## Physics 742 Homework 1

### 1 Lorentz transform warmup

Consider a boost by amount  $b_1$  in the *x*-direction. Take  $b_1$  to be small and write out  $\Lambda^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = \exp \omega^{\mu}{}_{\nu}$  to second order in  $b_1$ .

Now consider a boost by  $b_2$  in the *y*-direction. Again, write out the matrix form of  $\Lambda^{\mu}{}_{\nu}$  to second order in  $b_2$ .

Find the product  $\Lambda(b_1)\Lambda(b_2)$  and the product  $\Lambda(b_2)\Lambda(b_1)$ , to second order in b's. Show that the difference is of order  $b_1b_2$ , and looks like an  $\omega^{\mu}{}_{\nu}$  which generates a rotation. What axis is the rotation about?

# 2 Commutation relations

Show that the commutation relations

$$\left[J_i, J_j\right] = i\epsilon_{ijk}J_k, \qquad (1)$$

$$\left[J_i, K_j\right] = i\epsilon_{ijk}K_k, \qquad (2)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_i, K_j \end{bmatrix} = -i\epsilon_{ijk}J_k, \qquad (3)$$

together with the definitions

$$L_i \equiv \frac{J_i + iK_i}{2}, \qquad R_i \equiv \frac{J_i - iK_i}{2} \tag{4}$$

give rise to the commutation relations

$$\begin{bmatrix} L_i, L_j \end{bmatrix} = i\epsilon_{ijk}L_k, \qquad (5)$$

$$\left[R_i, R_j\right] = i\epsilon_{ijk}R_k, \qquad (6)$$

$$\left[L_i, R_j\right] = 0. \tag{7}$$

# 3 Majorana identities

Using the following relations for  $\gamma$  matrices,

$$\beta \gamma_{\mu}^{\dagger} = -\gamma_{\mu} \beta , \qquad (8)$$

$$C\gamma^{T}_{\mu} = -\gamma_{\mu}C, \qquad (9)$$

as well as

$$\bar{\psi}_1 = \psi_1^{\dagger} \beta \,, \tag{10}$$

$$\bar{\psi}_1^T = -C\psi_1, \qquad (11)$$

$$\psi_1^T C = \bar{\psi}_1, \qquad (12)$$

prove these useful relations for Majorana spinors  $\psi_1$ ,  $\psi_2$ ,

$$\begin{split} \bar{\psi}_{1}\psi_{2} &= +\bar{\psi}_{2}\psi_{1}, \\ \bar{\psi}_{1}\gamma^{5}\psi_{2} &= +\bar{\psi}_{2}\gamma^{5}\psi_{1}, \\ \bar{\psi}_{1}\gamma^{\mu}\psi_{2} &= -\bar{\psi}_{2}\gamma^{\mu}\psi_{1}, \\ \bar{\psi}_{1}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{5}\psi_{2} &= +\bar{\psi}_{2}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{5}\psi_{1}, \\ \bar{\psi}_{1}[\gamma^{\mu},\gamma^{\nu}]\psi_{2} &= -\bar{\psi}_{2}[\gamma^{\mu},\gamma^{\nu}]\psi_{1}. \end{split}$$

Hint: you can reverse the order of the operators by transposing:  $\bar{\psi}_1 \gamma_\mu \psi_2 = -\psi_2^T \gamma_\mu^T \bar{\psi}_1^T$ . The – sign is from the anticommutation of fermionic operators.

Next, show that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\psi}_1 \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}^{\dagger} = + \bar{\psi}_1 \psi_2 , \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\psi}_1 \gamma^5 \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}^{\dagger} = - \bar{\psi}_1 \gamma^5 \psi_2 , \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\psi}_1 \gamma^{\mu} \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}^{\dagger} = + \bar{\psi}_1 \gamma^{\mu} \psi_2 , \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\psi}_1 \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}^{\dagger} = - \bar{\psi}_1 \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 \psi_2 , \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\psi}_1 [\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}] \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}^{\dagger} = + \bar{\psi}_1 [\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}] \psi_2 .$$

Hint: Hermitian conjugation reverses the order of operators and daggers them. The matrix  $\beta$  is Hermitian,  $\beta^{\dagger} = \beta$ . You will also need the relations you found in the first half.

Use these to justify the requirements on the coefficients A, B, C, D, and E mentioned in the book under Eq. (1.102).

#### 4 Scalars and symmetries

The kinetic term  $\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\varphi_{i}\partial^{\mu}\varphi_{i}$  for N real scalar fields is invariant under a symmetry  $\varphi_{i} \to \mathcal{O}_{ij}\varphi_{j}$ , where  $\mathcal{O}^{\top}\mathcal{O} = 1$ , i, j = 1, ..., N. These form the group of  $N \times N$  real orthogonal matrices  $\mathcal{O}(N)$ .

- 1. Write down the most general renormalizable Lagrangian for two real scalar fields,  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ , subject to the discrete symmetries  $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \rightarrow (-\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  and  $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \rightarrow (\varphi_1, -\varphi_2)$ .
- 2. Re-express this Lagrangian in terms of the complex variables  $\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\varphi_1 + i\varphi_2)$  and  $\psi^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\varphi_1 i\varphi_2).$
- 3. The group  $\mathcal{O}(2)$  is equivalent to the group U(1). If the  $\mathcal{O}(2)$  transformations are written

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix} \to \mathcal{O}(\theta) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{O}(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix},$$

find the transformation rules for  $\psi$  and  $\psi^*$ .

4. What further restrictions are placed on the Lagrangian by requiring that it be  $\mathcal{O}(2)$  invariant (including interaction terms)?

Write the resulting Lagrangian in terms of both the variables  $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  and  $(\psi, \psi^*)$ .

## 5 Adjoint representation

Any matrices  $T_a$  satisfying the Lie algebra of a group,

$$\left[T_a, T_b\right] = i f_{abc} T_c \,, \tag{13}$$

generate a representation of the group. This problem shows that the structure functions themselves provide one such set of matrices. Define  $F^a{}_{bc} = -if_{abc}$  and consider the first index a to be a label and the second and third indices to be a row and column position.

Using SU(2), because it is simpler, write out the three  $3 \times 3$  matrices  $\epsilon^1$ ,  $\epsilon^2$ , and  $\epsilon^3$ . (For SU(2), the structure function  $f_{abc} = \epsilon_{abc}$ .) Verify that these matrices in fact satisfy the commutation relations of the Lie algebra, that is, that

$$\left[\epsilon^a, \epsilon^b\right] = i\epsilon_{abc}\epsilon^c.$$
(14)

Next, prove the Jacobi identity,

$$\left[\left[T^{a}, T^{b}\right], T^{c}\right] + \left[\left[T^{b}, T^{c}\right], T^{a}\right] + \left[\left[T^{c}, T^{a}\right], T^{b}\right] = 0, \qquad (15)$$

which is just a consequence of writing out every term longhand and canceling like terms. What condition does the Jacobi identity imply on the coefficients  $f_{abc}$ ?

Finally, show that the antisymmetry of the  $f_{abc}$ , together with the Jacobi identity, proves that

$$\left[F^a, F^b\right] = i f_{abc} F^c \tag{16}$$

holds in any group. Therefore the structure functions themselves provide a representation, called the *adjoint* representation.