Equilibration in Nonabelian Gauge Theories

Guy D. Moore, with Aleksi Kurkela, arXiv: 1107.5050

- How to get far from equilibrium in nonabelian gauge theory
- Case 1: isotropic, high occupancy Elastic and Inelastic Scattering
- Case 2: isotropic, low occupancy showering, LPM effect
- Case 3: anisotropic

Plasma instabilities, anisotropic daughters, wheels within wheels

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 1 of 32

Motivation

There are many cases where you meet gauge theories far from equilibrium:

- Cosmology: reheating or preheating (decay products, parametric resonance....)
- Cosmology: phase transitions, *eg.*, electroweak
- Heavy ion collisions (only weakly coupled in ultra-high energy limit)

Cases: homogeneous vs. inhomogeneous, isotropic vs. aniso, high vs. low initial occupancies

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 2 of 32

I will only consider:

Cases:

- weak coupling $\alpha \ll 1$, mostly glue (doesn't matter...)
- parametric estimates: \sim not = 55pp, 183eq, 15fig, 619 \sim 's!
- homogeneous systems Not as bad as it sounds

	High occ.	Low occ.
isotropic		
weak-aniso		
strong-aniso		

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 3 of 32

I will only consider:

- weak coupling $\alpha \ll 1$, mostly glue (doesn't matter...)
- parametric estimates: \sim not = 55pp, 183eq, 15fig, 619 \sim 's!
- homogeneous systems Not as bad as it sounds

Existing Literature:

	High occ.	Low occ.
isotropic	Wrong	well-treated
weak-aniso		—
strong-aniso		

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 3 of 32

Isotropic, High Occupancy

Initially momentum $p \sim Q$, occupancy $f \sim \alpha^{-c}$:



How do I get to equilibrium $T \sim Q \alpha^{-c/4}$?

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 4 of 32

Elastic scattering

$$\int_{p,k,p',k'} |\mathcal{M}|^2 \Big(f(p)f(k)[1+f(p')][1+f(k')] \\ -f(p')f(k')[1+f(p)][1+f(k)] \Big)$$

Naively: $d\Gamma/d^4x \sim \alpha^2 Q^4 f^4$. Really f^3 (gain-loss) Rate per PARTICLE: $\Gamma \sim \alpha^2 Q f^2 \sim \alpha^{2-2c} Q$

Also not true: Coulombic divergence!

$$\int |\mathcal{M}|^2 \propto \int d^2 q_\perp \left(\frac{1}{q_\perp^2 + m^2}\right)^2 \sim \frac{Q^2}{m^2}$$

 m^2 "screening scale" is a medium effect.

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 5 of 32

Screening and Splitting

Screening: $m^2 \sim \alpha \int \frac{d^3p}{E_p} f(p) \sim \alpha^{1-c} Q^2$ Note: IR role! All scatt: $\Gamma_{\text{soft}} \sim \alpha^{1-c} Q$. Hard scatt: $\Gamma_{\text{hard}} \sim \alpha^{2-2c} Q$. Soft scattering can cause radiation/absorption!



Radiation as common as hard scatt: part.# changes easily

QCD: No chemical potential, no Bose condensation Blaizot Gelis

Jiao McLerran Venugopalan 1107.5296 miss this point

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 6 of 32

Approach to Equilibrium

Small-momentum equilibrates faster, $\Gamma(p) \propto p^{-2}$. IR in quasi-equilibrium, $f(p) = T_*/p$ (IR tail of Bose distribution). True if $\Gamma(p)t \gg 1$. Cut-off at p_{\max} where $\Gamma(p_{\max})t \sim 1$. Above p_{\max} , occupancy falls off fast.

Energy density $\varepsilon \sim \alpha^{-c}Q^4 \sim p_{\max}^3 T_*$ is conserved. $\Gamma \sim \alpha^2 T_*^2/p_{\max}$. Solve self-consistently:

$$p_{\max} \sim \alpha^{\frac{2-2c}{7}} Q^{\frac{8}{7}} t^{\frac{1}{7}}, \quad f \sim \alpha^{\frac{-8+c}{7}} (Qt)^{\frac{-4}{7}}$$
$$t_{\text{eq}} \sim \alpha^{-2+\frac{c}{4}} Q^{-1} \sim \alpha^{-2} T_{\text{final}} \quad \text{(of course!)}$$

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 7 of 32

Small initial occupancy

Just the same thing backwards??? NO!



Build soft particle distribution which "eats" hard excitations via radiation cascade

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 8 of 32

Radiation, LPM effect 1



Scattering knocks gluon from virtual cloud.

Cloud re-forms once knocked-out gluon physically separates

$$t_{\rm form} \sim rac{{
m trans. size}}{ heta} \sim rac{1/p_{\perp}}{p_{\perp}/p} \sim rac{p}{p_{\perp}^2}$$

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 9 of 32



Frequent or soft scatterings: cloud hasn't re-formed! At most one emission "chance" per t_{form} . "LPM effect"

$$p_{\perp}^2 \equiv \hat{q}t_{\text{form}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Gamma_{\text{emit}}(p) \sim \alpha t_{\text{form}}^{-1} \sim \frac{\alpha\sqrt{\hat{q}}}{\sqrt{p}}$$

QED: Landau Pomeranchuk Migdal '53,'54. QCD: Baier Dokshitzer Mueller Peigné Schiff hep-ph/9607355

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 10 of 32

LPM and You

Phase space, kinematics etc.: $\Gamma(p) \propto 1/p$. Number produced $\sim dp/p$ log-distributed in p. With LPM: $\sim dp/p^{\frac{3}{2}}$ IR dominated. Radiated energy $\sim dp/\sqrt{p}$ hard dom. More soft than hard daughters produced. And they also:

- screen with efficiency $\propto 1/E$. m^2 strongly IR dom.
- cause scattering with efficiency \propto [1+f]. Also IR dom.

Once enough daughters accumulate, they dominate physics! long before they dominate energy density!

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 11 of 32

Sequence of events

- Bath of soft excitations form by radiation
- Bath numerous enough to dominate screen+scattering
- Bath thermalizes with itself
- Bath induces radiative breakup of hard excitations

Equilibration finishes in time for $p \sim Q$ excitation to lose energy in bath with $T \sim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}$ (that is, $T \sim \alpha^{-c/4}Q$) This is $t \sim \alpha^{-2}T^{-1}\sqrt{(Q/T)} \sim \alpha^{-2-3c/8}Q$

Baier Mueller Schiff Son hep-ph/0009237 basically got this right.

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 12 of 32

Anisotropic systems

Discuss screening in more depth. Electric fields:



McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 13 of 32

E-field: plasma oscillations are like adding m^2 . "stabilizing" (make fields oscillate)

B-field quite different: for *B* out-of-board, isotropic dist. just gets rotated – not changed.



No current induced – no effect on B. $m^2 = 0$ for B!

Isotropic: Electric, but not magnetic, fields screened.

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 14 of 32

Anisotropic medium: Instabilities!

Consider maximum anisotropy: all particles move only in z direction:



McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 15 of 32

Magnetic field growth!

Consider the effects of a seed magnetic field $\hat{B} \cdot \hat{p} = 0$ and $\hat{k} \cdot \hat{p} = 0$



How do the particles deflect?

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 16 of 32

Positive charges:



No net ρ . Net current is induced as indicated.

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 17 of 32

Negative charges: same-sign current contribution



Induced B adds to seed B. Exponential Weibel instability.

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 18 of 32

Guesstimate of growth rate:

Force on particle $\mathbf{F} \sim g\mathbf{B}$. Velocity change $\mathbf{v} \sim \mathbf{F}t/p$ Deflection: $\Delta x \sim \mathbf{v}t \sim \mathbf{F}t^2/p \sim gBt^2/p$ Concentration: $k\Delta x$. Current per particle: $g(k\Delta x)$. Current: $J \sim \int d^3pf(p) \ g(k\Delta x) \sim \int \frac{d^3p}{p}f(p)g^2 \ k\mathbf{B}t^2$ That is, $J \sim m^2t^2k\mathbf{B}$ Current matters when $J \sim \nabla \times B \sim kB$, which is $m^2t^2 \sim 1$.

Growth rate must be $\Gamma \sim m$.

Growth occurs *iff* particles stay in same-sign B for $t \gtrsim 1/m$. (Otherwise J never builds up.)

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 19 of 32

Weak anisotropy

Define angular distribution $\Omega(\mathbf{v})$:

$$\Omega(\mathbf{v}) \equiv \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{E} f(\mathbf{p}) \delta(\hat{\mathbf{p}} - \mathbf{v})$$

Weak anisotropy means $\Omega(\mathbf{v}) = \Omega + \epsilon Y_{20} + \dots$

Large isotropic part plus small anisotropic extra.

Only aniso. bit causes instability. $m_{\rm eff}^2 \sim \epsilon m^2$.

Particles must be in same-sign B for $t \sim 1/m\sqrt{\epsilon}$.

Hence unstable k have $|\mathbf{k}| \sim \sqrt{\epsilon}m$.

Growth rate $\Gamma \sim \sqrt{\epsilon}m$. Actually $\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}m$ due to *E*-screening.

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 20 of 32

Strong anisotropy

What happens when $\Omega(\mathbf{v})$ peaked in narrow angle range? $\Omega(\mathbf{v})$ small unless $|v_z| < \delta \ll 1$?



Narrow z-spacing of B's (large k_z) still allowed! Instability for $\mathbf{k} \sim (m, m, m/\delta)$, growth $\Gamma \sim m$.

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 21 of 32

What limits *B* field growth?

Color randomization!



B growing in all colors, many *k* at once. Large *B*: Wilson lines so $\neq 1$ that color rotation happens. Growth cut-off if color-coherence shorter than $1/m [1/(m\sqrt{\epsilon}) \text{ weak-aniso}]$

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 22 of 32

Proper gauge invariant version



Wilson loop must contain O(1) phase. Requires $B \sim km/g$. Weak aniso: $B \sim \epsilon m^2/g$. Strong aniso: $B \sim m^2/\delta$.

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 23 of 32

Determining \hat{q}

$$\begin{split} \hat{q} &= (\Delta p)^2/t \sim (gB\Delta t)^2/\Delta t \sim g^2 B^2 \Delta t. \\ \text{Weak aniso: } \hat{q} \sim (m\sqrt{\epsilon})^3 \sim \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} m^3. \\ \text{Strong aniso: } \hat{q} \sim (m/\delta)^2 m \sim m^3 \delta^{-2} \text{ OR } \sim (m/\delta)^2 (\delta m) \sim m^3 \delta^{-1}. \end{split}$$

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 24 of 32

What Instabilities Do

Plasma instabilities bend particle momenta, randomizing p distribution. Also induce (LPM suppr.) radiation.

Possibilities:

- Direction change dominated by ordinary scatt.
- Plasma instabilities primarily randomize directions
- Plasma instabilities induce merging (inv. radiation)
- Plasma instabilities cause radiation of daughters, which form a bath, go on to dominate physics

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 25 of 32

Occupancy-Anisotropy Plane



McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 26 of 32

Angle change

Angle change matters when $\Delta \theta > \delta$ (d > 0) or > 1 (d < 0) Can happen before or after plas. inst. finish growing:



McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 27 of 32

Radiated Daughters

Plas. instabilities raise rate of soft radiation.

Radiated daughters are born anisotropic.

Can have their own plasma instabilities! (¡Ay Caramba!)

Driven to isotropy by plas. instabilities, scattering, their own plas. instabilities.

Become important when they dominate scattering – typically by having their own plasma instabilities.

Merging is anisotropic and can also be important!

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 28 of 32

My complication had a complication

Physics sensitive to occupancy and anisotropy:

- More anisotropic: plasma instabilities more effective.
- Low occupancy: easier for daughters to become important.

When considering daughters, 3 scales which evolve with time:

- Scale $k_{\rm re-join}$ where daughters so numerous that re-merging onto hard modes occurs. Scales with time as $t^{2/5}$
- Scale k_{iso} where daughters' directions randomized: scales as $t^{1/2}$
- Scale k_{split} where daughters split again into lower-momentum "grand-daughters". Scales as t^1 .

30 pages, 349 $\sim\!\!$'s later....



merging dominated. 2,3: noneq. daughters. 5: daughters
 before instabilities saturate. 6: almost-thermal daughters.
 10(not shown): thermal daughters

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 30 of 32

How fast *can* things thermalize?

Minimum time estimate:

- Assume $\frac{1}{2}$ energy has gone into a nearly-thermal bath
- Ask how long it takes starting-particles, $p \sim Q$, to lose energy and join this bath. (LPM suppressed radiation?)

High occupancy: always find $t_{\rm eq} \sim \alpha^{-2}T^{-1}$. Low occupancy, isotropic: $\alpha^{-2}T^{-1}\sqrt{Q/T}$. Anisotropic: instab. hasten splitting, $t_{\rm eq} \sim \alpha^{-13} Q^{5}_{7} T_{\rm final}^{-12}$.

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 31 of 32

Conclusions and Questions

- Isotropic: physics of elastic and inelastic scattering
- Low occupancy: bath of daughters becomes dominant
- Anisotropic: plasma instabilities drive dynamics. Daughters cause own instabilities Wheels within Wheels

To do:

- Apply to some interesting problems One completed! arXiv:1108.4684
- What estimates can I make quantitative?
- Is "min. equilibration time" estimate always right?

McGill University, 20 Sept 2011: page 32 of 32