

# Physics 731 Homework 6

Due 2 April 2007 (?)

## Notation

As a note, because we use a mostly positive metric, our Dirac matrices differ from those in Sohnius by a factor of  $i$ . That is

$$\Gamma^\mu (\text{here}) = i\Gamma^\mu (\text{Sohnius}) .$$

Also, we use

$$\gamma_5 (\text{here}) = -i\Gamma^0\Gamma^1\Gamma^2\Gamma^3 = -i\gamma_5 (\text{Sohnius}) .$$

That explains some differences in factors from equations in Sohnius.

## 1 The Majorana Matrix

We defined a “Majorana matrix”  $X$  (as in Sohnius §14.1 and §A.7) by

$$X\Gamma^\mu X^{-1} = \pm (\Gamma^\mu)^* \tag{1}$$

(with the sign depending on the dimensionality) such that a Majorana spinor satisfies  $\psi = X\psi^*$ .

Consider a unitary change of basis for the Dirac matrices,  $\Gamma^\mu \rightarrow U\Gamma^\mu U^\dagger$ . For (1) to hold in the second basis as well as the first, find the transformation rule for  $X$  under the change of basis.

For the Majorana condition to be compatible with Lorentz covariance,  $X$  must satisfy

$$X\Sigma^{\mu\nu} X^{-1} = -(\Sigma^{\mu\nu})^* \tag{2}$$

for the Lorentz generators  $\Sigma^{\mu\nu} = -i\Gamma^{\mu\nu}$ . Prove (2) from (1) and also show that (2) is unchanged by a unitary change of basis.

Finally, consider a basis in which all the Dirac matrices are real (so  $\Gamma^0$  is antisymmetric and all the spatial matrices are symmetric). Find  $X$  for the + (as in 6 and 10 dimensions) and – (as in 4 dimensions) signs in (1) in this basis.

This problem is largely based on problem B.1 in *String Theory* by Polchinski.

## 2 Dimensional Reduction of SUSY Variations

Consider the 6 dimensional supersymmetric gauge theory from §14.2 of Sohnius. As you've learned, trivial dimensional reduction of this theory on a torus along  $x^4, x^5$  (meaning that none of the fields depend on the torus directions) is just the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetric gauge theory in 4 dimensions. For notation, we will use  $M, N$  to represent all 6 dimensions and  $\mu, \nu$  to represent the 4 noncompact dimensions. Note that we're not making Sohnius's unusual choice of  $x^5, x^6$  for the extra two dimensions.

First, with the trivial dimensional reduction, show that the 6D gaugino supersymmetry transformation (from Sohnius (14.22))

$$\delta\lambda = \frac{1}{2}iF_{MN}\Sigma^{MN}\zeta \quad (3)$$

reduces to the gaugino variation of the 4D  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  theory (from Sohnius (12.6))

$$\delta\lambda_i = \frac{1}{2}iF_{\mu\nu}\Sigma^{\mu\nu}\zeta_i + \gamma^\mu\nabla_\mu(M + i\gamma_5 N)\epsilon_{ij}\zeta_j - \gamma_5[M, N]\zeta_i. \quad (4)$$

You'll find the spinor and Dirac matrix decomposition in Sohnius §14.2 useful.

Next, focus on a  $U(1)$  gauge theory in 6D, so all the commutators can vanish. In this case, it is possible to dimensionally reduce with  $F_{45} = B \neq 0$  using an ansatz

$$A_4 = -\frac{1}{2}Bx^5 + N(x^\mu), \quad A_5 = \frac{1}{2}Bx^4 + M(x^\mu). \quad (5)$$

Reduce the supersymmetry transformations from 6 to 4 dimensions again and show that  $B$  appears as a D-term, as in Sohnius (12.13b) (at least up to a factor of  $\gamma_5$ ).

## 3 More Mass-Splitting Rules

Reconsider Martin's proof of the tree-level mass-splitting relation

$$\text{Tr}(\mathbf{m}_S^2) - 2\text{Tr}(\mathbf{m}_F^\dagger\mathbf{m}_F) + 3\text{Tr}(\mathbf{m}_V^2) = 0 \quad (6)$$

and show that it holds separately for each representation (charge sector) of the unbroken gauge group.

## 4 Another Index

Read §6 of the Witten article from the reading (*Nuclear Physics B*202, pg 253) on Abelian gauge theories. Let the Fayet-Iliopoulos term vanish, and calculate  $\text{Tr}[(-1)^F CP]$ , following the same logic as the reading.

## Further Reading

If you're curious, a more recent calculation of an index appears in [hep-th/0208032](#), which also includes more about magnetic fields in compactifications.