



What must they look like?

226 PZ

$\Sigma$  is a matrix depending on  $p^\mu$  alone.

$$\Sigma(p) = \Sigma_\nu \not{p}^\nu - \Sigma_m \mathbb{1} \quad \Sigma_\nu, \Sigma_m \text{ funcs of } p^2 \text{ only}$$

Why? only 16 4x4 matrices:  $\{\mathbb{1}, \gamma^\mu, [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu], \gamma^\mu \gamma^0, \gamma^5\}$

So what else is there?

$$p^\mu p^\nu [\Sigma_\mu, \Sigma_\nu] = 0 \text{ parity odd}$$

$$\not{p}^{\mu\nu} [\Sigma_\mu, \Sigma_\nu] = 0$$

Interpretation:

$$\frac{1}{\not{p}(1-\Sigma_\nu) - (m - \Sigma_m)}$$

Claim:  $\Sigma_m = m \Sigma'_m$   
linear in  $m$ .

where is this zero? eg,  $(1-\Sigma_\nu)\not{p} - (1-\Sigma'_m)m \psi = 0$

$$[(1-\Sigma_\nu)\not{p} + (1-\Sigma'_m)m][1-\Sigma_\nu)\not{p} - (1-\Sigma'_m)m] = 0$$

$$(1-\Sigma_\nu)^2 p^2 = (1-\Sigma'_m)^2 m^2$$

$$p^2 = \underbrace{\left(\frac{1-\Sigma'_m}{1-\Sigma_\nu}\right)^2}_{m_{\text{phys}}^2} m^2$$

$m_{\text{phys}}^2$  physical mass

Does  $\not{\psi}|0\rangle$  give particle with normalization  $u(p)$ ? Not any more.

$$\frac{\not{p}(1-\Sigma_\nu) + (m)(1-\Sigma'_m)}{(p^2 - m_{\text{phys}}^2)(1-\Sigma_\nu)^2} = \frac{(1-\Sigma_\nu)^{-1} \not{p} + M_{\text{phys}}}{p^2 - m_{\text{phys}}^2}$$

Invert  $(1-\Sigma_\nu)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  the ferm lines x usual Amp GF.

produces part w. norm.  $u(1-\Sigma_\nu)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ .

and  $\Gamma^\mu = \Gamma^\mu(p, p')$  is

- 1) matrix
- 2) 4-vector index
- 3) dep. on 2 4-momenta  $p, p'$  or  $p, q$  (equiv.)

Consider  $\bar{u}(p') \Gamma^\mu u(p)$

$$\Gamma^\mu = \gamma^\mu A(p, p') + (p^\mu + p'^\mu) B(p, p') + \not{q}^\mu C(p, p')$$

A, B, C funcs of  $p, p', m$ , e may include  $\not{p}, \not{p}'$ , etc.

But  $\not{p} u(p) = m u(p)$ ,  $\bar{u}(p') \not{p}' = \bar{u}(p') m$  so forget  $\not{p}, \not{p}'$ 's

A, B, C scalar-funcs of  $m^2, q^2 = (p-p')^2$  only.  $p^2 = p'^2 = m^2$

And  $q_\mu \Gamma^\mu = 0$  (see in a moment)  $\rightarrow C=0$ .

- A - spinor-like coupling.
- B - scalar-like coupling.

### Slippage Trick (Gordon identity)

$$\bar{u}(p') \gamma^\mu u(p) = \bar{u}(p') \left[ \frac{p'^\mu + p^\mu}{2m} + i \frac{\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu}{2m} \right] u(p)$$

proof:  $\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] (p'_\nu - p_\nu)$

$$= \frac{i}{2} \left( \underbrace{-2\gamma^\mu p^\nu - 2p^\nu \gamma^\mu}_{= 4m\gamma^\mu \text{ by Dirac.}} + \underbrace{\sum \gamma^\mu p'^\nu}_{2p'^\mu + 2p^\mu} + \sum \gamma^\nu p^\mu \right)$$

there you go

So I have dependence between  $\gamma^\mu$ ,  $(p^\mu, p'^\mu)$ , and  $\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu$ .  
So I can write

$$\Gamma^\mu = F_1(q^2) \gamma^\mu + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu}{2m} F_2(q^2)$$

Lowest order,  $F_1 = 1$ ,  $F_2 = 0$ . Recall,  $\gamma^\mu$  contracts w.  $A_\mu$

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} A_\mu q_\nu = \sigma^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \text{ is spin-magnetic coupling.}$$

Aha!  $F_2$  is  $(g-2)$  of electron. And it's UV/IR finite!

$F_1$  related to renormalization of e-charge. But so is  $\Sigma$ .

Relation between  $\Gamma^\mu$  and  $\Sigma$ ? Yes: e-charge does not renormalize except for  $\pi$ .

(would be bad: different for each  $\psi, \phi$  field??)

What relation? Ward (Ward-Takahashi) Identity

Consequence of gauge symm, best understood w. Path I.