potential Model: Wronski-Determinant must be =0 at turning point

$$r_{\rm turning \ point} = \frac{E-2m}{2\sigma} + \sqrt{\frac{4m^2 - 4mE + E^2}{4\sigma^2} + \frac{4\alpha_s}{3\sigma}}$$

- at m=4.660 GeV, the turning point of the wave function is at r>2 fm!
- large fraction of wave function is in string breaking regime r>1.35 fm









A <u>charged</u> charmonium(-like) state.

 $B^0 \to K^+ \, \psi^{\ \prime} \, \pi^-$

K*(892) K*(1430)



Z(4430)+



Phys. Rev. D79(2008)112001 413/fb Belle Phys. Rev. D80(2009)031104 605/fb

Dalitz plot shows same features, although different range.

Belle and BaBar data look similar.



Enhancement in **Mass**($\pi\psi'$) is seen in both data samples, only interpretation is different.

Belle-II

Belle Rollout, 09.12.2010



Belle Rollout, 09.12.2010



Belle-II and Super-KEKB

- Luminosity x 40 (8x10³⁵ cm⁻² s⁻¹) plan 50 ab⁻¹ by \leq 2021
- Groundbreaking Ceremony: 8.04.2011
- Technical Design Report, see arXiv:1011.0352
- Several new detectors, e.g. DEPFET Pixel Detector
 - vertex resolution $\Delta z \ge 20 \ \mu m$ for p>1 GeV/c
 - improved low momentum tracking, e.g. for $D^{*+}(\rightarrow D^0 \pi^+)$
 - schedule: detectors installed by 01.10.2014





Sören Lange | New states from Belle and BaBar

Hirschegg'11 | January 18, 2011

Summary

- Although BaBar and Belle finished data taking, results from spectroscopy analyses are flourishing.
- Even >5 years after discovery of X(3872), Y(4260), ... their nature is not understood.
- The next steps ahead: Bes-III, LHCb, Belle-II, PANDA,, SuperB ...

Backup Slides

Luminosity prospect



K* is peaking with respect to this angle

in B meson rest frame



Y(4140)

A state with strange quarks?

$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ Y(4140)(\rightarrow J/\psi \phi)$



Analysis with 2.7/fb in Phys. Rev. Lett. 102(2009)242002

Kai Yi et al., ICHEP 2010

$B^+ ightarrow K^+ Y(4140)(ightarrow J/\psi \phi)$

• D_s^{0*} D_s molecule?

Beware:

there is no neutral $D_s^{(*)}$ meson.

- $m(D_s^+)+m(D_s^+)=3937 \text{ MeV}$ $\rightarrow \text{ too low.}$
- $m(D_s^{+*})+m(D_s^{+})= 4286 \text{ MeV}$ $\rightarrow \text{ too high}$
- J/ $\psi \phi$ molecule?
 - both neutral and heavy
 - m(J/ψ)+m(φ)= 4116 MeV
 - close, but positive "binding energy" (would be a virtual state)

Br(B⁺→Y(4140)K⁺) x Br(Y→J/ $\psi \phi$) CDF (9.0 ± 3.4 ± 2.9)×10⁻⁶ Belle <6 ×10⁻⁶ at 90% CL



Belle, Lepton-Photon-09 771/fb

X(3872) mass in $\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ channel only

 $<M_{\chi}>= 3871.46 \pm 0.19 \text{ MeV}$



Sören Lange | New states from Belle and BaBar Hirschegg'11 | January 18, 2011

All J^{PC} values other than 1⁺⁺ or 2⁻⁺are ruled out with high confidence



CDF: PRL 98 132002

B⁺ and **B**⁰ decays are quite different.

Swanson, Phys. Rept. 429(2006)243





 $\begin{array}{l} B^0 \rightarrow {\sf K}^+ \\ B^0 \rightarrow {\sf K}^0 \\ B^+ \rightarrow {\sf K}^+ \\ B^+ \rightarrow {\sf K}^0 \\ \text{any combination possible} \end{array}$

color enhanced

 $B^+ \rightarrow K^+$ $B^0 \rightarrow K^0$ (charge sign changes by W[±], and changes back, \rightarrow same charge for B and K)

color suppressed (color is locked by spectator quark)

X(3872) \rightarrow J/ $\psi \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ in B⁺ and B⁰



Ratio $B^0/B^* = 0.82 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.05$ 0.41±0.24±0.05 (Belle) (BaBar)

Predictions for molecule e.g. Braaten, Lu, Phys. Rev. D 77(2008)014029
A cross section through the device is shown in Fig. 4.3. A p-channel MOSFET or JFET (junction field effect transistor) is integrated onto a silicon detector substrate, which becomes fully depleted by a sufficiently high negative voltage to a p^+ contact on the back side. A potential minimum is formed by sideward depletion [4], which is shifted directly underneath the transistor channel at a depth of about $1 \,\mu$ m by an additional phosphorus implantation underneath the external gate. Incident particles generate electron-hole pairs within the fully depleted bulk. While the holes drift to the back contact, electrons are accumulated in the potential minimum, called the "Internal Gate". When the transistor is switched on, the electrons modulate the channel current. The readout is non-destructive and can be repeated many times.



The removal of the signal charge and thermally generated electrons from the internal gate is called "Clear". A neighboring n^+ contact is pulsed at a positive voltage providing a punch-through into the internal gate. Any reset noise is avoided if the entire charge is removed. An advantage of the DEPFET device is the amplification of the signal charge just above the position of its generation, thus avoiding any lateral charge transfer where losses could occur. The most important feature of the DEPFET is the very small capacitance of the internal gate, resulting in a very low noise performance even at room temperature.

Y(3940) → DD* ?

B→KDD*

ArXiv:0810.0358





Double charmonium production Recoil mass (direct production in continuum)



Any of the D^(*)D^(*) seems to indicate S-wave enhancement



Too high for molecular Hypothesis.

Constituents	J^{PC}	Mass [MeV]
$D\bar{D}^*$	0-+	≈ 3870
$D\bar{D}^*$	1^{++}	≈ 3870
$D^*\bar{D}^*$	0++	≈ 4015
$D^*\bar{D}^*$	0^{-+}	≈ 4015
$D^*\bar{D}^*$	1^{+-}	≈ 4015
$D^*\bar{D}^*$	2^{++}	≈ 4015

Predictions of molecular states one-pion exchange model Törnqvist Phys. Lett. B590(2004)209 Phys. Rev. Lett. 67(1991)556

C=+ states

- Cannot annihilate to γ (e⁺ e⁻)
- only decay to γγ or gluon gluon

$$\Gamma({}^{3}S_{1} \to \gamma) = \frac{65\pi}{9} \frac{\alpha_{em}}{m_{c}^{2}} |\psi(r=0)|^{2}$$

$$\Gamma({}^{3}P_{0} \to \gamma\gamma) = \frac{256}{3} \frac{\alpha_{em}^{2}}{m_{c}^{4}} |\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial r}(r=0)|^{2}$$

sensitive to derivative of wavefunction



[ωJ/ψ] in double charmonium production? e⁺e⁻→J/ψ + (ω J/ψ)

