The role of continuum on shell-structure in neutron-rich nuclei

**Quantum computation of an atomic nucleus** arXiv:1801.03897

Gaute Hagen Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Multiparticle resonances in hadrons, nuclei, and ultracold gases

Hirschegg, January 15<sup>th</sup>, 2018





## **Trend in realistic ab-initio calculations**

**Explosion of many-body methods** (Coupled clusters, Green's function Monte Carlo, In-Medium SRG, Lattice EFT, MCSM, No-Core Shell Model, Self-Consistent Green's Function, UMOA, ...)

**Application of ideas from EFT and renormalization group** (V<sub>low-k</sub>, Similarity Renormalization Group, ...)



# Reach of ab-initio computations of nuclei



## Physics of nuclei at the edges of stability

- Three and four neutron resonances do they exist? (see talks by J. Lynn's and S. Shimoura's)
- At and beyond the neutron dripline, and the role of tetra neutron correlations in 8-He and 28-O (see talk by T. Aumann's)
- Shell structure towards the dripline, halo and Borromean structures



#### Physics of nuclei at the edges of stability





The Berggren completeness treats bound, resonant and scattering states on equal footing.

Has been successfully applied in the shell model in the complex energy plane to light nuclei. For a review see

N. Michel et al J. Phys. G 36, 013101 (2009).

#### **Nuclear forces from chiral effective field theory**



[Weinberg; van Kolck; Epelbaum et al.; Entem & Machleidt; ...]

- Developing higher orders and higher rank (3NF, 4NF) [Epelbaum 2006; Bernard et al 2007; Krebs et al 2012; Hebeler et al 2015; ...]
- Propagation of uncertainties on the horizon [Navarro Perez 2014, Carlsson et al 2015]
- Different optimization protocols [Ekström et al 2013, Carlsson et al 2016]
- Improved understanding/handling via SRG [Bogner et al 2003; Bogner et al 2007]
- local / semi-local / non-local formulations
   [Epelbaum et al 2015, Gezerlis et al 2013/2014]
- Chiral EFT with explicit deltas are being developed and explored (Epelbaum 2008, Piarulli 2014, Ekström 2017)

#### Two remarkable interactions from chiral EFT: NNLO<sub>sat</sub> & 1.8/2.0 (EM)



NNLO<sub>sat</sub>: Accurate radii and BEs

- Simultaneous optimization of NN and 3NFs
- Include charge radii and binding energies of <sup>3</sup>H, <sup>3,4</sup>He, <sup>14</sup>C, <sup>16</sup>O in the optimization
- Harder interaction: difficult to converge beyond <sup>56</sup>Ni

A. Ekström et al, Phys. Rev. C 91, 051301(R) (2015).

1.8/2.0(EM): Accurate BEs Soft interaction: SRG NN from Entem & Machleidt with 3NF from chiral EFT

K. Hebeler *et al* PRC (2011).
T. Morris *et al*, arXiv:1709.02786 (2017).

#### **Oxgyen chain with interactions from chiral EFT**



Hebeler, Holt, Menendez, Schwenk, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 65, 457 (2015)









#### **Evolution of shell structure in neutron rich calcium**



- How do shell closures and magic numbers evolve towards the dripline?
- What are the mechanisms for new shell



## **Role of continuum on unbound states in neutron rich calcium**



# Role of continuum on unbound states in neutron rich calcium



# Role of continuum on unbound states in neutron rich calcium



## **Role of continuum on unbound states in neutron rich calcium**



### Structure of <sup>78</sup>Ni from first principles



A high 2<sup>+</sup> energy in <sup>78</sup>Ni indicates that this nucleus is doubly magic

Consistent with recent shell-model studies F. Nowacki *et al.,* PRL 117, 272501 (2016)

A measurement of this state has been made at RIBF, R. Taniuchi *et al.*, in preparation

- From an observed correlation we predict the 2<sup>+</sup> excited state in <sup>78</sup>Ni using the experimental data for the 2<sup>+</sup> state in <sup>48</sup>Ca
- Similar correlations have been observed in other nuclei, e.g. Tjon line in light nuclei

G. Hagen, G. R. Jansen, and T. Papenbrock Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 172501 (2016)



#### **Excited states in <sup>78</sup>Ni and its neighbors**



#### Excited states in <sup>78</sup>Ni and its neighbors



#### Excited states in <sup>78</sup>Ni and its neighbors



## <sup>100</sup>Sn – a nucleus of superlatives



Nucleus produced with known half-life



Nucleus with known excited states

Stable nucleus

- Heaviest self-conjugate doubly magic nucleus
- Largest known strength in allowed nuclear βdecay
- In the closest proximity to the proton dripline



#### **Structure of the ligthest tin isotopes**



T. Morris *et al*, arXiv:1709.02786 (2017).

#### Super allowed Gamow-Teller decay of <sup>100</sup>Sn



## **Quantum computing**

- 1. The quantum many-body problem is one the key challenges in physics
- Exponential growth of Hilbert space in wave function based methods and sign problem in Monte-Carlo methods.
- 3. Quantum computers promise to reduce computational complexity from exponential to polynomial cost
- A quantum computer with about 100 error corrected qubits could potentially revolutionize nuclear shell-model calculations

## **Quantum computing**

There is a lot of excitement in this field due to substantial progress

- 1. Quantum processing units now have ten(s) of qubits
- 2. Businesses are driving this: Google, IBM, Microsoft, Rigetti, D-Wave, ...
- 3. Software is publicly available (PyQuil, XACC, OpenQASM, OpenFermion)
- First real-world problems solved on 2 to 6 qubits [O'Malley et al. Phys. Rev. X 6, 031007 (2016); Kalandar et al., Nature 549, 242(246 (2017)]

The scientific works were collaborations between theorists and hardware specialists (owners/operators of quantum chips)

**Now:** Cloud access possible; no insider knowledge required! [Dumitrescu, McCaskey, Hagen, Jansen, Morris, Papenbrock, Pooser, Dean, Lougovski, arXiv:1801.03897]

## Rigetti 19Q



Otterbach et al, arXiv:1712.05771

## IBM QX5 (16 qubits)







 $\rightarrow$  IBM Q Experience

## **Current limitations/challenges**

- Faced with limited connectivity between qubits on a quantum chip
- Limited to low depth (the number of sequential gates) of quantum circuits due to decoherence
- Limited number of measurements via the cloud
- Intermittent cloud access in a scheduled environment must be taken into account

### **Game plan**

1. Hamiltonian from pionless EFT at leading order; fit to deuteron binding energy; constructed in harmonic-oscillator basis; [à la Binder et al. (2016)]

$$H_N = \sum_{n,n'=0}^{N-1} \langle n' | (T+V) | n \rangle a_{n'}^{\dagger} a_n \qquad \langle n' | V | n \rangle = V_0 \delta_n^0 \delta_n^{n'}$$
$$V_0 = -5.68658111 \text{ MeV}$$

2. Map single-particle states |n> onto qubits; (Analog of Jordan-Wigner transform)

$$a_p^{\dagger} \leftrightarrow \sigma_-^{(p)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( X_p - iY_p \right)$$
  
 $a_p \leftrightarrow \sigma_+^{(p)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( X_p + iY_p \right)$ 

3. Solve H<sub>1</sub>, H<sub>2</sub> (and H<sub>3</sub>), and extrapolate to infinite space [Furnstahl, More, Papenbrock (2014)]

$$\begin{split} E_N &= -\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} \left( 1 - 2\frac{\gamma^2}{k} e^{-2kL} - 4\frac{\gamma^4 L}{k} e^{-4kL} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\hbar^2 k \gamma^2}{m} \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{k} - \frac{\gamma^4}{4k^2} + 2w_2 k \gamma^4 \right) e^{-4kL} \end{split}$$

## **Variational wave function**

Generate unitary transformation for two and three qubit case

$$U(\theta) \equiv e^{\theta \left(a_0^{\dagger} a_1 - a_1^{\dagger} a_0\right)} = e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}(X_0 Y_1 - X_1 Y_0)}$$
$$U(\eta, \theta) \equiv e^{\eta \left(a_0^{\dagger} a_1 - a_1^{\dagger} a_0\right) + \theta \left(a_0^{\dagger} a_2 - a_2^{\dagger} a_0\right)}$$

Minimize number of two-qubit CNOT (controlled not) operations to minimize noise ("low-depth circuit")



#### Hamiltonian on two qubits

 $H_2 = 5.906709I + 0.218291Z_0 - 6.125Z_1 - 2.143304(X_0X_1 + Y_0Y_1)$ 



To manage noise we performed 8,192 (10,000) measurements on QX5 (19Q)

### **Final results**

Three qubits have more noise. Insert r pairs of CNOT (unity operators) to extrapolate to r=0



E from exact diagonalization				
N	$E_N$	$\mathcal{O}(e^{-2kL})$	$\mathcal{O}(kLe^{-4kL})$	$\mathcal{O}(e^{-4kL})$
2	-1.749	-2.39	-2.19	
3	-2.046	-2.33	-2.20	-2.21
E from quantum computing				
N	$E_N$	$\mathcal{O}(e^{-2kL})$	$\mathcal{O}(kLe^{-4kL})$	$\mathcal{O}(e^{-4kL})$
2	-1.74(3)	-2.38(4)	-2.18(3)	
3	-2.08(3)	-2.35(2)	-2.21(3)	-2.28(3)

Final results of deuteron energies from a qunatum computer cpmpared to exact results,  $E_{\infty}$ =-2.22 MeV

## Summary

- Continuum impact the neutron dripline and the evolution of shell structure in neutron rich nuclei.
- Structure and decay of <sup>100</sup>Sn from first principles
- First step towards scalable nuclear structure calculations on a quantum processors accessed via the cloud
- Cloud quantum computation of atomic nuclei now possible

## Collaborators

@ ORNL / UTK: D. J. Dean, G. R. Jansen, E.
Dimistrescu, P. Lougovski, A. J. McCaskey, T.
Morris, T. Papenbrock, R. C. Pooser

@ TU Darmstadt: **C. Stumpf**, R. Roth, A. Schwenk, **J. Simonis** 

- @ MSU/ U Oslo: M. Hjorth-Jensen
- @ TRIUMF: P. Gysbers, J. Holt, P. Navratil
- @ Reed College: S. R. Stroberg
- @ LLNL: K. Wendt, Sofia Quaglioni