Modern
Nuclear Structure Theory

From Realistic Interactions to the Nuclear Chart

Robert Roth

Institut für Kernphysik
Technische Universität Darmstadt

Overview

- Motivation
- Modern Effective Interactions
  - Correlations & Unitary Correlation Operator Method
- Applications
  - No Core Shell Model
  - Hartree-Fock & Beyond
  - Random Phase Approximation & Beyond
  - Fermionic Molecular Dynamics

Nuclear Structure in the 21st Century



Modern Nuclear Structure Theory



Realistic NN-Potentials

- **QCD motivated**
  - symmetries, meson-exchange picture
  - chiral effective field theory
- **short-range phenomenology**
  - short-range parametrisation or contact terms
- **experimental two-body data**
  - scattering phase-shifts & deuteron properties reproduced with high precision
- **supplementary three-nucleon force**
  - adjusted to spectra of light nuclei

Argonne V18

CD Bonn

Nijmegen I/II

Chiral N3LO

Argonne V18 +
Illinois 2

Chiral N3LO +
N2LO

Argonne V18 Potential



Deuteron: Manifestation of Correlations

$M_S = 0$ $\qquad\qquad$ $M_S = \pm 1$

$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\big(\,|\!\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\!\downarrow\uparrow\rangle\big)$ $\qquad\qquad$ $|\!\uparrow\uparrow\rangle,\ |\!\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$



- spin-projected two-body density $\rho^{(2)}_{1,M_S}(\vec{r})$
- **exact deuteron solution** for Argonne V18 potential

two-body density fully suppressed at small particle distances $|\vec{r}|$

central correlations

angular distribution depends strongly on relative spin orientation

tensor correlations

Ab initio Methods: GFMC



75000 CPU-hours (27 TFlops-hours)

"exact" numerical solution of interacting *A*-nucleon problem

[S. Pieper, private comm.]

^{12}C results are preliminary.

Modern Nuclear Structure Theory



Modern Nuclear Structure Theory



Why Effective Interactions?

Realistic Potentials

- generate strong correlations in many-body states
- short-range central & tensor correlations most important

Modern Effective Interactions

- adapt realistic potential to the available model space
- conserve experimentally constrained properties (phase shifts)

Many-Body Methods

- rely on truncated many-nucleon Hilbert spaces for $A > 12$
- not capable of describing short-range correlations
- extreme: Hartree-Fock based on single Slater determinant

Unitary Correlation Operator Method (UCOM)

Unitary Correlation Operator Method

Correlation Operator

introduce short-range correlations by means of a unitary transformation with respect to the relative coordinates of all pairs

$$\mathrm{C} = \exp[-\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{G}] = \exp\Big[-\,\mathrm{i}\sum_{i<j}\mathrm{g}_{ij}\Big]$$

$$\mathrm{G}^\dagger = \mathrm{G}$$
$$\mathrm{C}^\dagger \mathrm{C} = 1$$

Correlated States

$$|\widetilde{\psi}\rangle = \mathrm{C}\;|\psi\rangle$$

Correlated Operators

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{O}} = \mathrm{C}^\dagger\;\mathrm{O}\;\mathrm{C}$$

$$\langle\widetilde{\psi}|\,\mathrm{O}\,|\widetilde{\psi}'\rangle = \langle\psi|\,\mathrm{C}^\dagger\;\mathrm{O}\;\mathrm{C}\,|\psi'\rangle = \langle\psi|\,\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}\,|\psi'\rangle$$

Central and Tensor Correlators

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}_\Omega \mathbf{C}_r$$

Central Correlator C_r

- radial distance-dependent shift in the relative coordinate of a nucleon pair

$$\mathrm{g}_r = \frac{1}{2}\big[s(\mathrm{r})\ \mathrm{q}_r + \mathrm{q}_r\ s(\mathrm{r})\big]$$

$$\mathrm{q}_r = \tfrac{1}{2}\big[\tfrac{\vec{\mathrm{r}}}{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \vec{\mathrm{q}} + \vec{\mathrm{q}} \cdot \tfrac{\vec{\mathrm{r}}}{\mathrm{r}}\big]$$

Tensor Correlator C_Ω

- angular shift depending on the orientation of spin and relative coordinate of a nucleon pair

$$\mathrm{g}_\Omega = \frac{3}{2}\vartheta(\mathrm{r})\big[(\vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\mathrm{q}}_\Omega)(\vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot \vec{\mathrm{r}}) + (\vec{\mathrm{r}} \leftrightarrow \vec{\mathrm{q}}_\Omega)\big]$$

$$\vec{\mathrm{q}}_\Omega = \vec{\mathrm{q}} - \frac{\vec{\mathrm{r}}}{\mathrm{r}}\ \mathrm{q}_r$$

$s(r)$ and $\vartheta(r)$
for given potential determined
in the two-body system

Correlated States: The Deuteron



Correlated Interaction: V_{UCOM}

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{UCOM}} + \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{UCOM}}^{[3]} + \cdots$$

- **closed operator expression** for the correlated interaction $\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{UCOM}}$ in two-body approximation
- correlated interaction and original NN-potential are **phase shift equivalent** by construction
- momentum-space matrix elements of correlated interaction are **similar to $V_{\mathrm{low}-k}$**
- operators of **all observables** (densities, transitions) have to be and can be **transformed consistently**

Simplistic “Shell-Model” Calculation

- expectation value of Hamiltonian (with AV18) for Slater determinant of harmonic oscillator states



Application I

No-Core Shell Model

in collaboration with

Petr Navrátil (LLNL)

UCOM + NCSM

No-Core Shell Model
+
Matrix Elements of Correlated Realistic NN-Interaction $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{UCOM}}$

- many-body state is expanded in Slater determinants of harmonic oscillator single-particle states
- large scale diagonalisation of Hamiltonian within a truncated model space ($\mathcal{N}\hbar\omega$ truncation)
- assessment of short- and long-range correlations

^{4}He: Convergence

$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{AV18}}$

$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{UCOM}}$





^{4}He: Convergence





Tjon-Line and Correlator Range



- **Tjon-line**: $E(^4\mathrm{He})$ vs. $E(^3\mathrm{H})$ for phase-shift equivalent NN-interactions

Tjon-Line and Correlator Range



- **Tjon-line**: $E(^4\mathrm{He})$ vs. $E(^3\mathrm{H})$ for phase-shift equivalent NN-interactions
- change of C_{Ω}-correlator range results in shift along Tjon-line

minimise net three-body force by choosing correlator with energies close to experimental value

Tjon-Line and Correlator Range



- **Tjon-line**: $E(^4\mathrm{He})$ vs. $E(^3\mathrm{H})$ for phase-shift equivalent NN-interactions
- change of C_Ω-correlator range results in shift along Tjon-line

minimise net three-body force by choosing correlator with energies close to experimental value

^{6}Li: NCSM throughout the p-Shell



systematic NCSM studies throughout p-shell with V_{UCOM} (+ Lee-Suzuki transformation)

^{10}B: Hallmark of a 3N Interaction?



^{10}B: Hallmark of a 3N Interaction?



V_{UCOM} gives correct level ordering without any 3N interaction

Outlook: NCSM beyond the p-Shell

NCSM

- converged calculations essentially restricted to p-shell
- $6\hbar\omega$ calculation for $^{40}\mathrm{Ca}$ presently not feasible ($\sim 10^{10}$ states)

Importance Sampling NCSM

- diagonalization in space of **impor-tant** many-body configurations
- **a priori importance measure** given by perturbation theory



$\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{UCOM}}$, $\hbar\omega = 14\,\mathrm{MeV}$

Application II:

Hartree-Fock & Beyond

UCOM + HF

Standard Hartree-Fock
+
Matrix Elements of Correlated Realistic NN-Interaction $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{UCOM}}$

- many-body state is a **Slater determinant** of single-particle states expanded in oscillator basis ($\sim$13 major shells)
- **correlations cannot be described** by Hartree-Fock states
- starting point for **improved many-body calculations**: MBPT, RPA, SM/CI, CC,...

Hartree-Fock with V_{UCOM}



Perturbation Theory with V_{UCOM}



Application III

RPA & Beyond

UCOM + RPA

RPA, ERPA & SRPA
+
Matrix Elements of Correlated Realistic NN-Interaction $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{UCOM}}$

- **fully self-consistent RPA** based on the Hartree-Fock orbits using the same V_{UCOM}
- recovering sum rules with high precision, spurious center-of-mass mode fully decoupled at ~ 10 keV
- **Extended-RPA and Second-RPA** to include effects of ground state correlations and complex configurations

Isoscalar Giant Monopole



prediction for ISGMR in good agreement with experiment

incompressibility resulting from V_{UCOM} is reasonable

Isovector Dipole & Isoscalar Quadrupole



Outlook: RPA with Three-Body Forces



- long-range tensor correlator & **repulsive three-body contact interaction**
- systematic improvement of
  - ➔ rms-radii
  - ➔ single-particle spectra
  - ➔ strength distributions

standard $V_{\rm UCOM}$

$V_{\rm UCOM}$ with long-range tensor & three-body contact interaction

Application IV

Fermionic Molecular Dynamics (FMD)

UCOM-FMD Approach

Gaussian Single-Particle States

$$|q\rangle = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} c_\nu \, |a_\nu, \vec{b}_\nu\rangle \otimes |\chi_\nu\rangle \otimes |m_t\rangle$$

$$\langle \vec{x} | a_\nu, \vec{b}_\nu \rangle = \exp\left[- \frac{(\vec{x} - \vec{b}_\nu)^2}{2\, a_\nu} \right]$$

a_ν : complex width

χ_ν : spin orientation

$\vec{b}_\nu$: mean position & momentum

Slater Determinant

$$|Q\rangle = \mathcal{A}\left(|q_1\rangle \otimes |q_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |q_A\rangle \right)$$

Correlated Hamiltonian

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{H}} = \mathrm{T} + \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{UCOM}} + \delta\mathrm{V}_{c+p+ls}$$

Variation

$$\frac{\langle Q | \widetilde{\mathrm{H}} - \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cm}} | Q \rangle}{\langle Q | Q \rangle} \to \min$$

Projection

restoration of rotational and inversion symmetry

PAV / VAP

Multi-Configuration

mixing of several intrinsic configurations

GCM

Intrinsic One-Body Density Distributions



capable of describing spherical shell-model as well as intrinsically deformed and α-cluster states

Structure of ^{12}C



	E [MeV]	R_{ch} [fm]	$B(E2)$ [e^2 fm^4]
V/PAV	81.4	2.36	-
VAP α-cluster	79.1	2.70	76.9
PAV$^\pi$	88.5	2.51	36.3
VAP	89.2	2.42	26.8
Multi-Config	92.2	2.52	42.8
Experiment	92.2	2.47	39.7 ± 3.3



Multi-Config



Structure of ^{12}C — Hoyle State



$\big|\big\langle$  $\big|0_2^+\big\rangle\big| = 0.76$

$\big|\big\langle$  $\big|0_2^+\big\rangle\big| = 0.71$

$\big|\big\langle$  $\big|0_2^+\big\rangle\big| = 0.50$

	Multi-Config	Experiment
E [MeV]	92.4	92.2
R_{ch} [fm]	2.52	2.47
$B(E2, 0_1^+ \to 2_1^+)$ $[e^2\,\mathrm{fm}^4]$	42.9	39.7 ± 3.3
$M(E0, 0_1^+ \to 0_2^+)$ $[\mathrm{fm}^2]$	5.67	5.5 ± 0.2

Outlook: Resonances & Scattering in FMD





- collective coordinate representation as tool for the description of continuum states in FMD

first steps towards fully microscopic and consistent description of **structure and reactions**

Conclusions

- **Unitary Correlation Operator Method (UCOM)**
  - explicit description of short-range central and tensor correlations
  - universal phase-shift equivalent correlated interaction $\mathbf{V}_{\text{UCOM}}$
- **Innovative Many-Body Methods**
  - No-Core Shell Model
  - Hartree-Fock, MBPT, SM/CI, CC, RPA, ERPA, SRPA,...
  - Fermionic Molecular Dynamics

unified description of nuclear structure across the whole nuclear chart is within reach

Epilogue

- **thanks to my group & my collaborators**
  - T. Böhlen, P. Hedfeld, H. Hergert, M. Hild, N. Paar, P. Papakonstantinou, F. Schmitt, I. Türschmann, A. Zapp

    Institut für Kernphysik, TU Darmstadt
  - P. Navrátil

    Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
  - T. Neff

    NSCL, Michigan State University
  - H. Feldmeier, C. Barbieri, S. Bacca, C. Özen,...

    Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung (GSI)

supported by the DFG through SFB 634 “Nuclear Structure, Nuclear Astrophysics and Fundamental Experiments...”