



Last time: weak interactions with leptons.

New but “straightforward”

This time: weak interactions with quarks.

- ▶ Quarks start out in bound states
- ▶ Quarks need to end up in bound states.
- ▶ Final state possibilities: no hadrons, one hadron, several hadrons
- ▶ Will require more information about hadronic bound states etc
- ▶ Which quark couples to which, via  $W$  boson?? Complicated.

Related issues: doubly-weak processes:

- ▶ Some decays are doubly weak.
- ▶ Doubly-weak oscillation phenomena
- ▶ Box diagrams, CP violation

postponed for later lectures

## 2: Heavy quarks are easier

There are three *energy ranges* to consider:

1. Once a heavy quark decays to a light one, plenty of energy available to create multiple hadrons.
2. Once a heavier quark decays, enough energy to make a few extra hadrons
3. Initial meson doesn't have enough energy to create *any* final-state mesons; decay must be leptonic

Case 1: heavy quark decays as if it's alone in vacuum.

Easy to analyze.

Case 2: quark decay mixed up with final state, particle-rearrangement in complex way.

Case 3:  $q$  and  $\bar{q}$  in meson must annihilate into  $W$ .

Only one process, but need information about meson to compute it.

Let's start with case 1.

### 3: Heavy quark decay



This case isn't really discussed in the book, but it's easy so let's do it.

Consider  $B^- = b\bar{u}$

The  $b$  can decay

Energy available:

$m_b \sim 5 \text{ GeV}$

Binding energies:

$\sim 300 \text{ MeV}$

Binding energy negligible

Decays as if in vacuum,

Decay products figure out

how to assemble into

mesons afterwards.

Prediction: decay width almost same for  $\bar{B}^0 = b\bar{d}$ ,  $B^- = b\bar{u}$ ,  $\Lambda_b = udb$

Prediction:  $e, \mu, \tau$  similar probability;  $ud, cs$  each  $3\times$  that likely.

## 4: Do predictions work?

Yes!  $\tau_{\bar{B}^0} = 1.638 \times 10^{-12} \text{ s}$ ,  $\tau_{B^-} = 1.519 \times 10^{-12} \text{ s}$ ,  $\tau_{\Lambda_b} = 1.471 \times 10^{-12} \text{ s}$

In each case, about 11% decays each to  $e^- \bar{\nu}_e X$ ,  $\mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu X$ ,  $\tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau X$ ,  
with  $X$  any hadrons.  $\sim 67\%$  of decays are  $W \rightarrow d\bar{u}$  or  $s\bar{c}$ , producing only hadrons.

But wait a minute: value of lifetime is all wrong.

It “should” be  $\tau = \tau_{\mu^-} (m_\mu/m_B)^5/9$  but is much larger.

And shouldn't  $b$  only couple to the  $t$  quark, which is too heavy???

## 5: CKM matrix

Think first about leptons and neutrinos. There are 3 neutrino states. The  $W$  couples each lepton to its own neutrino state. The  $\nu_e$  is *defined* as the neutrino which the  $W$  boson couples to an  $e^-$ ; similarly the  $\nu_\mu$  and  $\mu^-$ , the  $\nu_\tau$  and  $\tau^-$ .

Now consider quarks. There are 3 charge- $1/3$  quarks. We can name the one which  $u$  couples to  $d'$ , the one  $c$  couples to  $s'$ , and the one  $t$  couples to  $b'$ . These are automatically orthogonal.

But who's to say that these are the *same* as the mass eigenstates  $d, s, b$ ? If “whoever gives masses” *doesn't talk to* “whoever sets  $W$ -couplings” then these could be different.

And they are different. But they happen to be close for reasons we don't understand.

## 6: CKM matrix

The mass-eigenstates ( $d, s, b$ ) are not the flavor eigenstates ( $d', s', b'$ ) which the  $W$  couples to the ( $u, c, t$ ) respectively. Instead,

$$\begin{bmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{bmatrix} = V_{ij} \begin{bmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

Here  $V_{ij}$  the CKM matrix is a  $3 \times 3$  *complex, unitary* matrix.

Meaning:  $V_{ij}$  is how strongly  $i \in \{u, c, t\}$  couples to  $j \in \{d, s, b\}$

Standard phase conventions, not showing a few tiny imaginary parts:

$$V_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9743 & 0.2253 & .0013 - .0033i \\ -.2252 & 0.9734 & 0.0412 \\ .0081 - .0033i & -.0404 & 0.9991 \end{bmatrix}$$

It *happens* that  $V_{ij}$  is *nearly* diagonal.

$b$ -quark decay proportional to  $|V_{cb}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 \sim 1/600$  explains why  $\tau_b$  so big.

## 7: CKM matrix: examples



TECHNISCHE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
DARMSTADT

Consider  $B^-$  decay again. Then consider  $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e$  and finally,  $n \rightarrow pe^- \bar{\nu}_e$ .

## 8: Pion decay

For  $b$ -decay I just ignored binding into hadrons. 10% corrections.

For  $D, \Lambda_c$  decays, it's more like 30% corrections

For  $\pi^+$  decay? It's 100% correction, because there is no energy to make *any final-state hadrons at all*. How does decay even happen?

The  $u\bar{d}$  must annihilate off completely!



## 9: Pion decay constant

Diagram again:

The  $u, d$  part is:  $\bar{v}_d \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) u_u$  times some wave-function information about  $(u, \bar{d})$  which I really don't know.

But I know it has  $\mu$  index! Only available 4-vector is pion 4-momentum  $p$ :

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{V_{ud} g_w^2}{8M_W^2} \bar{u}_\nu \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) v_\ell F^\mu, \quad F^\mu = f_\pi p_{\pi^+}^\mu$$

Here  $f_\pi$  is some constant of proportionality, units of energy, describing how much  $u, \bar{d}$  overlap in pion. OK, let's compute  $|\mathcal{M}|^2$ :

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = \dots = \frac{|V_{ud}|^2 f_\pi^2 g_w^4}{8M_W^4} (2(p_\pi \cdot p_\ell)(p_\pi \cdot p_\nu) - p_\pi^2(p_\ell \cdot p_\nu))$$

## 10: Finishing pion decay



Since it's  $1 \rightarrow 2$  there is no kinematics to do! And  $p_\nu^2 = 0$ ,  $p_\ell^2 = m_\ell^2$ ,  $p_\pi^2 = m_\pi^2$ ,

$$p^2 = (p_\ell + p_\nu)^2 = p_\ell^2 + 2p_\ell \cdot p_\nu + p_\nu^2 \Rightarrow 2p_\ell \cdot p_\nu = m_\pi^2 - m_\ell^2$$

Similarly  $p_\pi \cdot p_\ell = (p_\ell + p_\nu) \cdot p_\ell = m_\ell^2 + p_\nu \cdot p_\ell$  etc, and we can compute everything!  
After super-simple phase-space integral, some algebra, we find:

$$\Gamma_{\pi^+} = \frac{|V_{ud}|^2 f_\pi^2}{\pi m_\pi^3} \frac{g_w^4}{256 M_W^4} m_\ell^2 (m_\pi^2 - m_\ell^2)^2$$

Result:  $f_\pi = 130$  MeV.

Prediction:

$$\frac{\Gamma(\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu)}{\Gamma(\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e)} = \frac{m_e^2 (m_\pi^2 - m_e^2)^2}{m_\mu^2 (m_\pi^2 - m_\mu^2)} = 1.28 \times 10^{-4}$$

*far, far* more likely to decay to  $\mu, \nu_\mu$  than  $e, \nu_e$ .

## 11: Why won't pions decay to electrons?



TECHNISCHE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
DARMSTADT

This is about spins. The  $W$  couples to  $L$ -handed matter,  $R$ -handed antimatter:  
For  $\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e$ , the  $e^+$  is  $R$ -handed,  $\nu_e$   $L$ -handed. But  $\pi$  is spin-0.

Suppressed by  $E - p$  which we discussed last lecture!  
This turns into  $m^2/m_\pi^2$  factor. Limit of small mass–decay doesn't happen.

## 12: Kaon decay: $K^+$



The same decay as  $\pi^+$  can happen:  $u\bar{s} \rightarrow W^+ \rightarrow \mu^+\nu_\mu$

- ▶ Somewhat different decay constant:  $f_K = 1.19f_\pi$  (not surprising)
- ▶ Since  $m_K^2/m_\mu^2 \simeq 22$ , now also mass-suppressed
- ▶ Suppressed by  $|V_{us}|^2 = 1/20$  (as are all decays!)

But now enough energy for new decays:

$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0$  (20% of time) or even 3 pions (7%).

Also  $K^+ \rightarrow \ell^+\nu_\ell\pi^0$  occur, 8% of decays.

$D$ : semileptonic  $\sim f_D^2 m_c^3$  but total  $\sim m_c^5$  ... almost always have final-state hadrons

## 13: What about $K^0$ ?



TECHNISCHE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
DARMSTADT

The  $\pi^0$  decays straight to photons. But  $K^0 = d\bar{s}$  cannot: EM conserves strangeness.

How does it decay?

Obvious ways,

$$K^0 \rightarrow \pi\pi$$

$$\text{Also } K^0 \rightarrow \pi^- e^+ \nu_e$$

$$\text{and } K^0 \rightarrow \pi\pi\pi$$

(just enough energy)

Also, interesting way:  $K^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

## 14: Doubly weak processes



TECHNISCHE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
DARMSTADT

Look at diagram for  $K^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

Surely this is  $\propto 1/M_W^8$ , and negligible?

Actually, no. Loop momentum,  $q \sim M_W$  dominates.

Suppressed only by factor  $\alpha_w/\pi \sim 1/100$ . And  $V_{ud} V_{us}^*$

## 15: We are forgetting something!!



Draw diagram again, but remember all quark types!

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_u V_{ud} V_{us}^* + \mathcal{M}_c V_{cd} V_{cs}^*$$

Unitarity of  $V$ :  $V_{ud} V_{us}^* + V_{cd} V_{cs}^* + V_{td} V_{ts}^* = 0$  (and  $V_{td} V_{ts} \simeq .0004$ )

Cancel if  $\mathcal{M}_u \simeq \mathcal{M}_c$  (GIM). Corrections  $\propto m_c^2/M_W^2$ , predicted  $m_c$ !!!

## 16: Where else does this happen?



Think about the neutral kaon again:  $\bar{K}^0 = s\bar{d}$  and  $K^0 = d\bar{s}$ :

The  $K^0$  can turn into  $\bar{K}^0$  and *vice versa*

The correct eigenstates are  $K^S$  and  $K^L$ , the *CP* even/odd states

$$K^S = \frac{d\bar{s} - s\bar{d}}{\sqrt{2}}, \text{ } CP \text{ even}, \quad K^L = \frac{d\bar{s} + s\bar{d}}{\sqrt{2}}, \text{ } CP \text{ odd}$$

*CP*-even can decay to  $\pi\pi$ , while *CP*-odd must decay to  $3\pi$ , slower.



## 17: Why doesn't it decay first?

The effect we are talking about is suppressed by  $\alpha_w$  and small CKM angles /  $m_c^2/M_W^2$ .

How can it compete with annihilation? Won't  $K^0$  decay before this can play any role?

Key: decay involves square of matrix element  $|\langle\psi_f|H_I|\psi_1\rangle|^2 \propto 1/M_W^4$   
Oscillation involves matrix element  $\langle\psi_2|H_I|\psi_1\rangle \propto 1/M_W^2$

Naively, oscillation phenomena should be far faster than decay.  
It's only because of strong suppression of oscillation that they are even comparable.

## 18: CP violation!



TECHNISCHE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
DARMSTADT

The mixing involves enough CKM elements to possess phases

Phases flip under  $CP$ . Phase means the mixing is not to exact  $CP$  states  
Every now and then a  $K^L$  decays to  $\pi\pi$ . (Observed 1964, Nobel 1980)

## 19: $CP$ in other neutral systems



TECHNISCHE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
DARMSTADT

The same *should* happen in  $D^0/\bar{D}^0$ . Effects are small.

Similar things *do* happen in  $B^0/\bar{B}^0$ .

Exquisitely well studied in this system.

Several distinct  $CP$  violating effects observed in  $B^0$  and in  $B_s$  systems.

Motivated “factories” producing large number of  $B^0, \bar{B}^0$  pairs.

But we don't have time to discuss this further.

## 20: Summary

- ▶ Decay of heavy quarks: quark decays, final-state particles stick together
- ▶ Which quarks couple to which: CKM matrix  $V_{ij}$
- ▶ Decay of light quarks: initial, final states play essential role
- ▶ Pions decay by annihilation to leptons.  $f_{\pi}$ .
- ▶ Kaons can decay leptonically, semileptonically, hadronically
- ▶ Doubly-weak processes are less suppressed than you might guess  
But involve nontrivial cancellations between heavy/light quarks
- ▶ Lead to  $\Delta s = 2$  oscillation phenomena
- ▶  $CP$  is not conserved, but violation involves these oscillations.