Flow in systems big and small?

Gunther Roland

Hirschegg, Jan 22 2016

International Workshop XLIV on Gross Properties of Nuclei and Nuclear Excitations

Flow in systems big and small?



Gunther Roland

Hirschegg, Jan 22 2016

International Workshop XLIV on Gross Properties of Nuclei and Nuclear Excitations

Flow in systems big and small?



Gunther Roland

Hirschegg, Jan 22 2016

International Workshop XLIV on Gross Properties of Nuclei and Nuclear Excitations

Overview

Milestones and recent results in studies of collective flow in **big** systems at RHIC and LHC

What do correlations in small systems teach us?

PbPb



vs

pPb

vs

pp



Pressure-driven hydrodynamic expansion





Initial nuclear overlap defines direction (anisotropic pressure gradients)

Final state momentum distribution reflects initial overlap geometry

Hydrodynamic expansion translates initial configuration space anisotropy into final state momentum distribution

Milestones: 2000-2015

Gale, Jeon, Schenke
Int.J.Mod.Phys. A28 (2013) 1340011



Key experimental and theoretical developments

Precise extraction of QGP transport coefficient η/s

Understanding the structure and fine structure of collective motion through correlations

2000

Gale, Jeon, Schenke
Int.J.Mod.Phys. A28 (2013) 1340011



STAR Phys.Rev.Lett. 86 (2001) 402-407



Elliptic flow in mid-central Au+Au collisions reaches values predicted in ideal (non-viscous) hydrodynamics



2003-2004

Gale, Jeon, Schenke
Int.J.Mod.Phys. A28 (2013) 1340011



Teaney, Phys.Rev. C68 (2003) 034913



Strength of elliptic flow depends strongly on shear viscosity

Observed signal requires very small shear viscosity



Kovtun, Son, Starinets Phys.Rev.Lett. 94 (2005) 111601

“Viscosity bound” η/s ≧ 1/4π in string theories with gravity dual in strong coupling limit

Connection between flow in HI and fundamental physics of strongly coupled systems

2005-2007

Gale, Jeon, Schenke
Int.J.Mod.Phys. A28 (2013) 1340011





PHENIX Phys.Rev.Lett. 97 (2006) 052301

Correlations after subtraction of v_2 show structure at $\Delta\varphi = \pi \pm 60^\circ$ - Mach cones?

PHOBOS Phys.Rev.Lett. 98 (2007) 242302



Flow strength in small systems requires fluctuations of initial geometry: Participant eccentricity



PHOBOS Phys.Rev.C77:014906,2008

2007-2009

Gale, Jeon, Schenke
Int.J.Mod.Phys. A28 (2013) 1340011





Romatschke, Romatschke Phys.Rev.Lett. 99 (2007) 172301

First quantitative comparisons of data with viscous hydro calculations

Data imply η/s = few x $1/4\pi$

2010

Gale, Jeon, Schenke
Int.J.Mod.Phys. A28 (2013) 1340011



Gale, Jeon, Schenke (Review)
Int.J.Mod.Phys. A28 (2013) 1340011



Variety of models to describe initial state geometry

Heinz, Snellings (Review)
Int.J.Mod.Phys. A28 (2013) 1340011



Geometry uncertainty major source of uncertainty on η/s

One observable (v_2) - two unknowns (η/s, ε_2)

2010

Gale, Jeon, Schenke
Int.J.Mod.Phys. A28 (2013) 1340011





Initial geometry fluctuations break two-fold symmetry → Odd flow components, in particular triangular flow (v_3)

Provides independent observables to constrain geometry and η/s simultaneously

B. Alver, GR, Phys.Rev. C81 (2010) 054905



Explains observed azimuthal correlations (RIP Mach cones)

2011-

Gale, Jeon, Schenke
Int.J.Mod.Phys. A28 (2013) 1340011





$$\varepsilon_3 = \frac{\sqrt{\langle (r^2\cos(3\phi)\rangle^2 + \langle (r^2\sin(3\phi)\rangle^2}}{\langle r^2 \rangle}$$

B. Alver, GR, Phys.Rev. C81 (2010) 054905



Gale, Jeon Schenke Phys.Rev. C85 (2012) 024901



Gale et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 110 (2013)



Mass dependence of $v_2(p_T)$



Measurement of v2(pT) for identified hadrons

Origin of correlations from common underlying anisotropic velocity field in hydro picture implies mass ordering

Mass ordering seen in data at LHC, similar to prior RHIC measurements by STAR, PHENIX

ALICE
JHEP 1506 (2015) 190

Event-plane angle (Ψ_n) correlations



Heinz, Snellings (Review)
Int.J.Mod.Phys. A28 (2013) 1340011

ATLAS pioneered studies of correlations between event-plane angles (Ψ_n) of different flow harmonics v_n

Some of these correlations are purely geometrical, while others arise only in the evolution of the system.

Hydrodynamic calculations reproduce these correlations for both cases (semi-) quantitatively

Transverse and longitudinal source structure

Factorization breaking vs transverse momentum difference



CMS PRC 92 (2015) 034911

If v_n pure single particle effect wrt common event plane angle expect factorization, i.e. r_n = 1

$$r_n(p_T^a, p_T^b) \equiv \frac{V_{n\Delta}(p_T^a, p_T^b)}{\sqrt{V_{n\Delta}(p_T^a, p_T^a)V_{n\Delta}(p_T^b, p_T^b)}}$$

Due to local geometrical fluctuations and space-momentum correlations, hydro calculations *predicted* factorization breaking

Heinz et al, Phys. Rev. C 87 (2013) 034913

Factorization breaking vs rapidity gap



Semi-quantitative agreement between data and prediction for $r_2(p_T^a, p_T^b)$

Collective Flow in Large Systems

Wide range of correlation measurements in qualitative/semi-quantitative or quantitative agreement with viscous hydro calculations with sensible initial conditions/transport coefficients

- Azimuthal anisotropies v_n
- Characteristic $v_n(p_T)$ shape
- Mass ordering of $v_n(p_T)$
- Multiplicity dependence
- Weak rapidity dependence
- Connection to initial geometry
- Higher order (n>4) correlations
- Factorization breaking
- Mass ordering of p_T spectra
- Event angle correlations

Heinz, Chen arXiv:1507.01558

Precision: Comparison to hydro codes yields $1 \lesssim (\eta/s)/4\pi \lesssim 2.5$
Accuracy: Do we have control of initial and final state physics?

AWOL: Medium response to local energy deposition

Two-Particle Correlations in 7TeV pp (2010)

Results based on 1fb^{-1},
i.e. sampling 50billion pp events
with high multiplicity trigger

Intermediate p_T: 1-3 GeV/c

MinBias

high multiplicity (N>110)



Pronounced structure at large δη around δφ ~ 0 !

Two-Particle Correlations in 7TeV pp (2010)

High multiplicity (N>110)

~100 citations within a year



Interpretation:

Multi-jet correlations
Jet-Jet color connections
Jet-proton remnant color connections
Jet-remnant connections + medium
Glasma correlations
Quantum entanglement
Angular momentum conservation
Angular momentum conservation + medium
Hydrodynamic flow

?

Multiplicity in these events is dominated by jet contribution.

Two-Particle Correlations in pPb (Oct 2012)





Similar correlations as in high-multiplicity pp, but larger strength (associated yield)

Opinion:
Phenomenology very similar in pp and pPb - same underlying physics

Most models of pp-ridge immediately ruled out

Peripheral subtraction in ALICE and ATLAS

ALICE Phys.Lett. B719 (2013) 29-41



Away side yield in pp and peripheral pPb is very similar (away-side jet)

ATLAS Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 182302 (2013)



Subtraction of peripheral pPb correlations reveals nearly symmetric "double-ridge" structure

PHENIX dAu correlations

PHENIX
arXiv:1303.1794



“Quadrupole correlations” seen in dAu as well

Direct comparison of v_2 in pPb and PbPb

CMS Phys. Lett. B 724 (2013) 213

ATLAS $v_2\{4\}$: Phys. Lett. B 725 (2013)



v_2 shows similar shape in pPb and PbPb, but is smaller in pPb

$v_2\{4\}$ is only 20% smaller than $v_2\{2\}$ below 2 GeV/c

"Peripheral subtraction" has small effect at high multiplicity

Mass ordering of $v_n(p_T)$

ALICE PLB 726 (2013) 164



CMS PLB 742 (2015) 200



Mass ordering seen in pPb by ALICE and CMS
(even stronger than in PbPb at the same multiplicity)

Characteristic multiplicity dependence





Continuous evolution from “small”
(pPb ***and*** PbPb) to “large” (PbPb) system

Factorization breakdown

$$r_n(p_T^a, p_T^b) \equiv \frac{V_{n\Delta}(p_T^a, p_T^b)}{\sqrt{V_{n\Delta}(p_T^a, p_T^a) V_{n\Delta}(p_T^b, p_T^b)}}$$



CMS PRC 92 (2015) 034911

Some tension between (pPb) data and predictions

Higher order correlations

CMS PRL 115 (2015) 012301



v_2 correlations between "all" particles in the event

Does this define "collectivity"?

Connection to initial geometry

PHENIX Phys.Rev.Lett. 115 (2015) 14, 142301



^{3}He+Au collisions show significant triangular flow as expected based on intrinsic ε_3 of collision system

v_2 and v_3 described by hydro calculations

Is there "collectivity" in "small" systems?

- Azimuthal anisotropies v_n ✔
- Characteristic $v_n(p_T)$ shape ✔
- Mass ordering of $v_n(p_T)$ ✔
- Characteristic multiplicity dependence ✔
- Weak rapidity dependence of correlations ✔
- Connection to initial geometry ✔ **LHC?**
- Higher order (n>4) correlations ✔
- Factorization breaking (✔-?)
- Mass ordering of p_T spectra ✔
- Event angle correlations **?**

Experimentally, "collectivity" observables show a smooth evolution from "small" to "large" systems

Hydrodynamics in small systems?



Hydrodynamic calculations (for certain models of initial conditions) successfully reproduce data for pPb, d+Au, He+Au

Is the application of these codes for small systems (large gradients) self-consistent? [Rischke, IS204]

Paul Chesler, QM2015

Concluding thoughts

Common lore:

$$\begin{cases} t_{\text{hydro}} \gg \tau_{\text{m.f.t.}} \gg \frac{1}{T}, \\ R \gg \lambda_{\text{m.f.p.}} \gg \frac{1}{T}, \end{cases}$$

Observed extreme domain of hydro:

$$t_{\text{hydro}} \lesssim \frac{1}{T},$$
$$R \lesssim \frac{1}{T}.$$

No theoretical inconsistency with hydro in pp, pA.

How/when does hydro turn off?

- Must exist critical energy E_c which for $E < E_c$ no black hole forms.
  - **⇒ Hydro evolution for $E > E_c$.**
  - **⇒ No hydro evolution for $E < E_c$.**
- **Universal gravitational dynamics as $E \to E_c$.** [Choptuik 1993]
  - Self-Similar geometry, entropy production $\Delta S \sim (E - E_c)^{3\gamma}$, $\gamma \sim 0.4$.
- **Unstable small black holes and dual liquids?**
- **Interesting to study low energy dynamics!**

Studied black hole (≈QGP) formation for collision of small sheets/blobs of finite energy density (≈protons) in $\mathcal{N}$=4 SYM in strong coupling limit (classical gravity)

v_n in pp @ 13 TeV

CMS-PAS-HIN-15-009



No energy-dependence of pp "ridge" correlation strength observed



After subtraction of low-multiplicity correlations, clear v_2 and v_3 signals remain

v_n in pp @ 13 TeV

CMS-PAS-HIN-15-009

ATLAS arXiv:1509.04776







ATLAS result without subtraction of low-N correlations (template fit to separate "flow" vs jet correlations)

v_2 strength found to be multiplicity independent!

Summary

- Strong evidence for hydrodynamic origin of collective flow in AA collisions
  - New studies of "fine structure" and non-linear evolution of correlations
  - Quantitative determination of η/s limited by "unknown unknowns"
- Measurements in small systems reproduce nearly all of the hallmarks of collective flow seen in AA
- No conclusive evidence of turn-off of collective flow ("smallest QGP droplet")
  - Flow-like correlations seen in pp @2.76, 7 and 13 TeV
  - ATLAS analysis shows constant v_2 in pp@13TeV down to < 2 x min bias multiplicity

pp vs pPb

Strength of near-side correlations







Strength of correlations for same multiplicity much lower in pp than pPb

In pPb (and PbPb), for multiplicity > 50, jet-like correlations are perturbation of flow-like signal

In high multiplicity pp much of final-state multiplicity comes from jet fragmentation
“Flow-like” correlations are perturbations on dominant jet-like structure
Need more data (analyses) to make any judgement on pp

Are pp and pPb "small"?

2D CF can be described as superposition of "jet-like" correlations (intra-jet and jet-jet correlations) + weakly rapidity dependent flow harmonics



⊕



Importance of jet-like correlations drops from pp to pPb to PbPb:

← lower collision energy

← higher multiplicity



$v_n(p_T)$ in pPb



The good:

1. Good agreement with CMS
2. Characteristic $v_n(p_T)$ shape as in PbPb
3. Expected “n” ordering as in PbPb

The somewhat confusing:

1. $v_2(p_T{=}10\text{GeV}) \sim 4\%$

From small to large





No clear evidence for turn-on at low multiplicity

N.b.: at high multiplicity in pPb, "peripheral subtraction" is irrelevant

Rapidity dependence



As for PbPb, weak rapidity dependence with maximum near maximal particle density

"Radial flow"?





For completeness: Expected mass ordering of π, K, p spectra