



What we have learned:

- ▶ Matter-particle content
- ▶ Dominant ways particles interact: EM and Strong interactions
- ▶ How to compute scattering, decay, bound states
- ▶ Bound states of strongly interacting particles (hadrons)

What's missing:

- ▶ Too many conserved quantities so far: each fermionic species number is conserved (u, d, s, c, b, t and e, μ, τ)
- ▶ No discussion of neutrinos and how they couple
- ▶ So far, P , C and T are exact symmetries.
- ▶ What happens at very high energies, of order 100 GeV?

We are missing the physics of the *weak interactions*

Today we will *start* to discuss the weak interactions, with the *weak charged-current* interactions

2: Conservation of up-quark number



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DARMSTADT

Suppose u quark enters a Feynman diagram.

Only vertices: $\bar{u}\gamma_\mu A^\mu u$ and $\bar{u}\gamma_\mu G^\mu u$

Any vertex a u enters, it also exits and vice versa
 u enters diagram – must exit.

Number entering: initial u + final \bar{u}

Number exiting: initial \bar{u} + final u . So $u_i + \bar{u}_f = \bar{u}_i + u_f$, or

$u_i - \bar{u}_i = u_f - \bar{u}_f$ initial number = final number

Same is true for d, s, c, b, t and for e^-, μ^-, τ^-

3: What “should be” stable

According to our arguments so far, the following are stable:

- ▶ The e^- , μ^- , τ^- (lightest particles with each number)
- ▶ Lightest particle with u -number: π^+
- ▶ Lightest particle with net quark-number: proton $p^+ = (uud)$
- ▶ Lightest s , c , b -species: K^- , D^0 , B^-
- ▶ Anything lighter than its possible decay daughters:
 n , K^0 , Λ^0 , Ξ , Ω , D^+ , B^0 , Λ_c , Λ_b ($n \rightarrow p^+ \pi^-$, $\Lambda^0 \rightarrow p^+ K^-$, etc)

Which particles are *actually* stable? e^- , p^+ (and neutrinos, γ)

We are missing an interaction

4: The interaction we are missing is weak



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DARMSTADT

Particles with allowed strong-interaction I -respecting decays:
 ρ, Δ, ϕ with widths of order $\Gamma \sim 100$ MeV, lifetime $\sim 10^{-23}$ s

Particles with I -forbidden, high-order, or EM decays:
 $J/\psi, \eta_c, \pi^0, \eta$, etc. Widths order KeV to MeV, lifetimes 10^{-16} to 10^{-21} s

Particles whose decays are forbidden by rules so far, but with available decay energy of 100's of MeV:
 $\pi^+, K, D, B, \Lambda, \Xi, \Omega, \Lambda_c, \Lambda_b, \mu^-, \tau^-$ Lifetimes $\sim 10^{-10}$ to 10^{-6} s

Particles with so-far-forbidden decays and MeV scale decay energy: n , nuclei.
Lifetimes $\sim 10^3$ s to 10^{17} s

Decays occur via very weak and highly energy-dependent interaction.

5: The W boson

What we are missing is another spin-1 particle W^\pm with

- ▶ a big **mass** $M_W \simeq 80.4 \text{ GeV}$ (not MeV, GeV)
- ▶ a coupling $g_w \simeq 0.65$, $\alpha_w = \frac{g_w^2}{4\pi} \simeq \frac{1}{30}$
- ▶ Coupling between a fermion and a partner with charge-difference of ± 1

in-line	$\epsilon_\lambda^\mu, \lambda = 1, 2, 3$
out-line	$\epsilon_\lambda^{*\mu}, \lambda = 1, 2, 3$
Propagator	$\frac{-i(g_{\mu\nu} - q_\mu q_\nu / (M^2 c^2))}{q^2 - M^2 c^2}$
Vertex	$\frac{-ig_w}{2\sqrt{2}} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5)$

Vertex between (e, ν_e) ; (μ, ν_μ) ; (τ, ν_τ) ; (u, d') ; (c, s') ; (t, b')

6: Vertex between charged objects



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DARMSTADT

There is a W^+ and W^- . Which is which depends on which way you “follow the propagator”

On one end, charge goes down; on the other it goes up.

If μ^- , $\bar{\nu}_\mu$ on one end, e^+ , ν_e on other...

7: Neutrinos finally interact!



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DARMSTADT

Consider an electron neutrino (5% of Sun's luminosity is in ν_e)
How can it interact with atomic nucleus?

Neutrino ν_e must turn into $e^- W^+$. The W^+ can merge with an n to give a p^+

8: A case we can calculate



Replace ν_e with ν_μ (from atmosphere or beam), n, p with e^-, ν_e

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{g_w^2}{8} \frac{g_{\mu\nu} - q_\mu q_\nu / M_W^2}{q^2 - M_W^2} \bar{u}_\mu \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) u_{\nu_\mu} \bar{u}_{\nu_e} \gamma^\nu (1 - \gamma^5) u_e$$

If e^- at rest, then unless ν_μ super-high energy, $|q^2| \ll M_W^2$ and replace propagator with

$$\frac{g_{\mu\nu} - q_\mu q_\nu / M_W^2}{q^2 - M_W^2} \rightarrow -\frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{M_W^2}$$
$$|\overline{\mathcal{M}}|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{g_w^2}{8M_W^2} \right)^2 \text{Tr} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) \not{p}_1 \gamma^\nu (1 - \gamma^5) (\not{p}_3 + m_\mu)$$
$$\times \text{Tr} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma^5) \not{p}_2 \gamma_\nu (1 - \gamma^5) \not{p}_4$$

Neglecting m_e , using $m_\nu = 0$. Wait – why spin-average of 1/2?

9: $1 - \gamma^5$ is a Left-projector



Let's look harder at $1 - \gamma^5$:

$$1 - \gamma^5 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Apply to particle moving on z axis, R or L handed:

$$(1 - \gamma^5)u_R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{E+m} \\ 0 \\ \frac{p}{\sqrt{E+m}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{E+m-p}{\sqrt{E+m}} \\ 0 \\ \frac{p-E-m}{\sqrt{E+m}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(1 - \gamma^5)u_L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sqrt{E+m} \\ 0 \\ \frac{-p}{\sqrt{E+m}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{E+m+p}{\sqrt{E+m}} \\ 0 \\ \frac{-p-E-m}{\sqrt{E+m}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Square-length of $(1 - \gamma^5)u_R$ is $2(E - p)$ and for u_L it's $2(E + p)$.

$(1 - \gamma^5)$ "kills off" R-handed part for $E \gg m$. Recall $-m_\nu = 0$, exact for neutrinos.

10: But what about parity?

Parity: mirror reflection should keep physics the same.

Turns $R \leftrightarrow L$. So production of L and of R should be equally likely, if P is a good symmetry.

Well, it's **NOT!** The W -boson interactions break P as badly as they could!

- ▶ Parity flips sign on γ^5 .
- ▶ If interaction were γ^μ I would not flip sign
- ▶ If interaction were $\gamma^\mu \gamma^5$ I would flip sign once at each vertex. Two vertices – no net sign flip.
- ▶ Because it's $\gamma^\mu(1 - \gamma^5)$, parity fundamentally changes interaction and is **not** a symmetry.
Specifically, it's cross-terms with one γ^5 factor which matter.
- ▶ Also destroy C symmetry, but preserve combination CP .

11: Calculations with γ^5

In performing traces, what does γ^5 do?

$$\text{Tr } \gamma^5 = \text{Tr } \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^5 = 0 \quad \text{Tr } \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \gamma^5 = 4i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$$

Therefore

$$\text{Tr } \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) \not{p}_1 \gamma^\nu (1 - \gamma^5) \not{p}_3 = 8(p_1^\mu p_3^\nu + p_3^\mu p_1^\nu - g^{\mu\nu} p_1 \cdot p_3 - i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} p_{1\alpha} p_{3\beta})$$

We also need

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} (p_1^\alpha p_3^\beta + p_3^\alpha p_1^\beta - g^{\alpha\beta} p_1 \cdot p_3) &= 0 \\ \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\sigma} &= -2 (g_\alpha^\kappa g_\beta^\sigma - g_\alpha^\sigma g_\beta^\kappa) . \end{aligned}$$

Use these to do all the algebra....

12: Scattering: results

Using these methods one finds:

$$|\overline{M}|^2 = 2 \left(\frac{g_w^2}{M_W^2} \right)^2 (p_1 \cdot p_2)(p_3 \cdot p_4), \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\frac{g_w^2}{M_W^2} \right)^2 E_\nu^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_\mu}{2E} \right)^2$$

Key observations:

- ▶ Result depends on g_w^2/M_W^2 , but not on g_w^2 , M_W^2 separately. Low-energy phenomena are only sensitive to this ratio.
- ▶ Cross-sections usually scale as E^{-2} . This scales as E^{+2}
- ▶ That means, weak phenomena rapidly get less important at low- E .
- ▶ Scale where weak, EM phenomena have equal σ is of order M_W .

13: Muon decay



Move around which lines
are incoming and outgoing
to get muon decay process!
Also get matrix element:

$$|\overline{\mathcal{M}}|^2 = 2 \left(\frac{g_w^2}{M_W^2} \right)^2 p_1 \cdot p_2 p_3 \cdot p_4$$

Note: dot is between
 e^- , ν_μ , and between μ , $\bar{\nu}_e$
momenta.

$$\text{width } \Gamma = \frac{1}{2m_\mu} \int \frac{d^3 p_2 d^3 p_3 d^3 p_4}{(2\pi)^9 2p_1 2p_2 2p_3} |\overline{\mathcal{M}}|^2 (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_1 - p_2 - p_3 - p_4)$$

14: Three-body final phase space



The book discusses this final phase space in some detail.

- ▶ It's ugly, too ugly to show in a lecture, but
- ▶ It's not *that* ugly, you can understand it with patience
- ▶ Each individual momentum can range from $p = 0$ up to $p = m_\mu/2$
- ▶ Total cross-section *and* distribution of electron-momentum can be computed with reasonable effort

$$\Gamma = m_\mu^4 \left(\frac{g_w^2}{M_W^2} \right)^2 \frac{m_\mu}{12(8\pi)^3}$$

Usually expect $\Gamma \propto m$, but now extra m^4/M_W^4 factor.

Due to incredibly unfortunate historical accident, the combination

$G_F \equiv g_w^2/(4\sqrt{2} M_W^2)$ is often used, in which case $\Gamma = G_F^2 m_\mu^5/192\pi^3$.

Corresponding lifetime is $\tau = 2.197 \times 10^{-6}$ seconds.

15: Muon lifetime: Prediction?

The muon lifetime *is not* a prediction of W -interaction model.
It only serves as a *measurement* of G_F .
Energy distribution, helicity of e^- *is* a prediction, and ...

Consider any other weak process, now that G_F is measured.
Now the decay width *is* a prediction.

$$\Gamma_{\tau^-} = \Gamma_{\mu^-} \times \frac{m_\tau^5}{m_\mu^5} \times \text{number of available final species}$$

For μ^- , decay must be to $\nu_\mu e^- \bar{\nu}_e$.

But τ^- has energy to make $e^- \bar{\nu}_e, \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu, d\bar{u}$ (3 colors). 5 available species.

Also predicts: 3/5 of τ decays should be to hadrons.

Works out well, up to calculable $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s/2\pi)$ corrections.

16: Free neutron decay?



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DARMSTADT

Let's pretend that W couples to (p, n) the same as to (ν, e^-) or (u, d) . Then I can compute the decay $n \rightarrow p^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$ same as μ^- decay.

What's different? The n, p have nearly same mass: $m_n = 939.57$ MeV, $m_p = 938.28$ MeV, $m_n - m_p = 1.29$ MeV. Electron mass $m_e = 0.511$ MeV not negligible.

Phase space is different, with final-state p carrying almost no kinetic energy.

17: Predictions

- ▶ Distribution of e^- -energy E is approximately

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dE} \propto E \sqrt{E^2 - m_e^2} ((m_n - m_p) - E)^2$$

- ▶ Decay lifetime is $\tau \sim 1318$ seconds. Oops (expt: 886 sec)

Energy distribution fairly close except near $E = 0$.

Lifetime right order, but not close in detail. Why not?

- ▶ (n, p) DO NOT couple to W “just” like (d, u) .

Turns out, must replace $\gamma^\mu(1 - \gamma^5) \rightarrow \gamma^\mu(1 - c_A\gamma^5)$, and $\Gamma \propto 1 + 3c_A^2$
(But c_A separately measurable)

- ▶ p^+ , e^- are charged. So the approximation that e^- flies out as free particle is wrong – especially at low energy, it has distorted wave-function which enhances emission.

18: Other nuclei?



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DARMSTADT

Many nuclei energetically allow either $n \rightarrow p^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$ or $p^+ \rightarrow n e^+ \nu_e$ (or electron capture: $p^+ e^- \rightarrow n \nu_e$)

Generically, eg, for $^{239}\text{U}^{92} \rightarrow ^{239}\text{Np}^{93} e^- \bar{\nu}_e$, final nucleus different from initial: overlap of old nucleus, with one n switched to p , onto new nucleus.

For *superallowed* processes, nuclei before/after match up!

Sometimes nuclei have very different spins, e, ν must carry off angular momentum, with impact on wave-function (forbidden decays)

e^- wave-function distortion large as nuclear charge gets big

This is turning into nuclear physics and we won't say anything more.

19: Summary



There is another force-carrier W^\pm , but this time it's super heavy!

- ▶ The W interactions change species-type: $e^- \rightarrow \nu_e$ etc.
- ▶ This breaks many would-be conservation laws.
 e^- number *not* conserved, only e^- number *plus* ν_e number (e-type lepton number)
- ▶ Also maximally break P and C symmetry, but respect CP
- ▶ Large W mass makes effects suppressed by E^4/M_W^4 factor
- ▶ Explains instability, but large lifetime, of numerous particles
- ▶ Role in nuclear physics is important, somewhat confusing

Next time: look in more detail at W interactions with quarks, weak meson decays....