Resolution of the Proton Spin Crisis



Anthony W. Thomas

Quarks and Hadrons in Strong QCD St. Goar : March 20th 2008

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility

Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

Tefferson C







U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

NSAC: LRP Recommendations – Galveston May 2007

- We recommend the completion of the 12 GeV Upgrade at Jefferson Lab. The Upgrade will enable new insights into the structure of the nucleon, the transition between the hadronic and quark/gluon descriptions of nuclei, and the nature of confinement.
- We recommend the construction of the Facility for Rare Isotope Beams, FRIB, a world-leading facility for the study of nuclear structure, reactions and astrophysics. Experiments with the new isotopes produced at FRIB will lead to a comprehensive description of nuclei, elucidate the origin of the elements in the cosmos, provide an understanding of matter in the crust of neutron stars, and establish the scientific foundation for innovative applications of nuclear science to society.
- We recommend a targeted program of experiments to investigate neutrino properties and fundamental symmetries. These experiments aim to discover the nature of the neutrino, yet unseen violations of time-reversal symmetry, and other key ingredients of the new standard model of fundamental interactions. Construction of a Deep Underground Science and Engineering Laboratory is vital to US leadership in core aspects of this initiative.
- The experiments at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider have discovered a new state of matter at extreme temperature and density—a quark-gluon plasma that exhibits unexpected, almost perfect liquid dynamical behavior. We recommend implementation of the RHIC II luminosity upgrade, together with detector improvements, to determine the properties of this new state of matter. Office of Tellerson Pab -

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



12 GeV Upgrade: Status

- DOE SC Office of Project Assessment held Independent Project Review (IPR) on June 26-28, 2007 - evaluating project "baseline" cost, schedule, and technical performance
- Successfully concluded
- Phase II" of the CD-2 review process (OECM External Independent Review)
- Also successfully concluded
- CD-2 Approval: obtained Nov 9th 2007
- On track for CD-3 Approval (Construction Start) September 2008



Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility

Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

ellerson (

Highlights of the 12 GeV Program

 Revolutionize Our Knowledge of Spin and Flavor Dependence of Valence PDFs

• Extend Our Knowledge of Distribution of Charge and Current in the Nucleon to Shorter Distances

Totally New View of Hadron (and Nuclear) Structure: GPDs
 Determination of the quark angular momentum

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

ellerson (

Highlights of the 12 GeV Program....²

• Exploration of QCD in the Nonperturbative Regime:

> Existence and properties of exotic mesons

- New Paradigm for Nuclear Physics: Nuclear Structure in Terms of QCD
 - > Spin and flavor dependent EMC Effect
 - > Study quark propagation through nuclear matter
 - Precision Tests of the Standard Model
 - Parity Violating DIS & Möller

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

efferson (

World Community in 2014 and Beyond

- With 12 GeV Upgrade will have three major new facilities investigating nuclear physics <u>at quark level</u> (QCD) : FAIR (GSI, Germany), J-PARC (Japan) and JLab^{*}
- Complementary programs

 (e.g. charmed vs light-quark exotics, hadrons in - medium....etc.)



Wonderful opportunities to build international
 GREEOLIGIM community and take our field to a new level



Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

Outline

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility

- A reminder: the proton spin crisis
- Progress over the last 20 years
- The resolution of the problem
 - one-gluon-exchange
 - the pion cloud
 - input from lattice QCD
- Lattice QCD
- GPDs at JLab
 - at 12 GeV
 - recent results





Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

Spin Structure Function g₁(x)



N.B. At Q² sufficiently high (>2 GeV²) the dependence on Q² is logarithmic and described by perturbative QCD (scaling)

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

The EMC "Spin Crisis"

Up to standard pQCD coefficients (series in $\alpha_s(Q^2)$):



What do we expect ?

Most quark models start with 3 quarks in the 1s-state of a confining potential: proton spin is ALL carried by its quarks $\Rightarrow \Sigma = 100\%$

N.B. Given low values of $m_{u,d}$ the quark motion is relativistic and lower Dirac components have spin down $\Rightarrow \Sigma \sim 65\%$

d

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERG

Ancient History of the Spin Crisis

- EMC Spin Paper:
- Brodsky et al. Skyrme: 22 Feb 88 19 May 88
- Schreiber-Thomas CBM: 17 May 88 8 Dec 88
- Myhrer-Thomas OGE: 13 June 88 1 Sept 88

22 Dec 87 - 19 May 88

(neither paper could explain reduction to only 14%!)

Efremov-Teryaev Anomaly: 25 May 88

Altarelli-Ross Anomaly: 29 June 88 - 29 Sept 88



Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

Telferson Pal

19 May 1988



Aachen, CERN, Freiburg, Heidelberg, Lancaster, LAPP (Annecy), Liverpool, Marseille, Mons, Oxford, Rutherford, Sheffield, Turin, Uppsala, Warsaw, Wuppertal, Yale

J. ASHMAN ^a, B. BADELEK ^{b,1}, G. BAUM ^{c,2}, J. BEAUFAYS ^d, C.P. BEE ^c, C BENCHOUK ^f,

(93 authors)

The spin asymmetry in deep inelastic scattering of longitudinally polarised muons by longitudinally polarised protons has been measured over a large x range (0.01 < x < 0.7). The spin-dependent structure function $g_1(x)$ for the proton has been determined and its integral over x found to be $0.114 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.026$, in disagreement with the Ellis-Jaffe sum rule. Assuming the validity of the Bjorken sum rule, this result implies a significant negative value for the integral of g_1 for the neutron. These values for the integrals of g_1 lead to the conclusion that the total quark spin constitutes a rather small fraction of the spin of the nucleon.

Σ = 14 ± 3 ± 10 % : i.e. 86% of spin of p NOT carried by its quarks

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility Page 13



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

Ancient History of the Spin Crisis

- EMC Spin Paper:
- Brodsky et al. Skyrme:
- Schreiber-Thomas CBM:
- 13 June 88 1 Sept 88 • Myhrer-Thomas OGE: (neither paper could explain reduction to only 14%!)
- Efremov-Teryaev Anomaly: 25 May 88
- Altarelli-Ross Anomaly: 29 June 88 29 Sept 88

22 Dec 87 - 19 May 88

22 Feb 88 - 19 May 88

17 May 88 - 8 Dec 88



Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

Tefferson Pab

Ancient History of the Spin Crisis

- EMC Spin Paper:
- Brodsky et al. Skyrme: 22 Feb 88 19 May 88
- Schreiber-Thomas CBM: 17 May 88 8 Dec 88
- Myhrer-Thomas OGE: 13 June 88 1 Sept 88 (neither paper could explain reduction to only 14%!)
- Efremov-Teryaev Anomaly: 25 May 88
- Altarelli-Ross Anomaly:

29 June 88 - 29 Sept 88

22 Dec 87 - 19 May 88



Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of

Tefferson Sab



E2-88-287

A.V.Efremov, O.V.Teryaev*

SPIN STRUCTURE OF THE NUCLEON AND TRIANGLE ANOMALY



Submitted to "Nuclear Physics"

25 May 1988



Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility

Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

Jefferson Pab

THE ANOMALOUS GLUON CONTRIBUTION TO POLARIZED LEPTOPRODUCTION

G. ALTARELLI and G.G. ROSS 1

CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

Received 29 June 1988

We show that, due to the anomaly, the gluon contribution to the first moment of the polarized proton structure function, as measured in deep inelastic scattering, is not suppressed by a power of the strong coupling evaluated at a large scale. As a result, the EMC result for the first moment of polarized proton electroproduction is consistent with a large quark spin component.

$$\Sigma_{\text{na\"ive}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\text{na\"ive}} - N_{\text{f}} \frac{\alpha_{\text{s}} (\mathbf{Q}^2)}{2 \pi} \Delta \mathbf{G} (\mathbf{Q}^2)$$

and



Fig. 1. Diagrams contributing to a finite mixing of order α_s between g_1^p and the polarized gluon parton density.

QCD evolution $\Rightarrow \alpha_s(Q^2) \Delta G(Q^2)$ does not vanish as $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$

and polarized gluons would resolve crisis

HOW MUCH?



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

Scale of the Gluon Contribution

At 3 GeV 2 $\alpha_{s} \sim$ 0.3

and $N_f = 3$, so IF all of the

N spin carried by quarks is

cancelled by gluons:

$$\Delta \mathbf{G} = + \frac{2 * \pi * 1}{3 * 0.3} \sim + 6$$

...actually $\Delta \mathbf{G} \sim + 4$ better

- a truly remarkable result





for which no physical explanation was ever offered

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

This spurred a tremendous experimental effort

- DIS measurements of spin structure functions of polarized p, d, ³He (and ⁶Li) at SLAC, CERN, Hermes, JLab
- Direct search for high-p_T hadrons at Hermes, COMPASS, RHIC to directly search for effects of polarized glue in the p

omas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility

 This effort has lasted the past 20 years, with great success



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

ollerson

Asymmetry for $Q^2 < 1 \ (GeV/c)^2$



Kabuβ – Pacific Spin 07

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

Jefferson C

Comparison with other experiments



Office of

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY



- very good agreement with SMC (the only other experiment at low x)
- factor 10–20 improvement of statistical errors compared to SMC

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Jefferson C

Effect of Photon-Gluon Fusion – with axial anomaly



Bass and Thomas, J. Phys. G19 (1993) 925

COMPASS: at $x \sim 3 \times 10^{-3}$: $|x g_1^d| < 0.001$ and hence $|g_1^d| < 0.3$, c.f. >1.0 with $\Delta G = 4$ and data at lower x makes it much worse

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

Gluon polarisation



- bands correspond to statistical errors
- uncertainty due to parameterization not included

Kabuβ - Pacific-SPIN07

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



OMPA

Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

Jefferson Jab

Hermes – N. Bianchi Pacific-SPIN07

 $\Delta G/G$ has been extracted by HERMES using two different methods

Method I $\Delta G/G(x,\mu^2) = 0.078 \pm 0.034(\text{stat}) \pm 0.011(\text{sys-exp})_{-0.082}^{+0.125} \text{ (sys-model)}$ Method II $\Delta G/G(x,\mu^2) = 0.071 \pm 0.034(\text{stat}) \pm 0.010(\text{sys-exp})_{-0.105}^{-0.127} \text{ (sys-model)}$

Syst. model uncertainties still dominating (PDFs, PYTHIA model)

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility

△G/G is likely small and unlikely to solve the puzzle of the nucleon missing spin



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

Tellerson Pab

Latest STAR result - Sarsour DNP Oct 07





- NLO pQCD describes inclusive jet cross section at RHIC
 - Within GRSV framework, 2005 results constrain ∆G to less than 65% of the proton spin with 90% confidence
- Significant increase in precision in Run 2006 data provides even stronger constraints on gluon polarization



Latest PHENIX Result: From A_{LL} to ΔG



Impact of CLAS Precision Data on Parton Distribution Functions

CLAS precision data more than doubled the data points in the DIS region from 30 years of high energy polarized structure function measurements.



At moderate x = 0.4, the relative uncertainty of $x\Delta G$ is reduced by a factor 3 and of Δs - Δs by a factor 2.



at $Q^2 = 1 GeV^2$

Office

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

E. Leader, A. Sidorov, D. Stamenov, Phys.Rev.D75:074027,2007.

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility Page 27

Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

First moment of g_1



$$\begin{split} \Gamma_1^{\rm N} & (Q^2 = 3(\text{GeV/c})^2) = \int_0^1 g_1^{\rm N} \mathrm{d}x \\ = 0.0502 \pm 0.0028(\text{stat}) \pm 0.0020(\text{evol.}) \pm 0.0051(\text{syst.}) \end{split}$$

- data for 0.004 < x < 0.7, QCD fit used for extrapolation
- contribution of unmeasured region about 3 %

• using:
$$\Gamma_1^{N} = \frac{1}{9} (1 - \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{\pi} + O(\alpha + s^2))(a_0(Q^2) + \frac{1}{4}a_8)$$

 $a_0(Q^2 = 3({\rm GeV/c})^2) = 0.35 \pm 0.03({\rm stat}) \pm 0.05({\rm syst})$

• extrapolating towards $Q^2
ightarrow \infty$:

 $\hat{a}_0 = 0.33 \pm 0.03 ({\rm stat}) \pm 0.05 ({\rm syst}) = -\Sigma$

i.e. Now more like 1/3rd of proton spin carried by quarks

Kaβus – Pacific-SPIN07



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

Tefferson Pal



Where is the Spin of the proton?

• Modern data yields: $\Sigma = 0.33 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.05$



(c.f. 0.14 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.10 originally)

- In addition, there is little or no polarized glue
 - COMPASS: $g_{1}^{D} = 0$ to $x = 10^{-4}$
 - A_{LL} (π^0 and jets) at PHENIX & STAR $\rightarrow \Delta G \sim 0$
 - Hermes, COMPASS and JLab: △G / G small
- Hence: <u>axial anomaly plays little or no role in</u> <u>explaining the spin crisis</u>
- Return to alternate explanation lost in 1988 in rush to explore the anomaly





Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

Ancient History of the Spin Crisis

- EMC Spin Paper:
- Brodsky et al. Skyrme:
- Schreiber-Thomas CBM:
- Myhrer-Thomas OGE:

22 Dec 87 - 19 May 88 22 Feb 88 - 19 May 88

- 17 May 88 8 Dec 88
- 13 June 88 1 Sept 88

(neither paper could explain reduction to only 14%!)

Efremov-Teryaev Anomaly: 25 May 88

Altarelli-Ross Anomaly: 29 June 88 - 29 Sept 88



Tefferson Lab



Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



One-Gluon-Exchange Correction

PHYSICAL REVIEW D

VOLUME 38, NUMBER 5

1 SEPTEMBER 1988

Rapid Communications

The Rapid Communications section is intended for the accelerated publication of important new results. Since manuscripts submitted to this section are given priority treatment both in the editorial office and in production, authors should explain in their submittal letter why the work justifies this special handling. A Rapid Communication should be no longer than 3½ printed pages and must be accompanied by an abstract. Page proofs are sent to authors, but, because of the accelerated schedule, publication is not delayed for receipt of corrections unless requested by the author or noted by the editor.

Spin structure functions and gluon exchange

F. Myhrer

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina 29208

A. W. Thomas

Department of Physics and Mathematical Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia 5000, Australia and Department of Theoretical Physics, Oxford University, Oxford OX1 3NP, Oxfordshire, England* (Received 13 June 1988)

Two-quark correlations due to gluon exchange give corrections to both the proton and neutron spin-dependent structure functions in the Bjorken sum rule. They are found to be as large as the pionic corrections in the cloudy bag model of the nucleon. While still not enough to explain the result published recently by the European Muon Collaboration, it is compatible with the reanalysis of the data by Close and Roberts.

> 32 Office of Science

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility

Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of

SU(6) violations due to one-gluon exchange

H. Høgaasen

Fysisk Institutt, University of Oslo, Blindern, 0316 Oslo 3, Norway

F. Myhrer

Department of Physics, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina 29208 (Received 26 October 1987)

The one-gluon-exchange corrections to the baryon magnetic moments and the weak semileptonic decays are shown to have the correct two-body operator in order to explain recent data. An explicit model calculation using a mode sum for the quark propagator is then performed. In this model calculation the two lowest states dominate the corrections. This value of SU(6) breaking explains the measured ratio $\Sigma^- \rightarrow ne \bar{\nu}/\Lambda \rightarrow pe \bar{\nu}$ as well as why $\mu_{\Xi^-} < \mu_{\Lambda}$ and it restores $\mu_p/\mu_n \simeq -\frac{3}{2}$ in chiral bag models.





Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility Page 33



OGE Correction for Hyperon β-decay

- All correction terms proportional to G = α_s times bag matrix elements
- Very nicely accounts for deviations from SU(3) symmetry

Table 1. The ratio g_A/g_V in the SU(3) limit from a model calculations compared to experiments. The experimental numbers are from the Particle Data Group [32]

SU(3)

F + D

F - D

F + D/3

F - D/3

omas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility

amplitudes

Experiments

 0.36 ± 0.05

 0.25 ± 0.05

 0.696 ± 0.025

Phys. C48 (1990) 2

1.259

F = 0.45 (fixed) D = 0.81 D = 0.74 D = 0.60

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Without OGE correction MIT bag gives F = 2B'/3, D = B'/3.

Theory:

MIT bag + CMI

+G = 1.25

-G = 0.19

2G = -0.34

= 0.72

Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of



One-Gluon-Exchange Correction

Has the effect of further reducing the fraction of spin carried by the quarks in the bag model (naively 0.65) because of lower Dirac component of wave function (/// result in any relativistic model
e.g. recent work of Cloet et al., hep-ph/0708.3246, 0.67 in confining NJL model)

•
$$\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma - 3G$$
 ; with G ~ 0.05
 $\Sigma \rightarrow 0.65 - 0.15 = 0.5$

• Effect is to transfer quark spin to quark (relativity) and anti-quark (OGE) orbital angular momentum





ക

Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of

ellerson (

The Pion Cloud of the Nucleon

Volume 215, number 1

PHYSICS LETTERS B

8 December 1988

SPIN DEPENDENT STRUCTURE FUNCTIONS IN THE CLOUDY BAG MODEL

A.W. SCHREIBER AND A.W. THOMAS

Department of Physics and Mathematical Physics, University of Adelaide, North Terrace, Adelaide, South Australia 5000, Australia

Received 17 May 1988

Tellerson C

We derive expressions for the integrals of the spin dependent structure functions $g_1(x)$ for the proton and the neutron in the context of the cloudy bag model. We find that the neutron contributes 5–10% to the Bjorken sum rule, while there is a corresponding decrease for the proton's contribution. It is difficult to reconcile these results with those reported in a recent experiment.



Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility

Effect of the Pion Cloud

- Probability to find a bare N is Z ~ 70%
- Biggest Fock Component is N $\pi \sim$ 20-25% and 2/3 of time N spin points down



2 Ρ_{Ν π}

- Next biggest is $\Delta \pi \sim$ 5-10%
- To this order (i.e. including terms which yield LNA and NLNA contributions):
- Spin gets renormalized by a factor : Z - 1/3 P_{N π} + 15/9 P_{$\Delta \pi$} ~ 0.75 - 0.8 $\Rightarrow \Sigma = 0.65 \rightarrow 0.49 - 0.52$



7



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of

ollerson

Support for Pion Cloud Picture

• Most spectacular example is the prediction^{*} of d > u, because of the pion cloud (p \rightarrow n π^+)

$$\int_0^1 dx \left[\frac{d}{d} - \frac{u}{u} \right] = 2 P_{N \pi} / 3 - P_{\Delta \pi} / 3$$

\equiv 0.11 - 0.15

(in excellent agreement with latest data)

Thomas, Phys. Lett. B126 (1983) 97

Charge distribution of the neutron



 Natural understanding of quark mass dependence of data from lattice QCD (later)

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

lefferson Pab

Can one add OGE and Pion Corrections?

- Prime phenomenological need for OGE interaction is the hyperfine splitting of N and Δ masses, Λ and Σ masses, etc. – i.e. hadron spectroscopy
- In early days of chiral models believed some of this hyperfine splitting came from pion self-energy differences
- Maybe double counting to include correction to Σ from both pions and OGE??
- Modern understanding *NO*: from analysis of data in quenched (QQCD) and full QCD, from Lattice QCD implies 50 MeV (or less) of $m_{\Delta} m_N$ in this way

Young et al., Phys. Rev. D66 (2002) 094507

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

Nucleon - Δ **Splitting**



and... one can add the pion and OGE corrections to the spin sum-rule





Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

•Lattice data (from MILC Collaboration) : red triangles •Green boxes: fit evaluating σ 's on same finite grid as lattice •Lines are exact, continuum results



Final Result for Quark Spin

 $\Sigma = (Z - P_N \pi/3 + 5 P_{\Lambda \pi}/3) \times (0.65 - 3 G)$ $= (0.7, 0.8) \times (0.65 - 0.15) = (0.35, 0.40)$ c.f. Experiment: $0.33 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.05$ ALL effects, relativity and OGE and the pion cloud have the effect of swapping quark spin for valence orbital angular momentum and anti-quark orbital angular momentum (>60% of the spin of the proton)

Myhrer & Thomas, hep-ph/0709.4067

Jefferson National Acce

Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of

Telferson Pab

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

The Balance Sheet – fraction of total spin

	L _{u+ubar}	L _{d+dbar}	Σ
Non-relativistic			1.0
Relativity (e.g. Bag)	0.46	-0.11	0.65
Plus OGE (-0.15)	0.67	-0.16	0.49
Plus pion (× 0.8)	0.64	-0.03	0.39

At model scale: $L_u + S_u = 0.32 + 0.42 = 0.74 = J_u$: $L_d + S_d = -0.02 - 0.22 = -0.24 = J_d$

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

LHPC Lattice Study

- At first glance shocking : L^u \sim 0.1 and L^d \sim + 0.1 (c.f. + 0.32 and - 0.02 in our "resolution")
- N.B. Disconnected terms missing \rightarrow no anomaly, sea wrong



Figure 16: Nucleon spin decomposition by flavor. Squares denote $\Delta \Sigma^{u}/2$, diamonds denote $\Delta \Sigma^{d}/2$, triangles denote L^{u} , and circles denote L^{d} . LHPC: hep-lat/0610007

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY



The Balance Sheet – fraction of total spin

	L _{u+ubar}	L _{d+dbar}	Σ
Non-relativistic			1.0
Relativity	0.46	- 0.11	0.65
Plus OGE	0.67	- 0.16	0.49
Plus pion	0.64	- 0.03	0.39

At model scale: $L_u + S_u = 0.32 + 0.42 = 0.74 = J_u$: $L_d + S_d = -0.02 - 0.22 = -0.24 = J_d$

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy







Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

(efferson C

Indeed L_z is not scale invariant – what scale?

- Known since mid-70s (Le Yaouanc et al., Parisi, etc.) that connection between quark models and QCD must be at low-Q²
- This is because momentum fraction carried by quarks is monotonically decreasing with Q² ↑ and in models quarks carry nearly all the momentum (used by Glück-Reya to model HERA data to very low x μ² = 0.23 GeV² at LO Phys Lett 359, 205 (1995))
 - e.g. Schreiber et al., PR D42, 2226 (1990) : μ = 0.5 GeV
 - (N.B. Using LO rather than NLO QCD changes μ not the results at 5-10 GeV²)







FIG. 1. $xu_v(x,Q^2) + xd_v(x,Q^2)$ at the model scale $Q^2 = \mu^2$ and at $Q^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^2$ (solid lines). The dashed and dotted lines correspond to the Duke-Owens and Martin-Roberts-Stirling parametrizations of $xu_v(x,Q^2=10 \text{ GeV}^2) + xd_v(x,Q^2=10 \text{ GeV}^2)$,

More Modern (Confining) NJL Calculations





Non-singlet Equations for Individual Flavors

$$L^{u-d}(t) + \frac{\Delta u - \Delta d}{2} = \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^{-\frac{32}{9\beta_0}} \left(L^{u-d}(t_0) + \frac{\Delta u - \Delta d}{2}\right)$$

Also solve for non-singlet: $L^{u+d} - 2L^s$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad L^{u(d)} = -\frac{\Delta u}{2} \left(-\frac{\Delta d}{2} \right) + 0.06 + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{t}{t_0} \right)^{-\frac{50}{81}} \left[L^{u+d}(t_0) + \frac{\Sigma}{2} - 0.18 \right] + \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{t}{t_0} \right)^{-\frac{32}{81}} \left[L^{u+d}(t_0) \pm 3L^{u-d}(t_0) \pm g_A^{(3)} + \frac{\Sigma}{2} \right]$$

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility

Page 50 Office of Science U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

Solution of the Evolution Equations

L^u and L^d both small and cross-over rapidly: AWT hep-ph/0803.2775



Effect of Polarized Glue – or Gluon Angular Momentum

N.B. Evolution for quarks does not distinguish ΔG from L^g



GPDs & Deeply Virtual Exclusive Processes - New Insight into Nucleon Structure





At large Q^2 : QCD factorization theorem \rightarrow hard exclusive process can be described by 4 transitions (Generalized Parton Distributions) :

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility

Axial-Vector : $\tilde{H}(x, \xi, t)$

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Pseudoscalar : E (x_E

Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

Jefferson Pab

Vector : $H(x, \xi, t)$

Tensor : $E(x, \xi, t)$

Deeply Virtual Exclusive Processes -Kinematics Coverage of the 12 GeV Upgrade



At 12 GeV: e.g. Exclusive ρ⁰ with transverse target expect to determine quark orbital angular momentum

 $A_{\rm UT} = - \frac{2\Delta \,({\rm Im}(AB^*))/\pi}{|A|^2(1-\xi^2) - |B|^2(\xi^2 + t/4m^2) - {\rm Re}(AB^*)2\xi^2}$



 $\rho^{0} A \sim (2H^{u} + H^{d})$ $B \sim (2E^{u} + E^{d})$

 $Q^2 = 5 GeV^2$

Asymmetry depends linearly on the GPD E, which enters Ji's sum rule.

K. Goeke, M.V. Polyakov, M. Vanderhaeghen, 2001

Experimental Constraints: Already at 6 GeV

Within model of Vanderhaeghen and collaborators.... model dependence?



Summary

- Two decades of experiments have given us important new insight into spin structure of the p
- U(1) axial anomaly appears to play little role in resolving the problem
 - not as severe as in original EMC paper
- Instead, important details of the non-perturbative structure of the nucleon DO resolve the "crisis"
 - OGE hyperfine interaction
 - chiral symmetry: pion cloud
 - relativistic motion of quarks

Ingredients of a minimal description of proton structure



Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility

Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

Cellerson (

Summary

- Important consequence for quark model: significant orbital angular momentum carried by valence quarks and anti-quarks in the proton
- Effect of QCD Evolution is to:
 - flip ordering of L^u and L^d
 - severely reduce the magnitude of orbital angular momentum

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility

- restore agreement between data, LQCD and Myhrer-Thomas explanation of the spin crisis
- Study of GPDs at JLab provide the primary tool to verify this (maybe transversity too?)



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

ellerson (

Thanks to the Organizers & Farewell !





Confidence in Pion Self-Energies

- Recall: this is required for combining OGE
 - and pion exchange corrections to spin problem
- Study the quark mass dependence of N and Δ
 - masses in both QQCD and full QCD –
 - in same lattice approach (same systematic errors),
 - both CP-PACS and MILC data



Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility

Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

Analysis of N and Δ Masses in QQCD

η^\prime is an additional Goldstone Boson , so that:



Extrapolation of N Mass in QQCD

Coefficients of non-analytic terms again model independent

(Given by: Labrenz & Sharpe, Phys. Rev., D64 (1996) 4595)



Analysis of Δ Mass in QQCD



Confirmation of Predicted Behavior of Δ



Zanotti et al., hep-lat/0407039 Lect. Notes Phys. 663 (2005) 199

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

efferson C

χ'al Extrapolation Under Control when Coefficients Known – e.g. for the nucleon



	Bare Coefficients				Renormalized Coefficients			
Regulator	a_0^{Λ}	a_2^{Λ}	a_4^{Λ}	Λ	c_0	c_2	c_4	m_N
Monopole	1.74	1.64	-0.49	0.5	0.923(65)	2.45(33)	20.5(15)	0.960(58)
Dipole	1.30	1.54	-0.49	0.8	0.922(65)	2.49(33)	18.9(15)	0.959(58)
Gaussian	1.17	1.48	-0.50	0.6	0.923(65)	2.48(33)	18.3(15)	0.960(58)
Sharp cutoff	1.06	1.47	-0.55	0.4	0.923(65)	2.61(33)	15.3(8)	0.961(58)
Dim. Reg. (BP)	0.79	4.15	+8.92	_	0.875(56)	3.14(25)	7.2(8)	0.923(51)

Leinweber et al., PRL 92 (2004) 242002 Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Operated by Jefferson Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

